

Juvenile Justice Data Elements Attachment 5.1

Data Report Requirements

Data Element	Statutes/Law	NAJJA Data Committee; JJOC Data Committee; or SAG Committee	Template Name
Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Block Grant	None	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly CCP Report CCP Performance Measures
Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment	NRS 62H.320	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Juvenile Sex Offender Tracking Form
Use of Force	None	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of Force Template
Use of Restraints	34 US Code Ch. 11		
Corrective Room Restriction <i>AKA</i> Room Confinement	NRS 62B.615	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Room Confinement Template
Youth Competency Hearings	NRS 62H.400	NAJJA Data Committee and JJOC Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Competency Template (In Draft)
Status Offenders	34 US Code Ch. 11	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status Offender Template
Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Juvenile Justice System	34 US Code Ch. 11	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Federal Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Template
Training Report	NRS 62B.250	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training Report Template
Implicit Bias and Cultural Competency Training	NRS 62B.607	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None (Not yet addressed by Committee)
Compliance Surveys	JJDPA Federal	SAG Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual Compliance Survey
Federal Grants	Department of Justice and JJDPA Federal	SAG Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly Grant Report Grant Performance Measures
Juvenile Justice System Data	NRS 62H.225	NAJJA Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> State Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Template
Juvenile Justice System Performance Measures	NRS 62B.640	JJOC Data Committee	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performance and Scorecard Summary

Submission and Responsible Parties

Data Element	Submission Requirement	Responsible Parties
Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) Block Grant	Quarterly	All local jurisdictions
Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment	Quarterly	All local jurisdictions
Use of Force	Monthly	Local jurisdictions with a juvenile detention facility and DCFS state facilities
Corrective Room Restriction <i>AKA</i> Room Confinement	Monthly	Local jurisdictions with a juvenile detention facility and DCFS state facilities

Youth Competency Hearings	Annually	All local jurisdictions and DCFS Youth Parole
Status Offenders	Monthly	Local jurisdictions with a juvenile detention facility
Racial and Ethnic Disparities in the Juvenile Justice System	Annually	All local jurisdictions
Training Report	Annually	Local jurisdictions with a juvenile detention facility and DCFS state facilities
Implicit Bias and Cultural Competency Training	Annually	All local jurisdictions (Probation and Detention) and All Juvenile Justice Staff within DCFS
Compliance Surveys	Annually	All secure detention facilities, jails, court houses, law enforcement agencies and correctional facilities (adult and juvenile)
Federal Grants	Quarterly	Subgrantees of federal fund
Juvenile Justice System Data	Annually	All local jurisdictions
Juvenile Justice System Performance Measures	Annually	*Other

All data submissions are provided to the DCFS Juvenile Justice Programs Office

***The DCFS Programs Office completes using a combination of data submissions from local jurisdictions.**

Specific Data Elements

Data Elements	Report
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Number of youths served. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Broken down by gender and gender identity. Broken down by race. Broken down by age categories. Highest level offense Offense type Total number of youths with a mental health and/or substance abuse diagnosis. YLS Risk Level for each youth. Service Provided (Counseling/Evaluation/Group Home, etc) and whether the service was successful or not successful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly CCP Report CCP Performance Measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Youth who have completed Sex Offender Treatment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Broken down by gender, gender identity. Broken down by age Broken down by Race/ethnicity. Broken down by Highest level offense Broken down by Offense type Broken down by Status of court case Broken down by Treatment provided (y/n) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Juvenile Sex Offender Tracking Form

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by Inpatient or outpatient ○ Broken down by Length of treatment in months ○ Broken down by Re-offenses during treatment ○ Broken down by Re-offenses 6 months after the completion of treatment 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of uses of force <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by Gender ○ Broken down by Age ○ Broken down by Race/ethnicity ○ Broken down by Reason • Highest restraint used • Average Admission Data • Average Length of Stay • Unduplicated Youth • Number of youths with more than 1 restraint in the same incident. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of Force Template
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of uses of room confinement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by gender ○ Broken down by age ○ Broken down by race/ethnicity ○ Broken down by reason • State date/time of each confinement • End date/time for each confinement • Total number of minutes/hours 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Room Confinement Template
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of youths who received a competency hearing. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by gender, gender identity. ○ Broken down by age ○ Broken down by Race/ethnicity. ○ Broken down by Highest level offense ○ Determination of Competent or Not Competent ○ Reason for Not Competent finding ○ Services orders/provided ○ Competency restored; date 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Competency (In Draft)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of youths who were placed in a juvenile detention facility for a status offense <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by gender ○ Broken down by age 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status Offender Template

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by Race/ethnicity. ○ Offense ○ Time and date placed in juvenile detention facility ○ Time and date released from juvenile detention facility ○ Detention hearing date and time, if applicable 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Juvenile Justice System Points in Time data broken down by race/ethnicity and gender. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Total referrals ○ Referral source ○ Total arrests ○ Total diversions ○ Total detention placements including a breakdown of where youths are released to ○ Total formal probation placements ○ Total petitions broken down by delinquent and status ○ Total placements in a youth camp/regional facility ○ Total placements in DCFS ○ Total certifications to adult status ○ Total adjudications broken down by delinquent and status 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Federal Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Template
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of new hires trained within 90 days and existing staff trained within 365 days in the following subjects. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Abuse and neglect reporting ○ Controlling the behavior of children ○ Use of Force ○ Rights of juveniles ○ Suicide awareness ○ Medication administration ○ Health, safety, and welfare ○ Working with LGBT + youth ○ Trauma informed care ○ Data collection ○ Disaster planning ○ Reporting sexual harassment/abuse 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training Report Template
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total number of staff trained initially and every two years in the following subjects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Implicit bias history and meaning ○ Cultural competency ○ Socioeconomic conditions of Nevada 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None (Not yet addressed by Committee)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Historical inequities ○ Impact of Trauma 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Number of youths ages 17 and under held in facility. • Verification of sight and sound separation with adult offenders • Verification of data elements gathered at time of admission • Verification that facility/agency is secure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Compliance Survey
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Number of youths served. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Broken down by gender ○ Broken down by race. ○ Broken down by age categories. ○ Number of youth who OFFEND (Short Term) ○ Substance use (short term) ○ Antisocial behavior (short term) ○ Family relationships (short term) ○ Social competencies (short term) ○ Average length of stay in program ○ Number and percent of program youth satisfied with program (short term) ○ Number and percent of program families satisfied with program (short term) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarterly Grant Report • Grant Performance Measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Juvenile Justice System Points in Time data broken down by race/ethnicity and gender. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Total diversions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Felony diversions ➤ Gross misdemeanor diversions ➤ Misdemeanor diversions ➤ Stats offense diversions ○ Recidivism Measures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Total number of re-arrests resulting in a detention booking ➤ Total number of re-arrests resulting in a citation ➤ Total number of re-adjudications ○ Total number of arrests <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Broken down by gender identity. ➤ Broken down by sexual orientation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Template (Not yet addressed by Committee)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of Recidivism • List of evidence-based practice per judicial district • Number of cases diverted broken down by felonies, gross misdemeanors, and misdemeanors. • Percent of youth with completed family assessment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance and Scorecard Summary

- Percent of youth with family participation at first CFT
- Percent of youth whose case plans include family participation.
- Percent of family surveys completed.
- Percent of youth who are minorities (disproportionate minorities)
- Percent increase / decrease in re-arrests
- Percent increase / decrease in re-commitment
- Percent increase / decrease in re-commitment
- Percent of youth in the juvenile justice system with case plans
- Percent increase / decrease in violations of parole or probation
- Number of convictions in the adult system
- Nature and number of juvenile court referrals
- Type and number of charges
- Type and number of violations of probation charges
- Number of cases by disposition
- Number of cases by disposition
- Youth disposition indicators for all youth in the JJ System o Age
 - o Gender
 - o Race
 - o Family Poverty Level
 - o Composition of household
 - o Child educational background
 - o Assessed Risk level
 - o Assessed Maysi II score
 - o Type of residential placement
 - o Services provided by type
 - o Type and number of disciplinary actions taken in placement
 - o Type of educational/vocational training provided in placement
 - o Type and number of violations of probation charges
- Number of youth who have a parent / guardian (including an agency custodian) at hearings
- Number of youth who have legal representation
- Number of dispositions determined within 60 days
- Number of detention hearings within 72 hours (per statute)
- Number of victims / victims' families present at disposition
- Number of victims / victims' families present at disposition
- Victim / family satisfaction with outcomes of the disposition (via survey results)
- Percent increase / decrease in overall risk score from the initial YLS to any YLS reassessments score of prior and current offenses
- Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of dispositions, family circumstances

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of parenting, education• Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of Employment, Peer Relations Substance abuse, leisure• Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of recreation, personality• Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of behavior, attitudes• Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of orientation	
---	--



JUVENILE JUSTICE OVERSIGHT COMMISSION FY19 SCORECARD & PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Reporting Period: FY 21

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Strategic Plan Overview3

STATEWIDE SCORECARD4

County-by-County System Trends5

County-by-County Trends: Youth Disposition Indicators 122

County-by-County Performance Measures..... 202


JUVENILE COURT PERFORMANCE MEASURES 292

PROBATION AND PAROLE PERFORMANCE MEASURES..... 303

STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILTIES, GROUP HOMES, RTC & YOUTH CAMP PERFORMANCE MEASURES
..... 314

STRATEGIC PLAN OVERVIEW

Below is a summary of the Vision, Four Strategic Goals and System Performance Measures that comprise the strategic direction for the Nevada Juvenile Justice System.



STATEWIDE SCORECARD

JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM TRENDS	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	FY23 TARGET	EXPLANATION
Rate of Recidivism <i>Re arrest (County Measure 1)</i> <i>Re adjudication (County Measure 2)</i> <i>Revocation (State)</i>	NA	11.39% 6.70% 28.27%	33.48% 16.58% 30.25%		
Percent of youth who are minorities (disproportionate minorities))	69.80%	72.00%	67.92%		
Percent of families in the juvenile justice system at or below the poverty level	41.3%	Sample was too small	46.13%		
Percent of youth in the juvenile justice system with case plans	100%	95.31%	94.91%		
Percent increase / decrease in Arrests	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific		
Percent increase / decrease in re- commitment	14% Decrease	16.66% Decrease	17% Decrease		
Percent increase / decrease in violations of parole or probation	2,021	1,377 31.86% Decrease	904 34.35% Decrease		
Percent increase / decrease in re- adjudication	Data no good, must be youth specific	247	402 62.75% Increase		
Number of convictions in the adult system	65	38	61		
<i>County Certifications</i>	65	38	61		
Direct Files (??)					

COUNTY SYSTEM TRENDS:

The following trends reflect the overall statewide system trends as reported by individual counties. Individual County detail can be found on the following pages.

All Counties' Total

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	FY23 TARGET	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	18,609	13,514	9,755		
Type and number of charges *** See below	8,314	6,189	4,320		
Type and number of violations of probation charges	2,021	1,377	904		
Number of cases by disposition	3,926	2,518	1,863		
Placed in County Camp	317	274	153		
Committed to the State	245	233	174		
Placed on Formal Probation	3,093	2,642	1,536		
Out of state placements (TOTAL)	150	138	133		https://app.powerbigov.us/view?r=eyJrIjoizDkzNTAxMGUtN2VhMi00Y2ZjLWJhODAtYmM0ZGUwMjkxOTM4liwidCI6ImU0YTM0MGU2LWI4OWUtNGU2OC04ZWZhLTE1NDRkMjcwMzk4MCJ9
County Probation	100	79	76		
State Youth Parole	2	5	13		
Child Welfare	48	54	44		

*** Top 5 Arrest Types for FY 21

*** Top 5 Re - Arrest Types for FY 21

1	Use of a deadly weapon in a crime or Possession of a deadly weapon	1	Violation of Parole/Probation
2	Assault/Battery	2	Domestic Battery
3	Violation of Parole/Probation	3	Assault/Battery
4	Domestic Battery	4	Obstructing Police / Resisting arrest/False Statement to Police /Concealing evidence
5	Burglary/Theft	5	Burglary/Theft

Carson City

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	546	435	407	
Arrests	248	161	163	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	95	60	54	
Number of cases by disposition	98	116	68	
Placed in County Camp	15	17	6	
Committed to the State	5	7	4	
Placed on Formal Probation	78	92	58	

Out of state placements	11	6	2	
-------------------------	----	---	---	--

Churchill County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	597	508	307	
Arrests	279	233	94	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	95	45	102	
Number of cases by disposition	48	63	41	
Placed in County Camp	7	5	0	
Committed to the State	5	6	3	
Placed on Formal Probation	36	52	38	
Out of state placements	2	1	1	

Clark County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	11,602	7,842	4,037	
Arrests	5,491	3,998	2,446	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	1,374	968	475	
Number of cases by disposition	2,680	3,337	1,315	
Placed in County Camp	208	172	104	
Committed to the State	179	164	127	
Placed on Formal Probation	2,293	2,001	1,084	
Out of state placements	35	44	45	

Douglas County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	418	420	1,226	
Arrests	33	144	125	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	9	13	5	
Number of cases by disposition	33	45	41	
Placed in County Camp	17	24	10	
Committed to the State	1	1	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	15	20	31	
Out of state placements	0	0	2	

Elko County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	390	335	402	
Arrests	321	190	256	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	54	No data	No data	
Number of cases by disposition	129	3	3	
Placed in County Camp	5		2	
Committed to the State	2	2	1	
Placed on Formal Probation	122	1 Unable to get	No data	
Out of state placements	6	3	2	

Esmeralda

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	0	0	0	Reported with Nye County
Arrests	0	0	0	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	0	0	0	
Number of cases by disposition	0	0	0	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	

Eureka County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	4	4	0	
Arrests	1	4	0	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	0	0	0	
Number of cases by disposition	0	1	0	
Placed in County Camp	0	0	0	
Committed to the State	0	0	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	2	0	0	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	Reported with White Pine County

Humboldt County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	20FY19 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	408	293	273	
Arrests	87	78	63	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	6	0	14	
Number of cases by disposition	7	15	18	
Placed in County Camp	0	0	2	
Committed to the State	3	0	2	
Placed on Formal Probation	4	15	14	
Out of state placements	1	0	1	

Lander County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	83	52	54	
Arrests	8	11	4	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	5	7	2	
Number of cases by disposition	6	3	6	
Placed in County Camp	1	0	0	
Committed to the State	1	0	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	4	3	6	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	Reported with Pershing County

Lincoln County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	13	21	11	
Arrests	1	6	1	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	0	0	1	
Number of cases by disposition	10	11	3	
Placed in County Camp	0	0	0	
Committed to the State	0	2	1	
Placed on Formal Probation	7	7	2	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	Reported with White Pine County

Lyon County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	693	594	569	
Arrests	81	82	84	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	42	36	47	
Number of cases by disposition	61	71	44	
Placed in County Camp	6	6	2	
Committed to the State	2	3	2	
Placed on Formal Probation	53	62	40	
Out of state placements	7	3	2	

Mineral County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	23	25	34	
Arrests	3	10	3	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	1	6	2	
Number of cases by disposition	1	9	3	
Placed in County Camp	0	0	1	
Committed to the State	0	0	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	1	9	2	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	Reported with Pershing County

Nye County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	282	384	383	
Arrests	267	254	217	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	23	21	No data	
Number of cases by disposition	70	64	55	
Placed in County Camp	11	14	10	
Committed to the State	7	4	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	52	46	45	
Out of state placements	3	0	2	

Pershing County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	57	64	79	
Arrests	12	14	11	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	6	8	7	
Number of cases by disposition	23	17	13	
Placed in County Camp	0	0	0	
Committed to the State	1	0	0	
Placed on Formal Probation	9	8	13	
Out of state placements	0	1	1	

Storey County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	14	5	10	
Arrests	3	1	2	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	3	0	0	
Number of cases by disposition	2	1	1	
Placed on Formal Probation	2	1	1	
Out of state placements	0	0	0	Reported with Carson City

Washoe County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	3,315	2,385	1,768	
Arrests	1,441	979	839	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	292	201	180	
Number of cases by disposition	471	391	246	
Placed in County Camp	42	31	15	
Committed to the State	38	42	32	
Placed on Formal Probation	391	318	199	
Out of state placements	34	20	18	

White Pine County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	F FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	164	125	195	
Arrests	30	24	12	
Type and number of violations of probation charges	16	12	15	
Number of cases by disposition	23	10	6	
Placed in County Camp	5	3	1	
Committed to the State	1	3	2	
Placed on Formal Probation	17	4	3	
Out of state placements	1	1	1	

COUNTY-BY-COUNTY TRENDS: YOUTH DISPOSITION INDICATORS

The following trends reflect overall statewide youth disposition indicators as reported by individual counties. The County-by-County detail can be found on the subsequent pages.

All Counties' Total

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.77	15.51	15.63	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	66.76% Male 33.24% Female	67.94% M 32.06% F	65.00% 35.00%	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	32.65% White 67.35% Minority	32.66% W 67.34% M	41.47 % W 58.53% M	Referral Data
Family poverty level (Arrest Data)	59% Above 41% At or below	Not enough data	Not enough data	Arrest Data 634 reported youth out of 6,189 Arrests
Composition of household (Arrest Data)	57% 1 bio parent 20% group home 15% 2 bio parents 8% Other	Not enough data	Not enough data	Arrest Data 1,698 reported youth out of 6,189 Arrests
Assessed risk level		3.65% VH 34.21% H 42.52% M 19.62% L	0% VH 24.94% H 53.23% M 21.83% L	From CCP Block Grant
Type of residential placement	317 Camp 245 DCFS	274 Camp 233 DCFS	153 Camp 174 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	2,021	1,377	904	

Carson City

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.86	15.93	15.58	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	70.7% Male 29.3% Female	68.28% M 31.72% F	61.18% M 38.82% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	51.0% White 49.0% Minority	50.34% W 49.66% M		Referral Data
Family poverty level	41% At or below 59% Above	60.25% 39.75%		
Composition of household	64% 1 bio parent 24% 2 bio parents 12% relative	65.2% 1 Parent 29.9% 2 Parents 4.9% Relative		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	10.00% VH 33.33% H 43.33% M 13.33% L	0.0% VH 13.64% H 59.09% M 27.27% Lo	From CCP Block Grant
Type of residential placement	15 Youth Camp 5 DCFS	17 Camp 7 DCFS	6 Camp 4 DCFS	

Type / number of violations of probation charges	95	60	54	
--	----	----	----	--

Churchill County -

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.06	15.67	15.32	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	61.0% Male 39.0% Female	57.68% 42.32%	66.45 % M 33.55 % F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	72.4% White 27.6% Minority	58.66% 41.34%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	43% At or below 57% Above	No data available		
Composition of household	53% 2 bio parents 26% 1 bio parent 13% relative 8% group home	No Data Available		
Assessed risk level		15.64 Ave Score	13.52 Ave Score	Moderate Risk
Type of residential placement	7 Youth Camp 5 DCFS	5 Camp 6 DCFS	0 Camp 3 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	95	45	102	

Clark County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.95	16.06	16.30	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	62.9% Male 37.1% Female	70.79% 29.21%	69.63 % M 30.37 % F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	19.0% White 81.0% Minority	17.09% 82.91%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	0	No data available		
Composition of household	57% 1 bio parent 12% 2 bio parents 7% relative 24% Group Home	No Data Available		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	No data provided	7.46% VH 46.27 % H 40.30% M 5.97 % L	
Type of residential placement	208 Youth Camp 179 DCFS	172 Camp 164 DCFS	104 Camp 127 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	1,374	968	475	

Douglas County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	Did not receive data	Did not receive data	15.17	From CCP Block Grant

Sex	59.8% Male 40.2% Female	65.24% 34.76%	57.59 % M	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	78.7% White 21.3% Minority	72.86% 27.14%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	0	No data available		
Composition of household	0	No Data Available		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	Did not receive data	4.76% VH 28.57% H 42.86% M 23.81% L	
Type of residential placement	17 Youth Camp 1 DCFS	24 Camp 1 DCFS	10 Camp 0 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	9	13	5	

Elko County -

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.75	15.26	15.31	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	68.2% Male 31.8% Female	63.58% 36.42%	62.44% M 37.56% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	55.6% White 44.4% Minority	59.70% 40.30%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	0	58.82% at/below 41.18% Above		
Composition of household	0	59.3% 1 parent 29.0% 2 Parents 6.9% Relative 4.8% Independent		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	0% VH 46.01% H 47.32% M 6.67% L	0% VH 28.13% H 67.97% M 3.91% L	
Type of residential placement	5 Youth Camp 2 DCFS	2 Camp 1 DCFS	2 Camp 1 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	54	No data	No Data	

Esmeralda County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age				Reported with Nye County
Sex				
Race / ethnicity				

Family poverty level				
Composition of household				
Assessed risk level				
Type of residential placement				
Type / number of violations of probation charges				

Eureka County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	NA	NA	No Youth Served	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	75.0% Male 25.0% Female	100% 0%		Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	75.0% White 25.0% Minority	100% 0%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	100% Above	100% Above		
Composition of household	100% 1 bio parent			
Assessed risk level	No Data	No Data		
Type of residential placement	0	0	0	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	0	0	0	

Humboldt County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	16.00	16.11	15.50	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	44.1% Male 55.9% Female	57.00% 43.00%		Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	59.3% White 40.7% Minority	59.31% 40.61%	57.51% M 42.49% F	Referral Data
Family poverty level	0	53.19% at/b 46.81% Above		
Composition of household	0	0		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	6.25% VH 21.87% H 56.25% M 15.63% L	3.45% VH 21.87% H 55.17% M 13.79% L	
Type of residential placement	0 Youth Camp 3 DCFS	0 Camp 0 DCFS	2 Camp 2 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	6	0	14	

Lander County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.35	16.63	16.00	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	77.1% Male 22.9% Female	80.1% Male 19.9 % Female	62.96% M 37.04% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	71.1% White 28.9% Minority	57.6% White 42.4% Minority		Referral Data

Family poverty level	50% At or below 50% Above	72.7% At or Below 27.3% Above		
Composition of household	63% 2 bio parents 13% 1 bio parent 24% relative	54.5% 1 bio parent 27.2% 2 bio parents 18.3% relative		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	100% Moderate	0.0 % VH 33.33 % H 41.67 % M 25.00 % L	
Type of residential placement	1 Youth Camp 1 DCFS	0 placements	0	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	5	7	2	

Lincoln County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.50	NA	NA	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	77.0% Male 23.0% Female	57.14% 42.86%	27.27% M 72.73% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	84.6% White 15.4% Minority	90.48% 9.52%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	100% Above	50% At/B 50% Above		
Composition of household	100% 2 bio parents	50% 1 Parent 33.3% 2 Bio Parents 16.7% Relative		
Assessed risk level		NA		
Type of residential placement	0 Camp 0 DCFS	0 Camp 2 DCFS	0 Camp 1 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	0	0	1	

Lyon County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.69	15.00	14.87	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	62.0% Male 38.0% Female	58.42% 41.58%	63.97% M 36.03% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	68.8% White 31.2% Minority	70.71% 29.29%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	0	43.3% at/b 56.7% Above		
Composition of household	0	No Data Available		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	2.98% VH 29.85% H 32.85% M 34.32% L	0.0 % VH 22.22 % H 47.22 % M 30.56 % L	
Type of residential placement	6 Youth Camp	6 Camp	2 Camp	

	2 DCFS	3 DCFS	2 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	42	36	47	

Mineral County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.66	16.46	15.52	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	91.3% Male 8.7% Female	53.3% Male 46.7% Female	55.88% M 44.12% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	65.2% White 34.8% Minority	51.0% White 49.0% Minority		Referral Data
Family poverty level	100% at or below	50% At or below 50% Above		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	100% M	0.0 % VH 40.00 % H 60.00 % M 0.0 % L	
Type of residential placement	0	0	1 Camp 0 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	1	6	2	

Nye County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	NA	15.40	15.88	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	61.2% Male 38.8% Female	64.58% 35.42%	71.54% M 28.46% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	64.9% White 35.1% Minority	65.36% 34.64%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	37% At or below 63% Above	51 a/be		
Composition of household	45% 1 bio parent 43% 2 bio parents 10% relative 2% group home			
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	20.00% H 50.00% M 30.00% L	0.0 % VH 5.26 % H 73.69 % M 21.05 % L	
Type of residential placement	11 Youth Camp 7 DCFS	14 Camp 4 DCFS	10 Camp 0 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	23	21	No data	

Pershing County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.70	15.78	15.55	From CCP Block Grant

Sex	43.8% Male 56.2% Female	46.8% Male 53.2% Female	70.89% M 29.11% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	33.3% White 66.7% Minority	42.1% White 57.9% Minority		Referral Data
Family poverty level	100% at or below	50% At or below 50% Above		
Composition of household	42% 1 bio parent 42% 2 bio parents 16% relative	41.6% 1 bio parent 25% 2 bio parents 22.4% relative 11% independently		
Assessed risk level	Very High High Moderate Low	0.0 % VH 66.66% H 33.33% M 33.33% L	0.0 % VH 11.11 % H 88.89 % M 0.0 % L	
Type of residential placement	0 Youth Camp 1 DCFS	0	0	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	6	8	7	

Storey County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.86	15.48	12.03	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	57.1% Male 42.9% Female	60.00% 40.00%	30.00% M 70.00% F	Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	100% White	100%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	100% at or below	100% at/below		
Composition of household	43% 1 bio parent 43% 2 bio parents 14% relative			
Assessed risk level		All Diversion	All Diversion	
Type of residential placement	0 Youth Camp 3 DCFS	0 0	0 0	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	3	0	0	

Washoe County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.65	15.63	15.83	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	66.6% Male	67.00%		Referral Data

	33.4% Female	33.00%		
Race / ethnicity	44.4% White 55.6% Minority	42.60% 57.40%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	46% At or below 54% Above	33 at/b		
Composition of household	60% 1 bio parent 18% 2 bio parents 13% relative 9% other			
Assessed risk level		None Reported	None Reported	
Type of residential placement	42 Youth Camp 38 DCFS	31 Camp 42 DCFS	15 Camp 32 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	292	210	180	

White Pine County

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Age	15.50	NA	15.33	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	66.4% Male 33.6% Female	61.60% 38.40%		Referral Data
Race / ethnicity	73.8% White 26.2% Minority	64.80% 35.20%		Referral Data
Family poverty level	33% At or below 67% Above			
Composition of household	48% 1 bio parent 30% 2 bio parents 15% Relative 7% Group Home			
Assessed risk level			100 % M	
Type of residential placement	5 Youth Camp 1 DCFS	3 Camp 3 DCFS	1 Camp 2 DCFS	
Type / number of violations of probation charges	16	12	15	

COUNTY-BY-COUNTY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The following performance measures reflect overall statewide performance as reported by individual counties. The County-by-County detail can be found on the subsequent pages.

All Counties' Total

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		13	13		
Number of cases diverted	10,177	5,830	2,848		
Number of felonies diverted	253	277	111		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	4,776	164	83		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism					
Measure 1: Re-arrest		11.39%	33.48%		
Measure 2: Re-adjudication		6.70%	16.58%		
Percent of family surveys completed	Pending	Pending	Pending		
Percent of youth with a case plan		95.31%	94.91%		
Percent of youth with family engagement (Case Planning Process)		90.50%	85.09%		

Carson City

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per Juvenile Court District	6	9	9		List Provided
Number of cases diverted	369	334	256		
Number of felonies diverted	24	11	5		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	13	11	12		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		13.31% 15.79%	No data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	100%		

Churchill County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	234	169	104		
Number of felonies diverted	3	8	3		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	2	4	3		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		10.04% 12.78%	11.47% 24.44%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		98%	98%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		25%	96%		

Clark County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	7,010	3,381	1,088		

Number of felonies diverted	125	66	36		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	55	24	9		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		8.89% 5.62%	6.52% 3.61%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		No data	No data		
Percent of youth with family engagement		No data	No data		

Douglas County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	418	109	47		
Number of felonies diverted	0	2	9		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	1	1		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		78.79% No data available	No data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		No data	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		No data	100%		

Elko County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	41	124	144		
Number of felonies diverted	32	10	11		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	126	6	7		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		2.43% No data available	No Data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		No data	No data		
Percent of youth with family engagement		No data	No data		

Esmerelda County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District					
Number of cases diverted	0	0	0		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	0	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism	0	0	0		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		No data	0		
Percent of youth with family engagement		No data	0		

Eureka County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		2	2		
Number of cases diverted	1	3	0		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	0	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		0% 0%	0% 0%		
Percent of family surveys completed					

Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	NA		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	NA		

Humboldt County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	82	164	124		
Number of felonies diverted	6	3	2		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	4	64	4		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		3.45% No data available	No data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	NA		No Closures

Lander County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		2	2		
Number of cases diverted	49	10	20		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	1	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		37.50% 17.65%	37.50% 5.88%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	100%		

Lincoln County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District	9	9	9		
Number of cases diverted	0	4	0		
Number of felonies diverted	0	3	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	0	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		0% 0%	No data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	No data		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	No data		

Lyon County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	365	191	137		
Number of felonies diverted	50	20	3		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	17	3	2		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		30.86% 1.30%	No Data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		99%	No Data		

Mineral County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		2	2		
Number of cases diverted	17	18	14		

Number of felonies diverted	4	5	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	7	4	2		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		100% 77.78%	100% 22.22%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	0%		

Nye County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District	1	1	1		
Number of cases diverted	105	194	172		
Number of felonies diverted	9	37	26		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	17	18	11		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		29.59% 14.52%	0.39% 0.00%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		41%	No data		
Percent of youth with family engagement		41%	No data		

Pershing County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		2	2		
Number of cases diverted	7	8	1		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	0	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		100% 47.83%	28.57% 39.13%		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	46%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	NA		No closures

Storey County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District	6	9	9		
Number of cases diverted	11	2	7		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	0		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	0	0	0		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		0% 0%	No Data		
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	100%		

Washoe County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		Unknown	Unknown		
Number of cases diverted	2,808	1,105	693		
Number of felonies diverted	48	112	15		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	17	28	31		

Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism Measure 1: Re-arrest Measure 2: Re-adjudication		16.24% 6.65%	18.59% 31.76%		
Percent of family surveys completed					

Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	100%		

White Pine County

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District		2	2		
Number of cases diverted	26	14	41		
Number of felonies diverted	0	0	1		
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	2	0	1		


Youth and Family Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Rate of recidivism					
Measure 1: Re-arrest		6.67%	No data		
Measure 2: Re-adjudication		9.62%			
Percent of family surveys completed					
Percent of youth with a case plan		100%	100%		
Percent of youth with family engagement		100%	NA		No Closures

JUVENILE COURT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Number of youths who have a parent / guardian (including an agency custodian) at hearings	53% Detention 72% Plea 67% Disposition	91.62% De 99.17% P 99.27% Di			FY 20: All Counties reported except Clark and Douglas
Number of youths who have legal representation	69% Detention 58% Plea 56% Disposition	56.48% De 78.00% P 77.08% Di			FY 20: All Counties reported except Clark and Douglas
Number of detention hearings within 72 hours (<i>72 hours begins with time booked, excluding weekends and holidays</i>)	100%	99.27%			FY 20: All Counties reported except Clark and Douglas
Number of victims / victims' families present at disposition					FY 21 partial FY 22 full
Victim / family satisfaction with outcomes of the disposition (via survey results)					

PROBATION AND PAROLE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Percent increase / decrease in overall risk score from the initial YLS to any YLS reassessments	Average Score at Commitment	Average Score at Completion	% Change	State Commitment Data
Percent increase / decrease in overall risk score from the initial YLS to any YLS reassessments (0 – 30)	24.58 (high)	19.68 (moderate)	19.93% Decrease	State Commitment Data
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of prior and current offenses (0-5)	2.54			#6
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of dispositions, family circumstances (0-6)	3.70			#1
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of parenting, education/employment (0-7)	3.19			#4
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of Peer Relations (0-4)	3.59			#2
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of Employment Substance abuse, (0-5)	2.52			#7
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of recreation/leisure (0-3)	2.27			#8
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of personality/behavior (0-7)	3.59			#2
Percent increase / decrease in the risk score of attitudes/orientation (0-5)	3.02			#5



Additional Measures:

Average age at commitment to DCFS in 2021	16.14 years of age
Percent of YLS Change from Commitment to Successful Closure (58.65% of total closures)	23.58 (Commitment Average) (High) 15.54 (Successful Discharge Average) (Moderate) 34.09% decrease in score
Percent of YLS Change from Commitment to Unsuccessful Closure (41.35% of total closures)	23.97 (Commitment Average) (High) 24.17 (Unsuccessful Discharge Average) (High) .08% increase in score

STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES, GROUP HOMES, RTC & YOUTH CAMP PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CPC Performance Based Standards	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	STATUS YOY% Change	EXPLANATION
Program Leadership & Development	68.4%	62.34%	Pending		Average of State Facilities and Youth Camps
Adherence	Very High	Very High	Pending		
Staff Characteristics	50.9%	49.08	Pending		
Adherence	Moderate	Moderate	Pending		
Offender Assessment	68.0%	84.00%	Pending		
Adherence	Very High	Very High	Pending		
Treatment Characteristics	34.5%	39.10%	Pending		
Adherence	Low	Low	Pending		
Quality Assurance		27.20%	Pending		
Adherence		Low	Pending		



JUVENILE JUSTICE OVERSIGHT COMMISSION FY19 SCORECARD & PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

Reporting Period: FY 22 and FY23 Summary Data Only

STATEWIDE SCORECARD

JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM TRENDS	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	FY 22 ACTUAL	FY 23 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Rate of Recidivism Re arrest (County Measure 1) Re adjudication (County Measure 2) Revocation (State) Public Safety Records (Adult Arrests - Pending)	NA	11.39% 6.70% 28.27%	33.48% 16.58% 30.25%	22.98% 17.98% 26.67%	25.43% 21.79% 37.35%	Current Update: DHHS Office of Analytics has access to arrest records; This is a work in progress. All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Percent of youth who are minorities (disproportionate minorities) (Arrest data)	69.80%	72.00%	67.92%	71.14%	75.23%	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Percent of families in the juvenile justice system at or below the poverty level (Arrest data)	41.3%	Sample was too small	46.13%	Sample was too small	Sample was too small	
Percent of youth in the juvenile justice system with case plans (County)	100%	95.31%	94.91%	100%	Pending	
Percent increase / decrease in Arrests New Booking New Citation	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Current Update: DHHS Office of Analytics has access to arrest records; This is a work in progress.
Percent increase / decrease in re-commitment (State revocations)	14% Decrease	2.89% Decrease	3.89% Decrease	2.84% Decrease	40.04% Increase	
Percent increase / decrease in violations of parole or probation						Current Update: Parole violations is too broad.
Percent increase / decrease in re-adjudication	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	Data no good, must be youth specific	
Number of convictions in the adult system (County Certifications)	65	38	61	61	83	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Adult Convictions (Pending)						Current Update: The JJ Stakeholders do not have access to this data.

COUNTY SYSTEM TRENDS:

The following trends reflect the overall statewide system trends as reported by individual counties.

Individual County detail can be found on the following pages.

All Counties' Total

	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	FY 22 ACTUAL	FY 23 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
Nature and number of juvenile court referrals	18,609	13,514	9,755	13,176	14,875	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Type and number of charges	8,314	6,189	4,320	4,538	5,477	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Arrests						
Type and number of violations of probation charges						Current Update: Parole violations is too broad.
Number of cases by disposition	3,926	2,518	1,863	2,253	2,482	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Placed in County Camp	317	274	153	195	174	
Committed to the State	245	233	174	194	240	
Placed on Formal Probation	3,093	2,642	1,536	2,133	2,163	
Out of state placements (TOTAL)	150	138	133	75	123	https://app.powerbigov.us/view?r=eyJrIjoiazDkzNTAxMGUtN2VhMi00Y2ZjLWJhODAtYmM0ZGUwMjksOTM4liwidCI6ImU0YTM0MGU2LWI4OWUtNGU2OC04ZWFlTE1NDRkMjcwMzk4MCJ9
County Probation	100	79	76	38	65	
State Youth Parole	2	5	13	11	17	
Child Welfare	48	54	44	26	41	

All Counties' Total

	FY19					
Age	15.77	15.51	15.63		15.41	From CCP Block Grant
Sex	66.76% Male 33.24% Female	67.94% M 32.06% F	65.00% M 35.00% F	77.00% M 23.00% F	75.64% M 24.36% F	Referral Data FY 22 – Used CCP Block Grant
Race / ethnicity	32.65% White 67.35% Minority	32.66% W 67.34% M	41.47 % W 58.53% M	49.21% W 50.79% M	59.06% W 40.94% M	Referral Data FY 22 – Used CCP Block Grant
Family poverty level (Arrest Data)	59% Above 41% At or below	Not enough data	Not enough data	Not enough data	Not enough data	Arrest Data 634 reported youth out of 6,189 Arrests
Composition of household (Arrest Data)	57% 1 bio parent 20% group home 15% 2 bio parents 8% Other	Not enough data	Not enough data	Not enough data	Not enough data	Arrest Data 1,698 reported youth out of 6,189 Arrests
Assessed risk level		3.65% VH 34.21% H 42.52% M 19.62% L	0% VH 24.94% H 53.23% M 21.83% L	3.25% VH 25.99% H 42.00% M 28.77% L	4.77% VH 23.21% H 41.54% M 29.39% L 1.08% Incompetent	From CCP Block Grant
Type of residential placement	317 Camp 245 DCFS	274 Camp 233 DCFS	153 Camp 174 DCFS	195 Camp 194 DCFS	174 Camp 240 DCFS	All jurisdictions except Elko
Type / number of violations of probation charges						Current Update: Parole violations is too broad.

COUNTY-BY-COUNTY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The following performance measures reflect overall statewide performance as reported by individual counties. The County-by-County detail can be found on the subsequent pages.

All Counties' Total

Case Indicators	FY19 BENCHMARK	FY20 ACTUAL	FY 21 ACTUAL	FY 22 ACTUAL	FY 23 ACTUAL	EXPLANATION
List of evidence-based practices per juvenile Court District	13	13	13	13	13	
Number of cases diverted	10,177	5,830	2,848	4,004	5,094	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Number of felonies diverted	253	277	111	233	360	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23
Number of gross misdemeanors diverted	4,776	164	83	105	307	All jurisdictions except Elko for FY 23