Strategic Plan Working Ideas – Attachment 5

| Goal #1 Option | Goal #2 (Current) | Goal #3 (Current) | Goal #4 Option: | Goal #5 Option: | Goal #6: Option |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Review/Revision of Statutes with goal of providing clarification as to the requirements of the statutes | Proven Programs | System Collaboration/Data Sharing | Stakeholder Education | System Gaps | Obtaining data from Courts and Jails |
| NRS 62H.170 - Effect of Sealing Records: Identification if/when sealed records should be included in data sharing and/or for research purposes. | Community Corrections Partnership Black Grant requires 100% evidence-based programing utilizing Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation EBP matrix. Quality Assurance Tool has been created but need the Vendor to 1) Review completed Tools, or 2) go on site, review the program, and complete the tool themselves. | Identification of specific measurements for recidivism | Ensure that all stakeholders within the juvenile justice system are educated on confidentiality, information sharing restrictions, and available and sharable data to increase knowledge, understanding and effective working relationships between stakeholders This includes courts and departments of juvenile services. | Status Offender Data - out of state runaways, lack runaway or adolescent shelters. Abuse/Neglect shelters not appropriate. | There is data that is better received from the courts: 1) Competency Hearings and Outcomes, 2) Pettitons filed, 3) Certifications, 4) Direct Files, 5) Dispositions, 6) Convictions, 7) Number of youth with legal representation, and 3) Number of youth with family present. Partner with the Office of Analytics to identify a method of obtaining court data. (The Office does not currently have access to court data). This may require statutes. |
| NRS 62B.215/NRS 63.215 Conditions and Limitations of Room Confinement: Last updated more than 10 years ago; look at best practices and results of DOJ investigation | Continue conversation with the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ) to determine juvenile services needs. NCJFC states there may be other funding options dependent upon needs. Juvenile Justice Chiefs to discuss in Nevada Association Juvenile Justice Administrators (NAJJA) to provide feedback to NCJFCJ | Annual quality assurance review; intent of AB 472 was to include probation offices and community providers. | Ensure that all prisons, jails, detention facilities, lockup, etc receive education in the JJDPA. | Specialized Foster Care: What would a specialized foster care look like for JJ. | |
| Add Community Corrections Partnership Block Grant; 1) Disbursement calculation, 2) Data Requirements, and 3) Uses of funds | Staffing the resource center: someone to answer questions or provide guidance and/or technical assistance on programs. | Create a protocol or outline to ensure uniform data collection across state; eliminate the submission of unclean data - Possible use of updated Data Dictionary | | Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment Programs | There is data that is necessary from adult jails. Direct file juveniles may be placed in adult jails pending trial and juveniles on probation are placed in adult jails. Partner with the Office of Analytics to identify a method of obtaining jail data. (The |
| Add Use of Force/Use of Restraints: 1) Reasons for the use of force/restraints, 2) Allowable restraint types, 3) Training requirements, 4) De-escalation techniques, and 5) Reporting requirements including the use of Corrective Room Restriction after a Use of Force. | Review and identify a different quality assurance assessment tool to meet the requirements of NRS 628.620. The CPC is proprietary and requires funding to maintain a pool of trained assessors. | | | Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment Programs | Office does not currently have access to jail data). This may require statutes. |
| Add Death in Custody into NRS 62. NRS 4328 does not apply. 1) Notification requirements, and 2) Reporting requirements. | | | | Gather competency data to identify the scope of the problem and to identify the treatment to restore competency. | |



JUVENILE JUSTICE OVERSIGHT COMMISSION FY2019-23 STRATEGIC PLAN

STATUS UPDATE

GOAL 1 FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP

| INITIATIVES / ACTIONS | Year 0 (FY2017-18) | Year 1 (FY2018-19) | Year 2 (FY2019-20) | Year 3 (FY2020-21) | YEARS 4-5 (FY2021- 23) | Responsible Party |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| INITIATIVE 1.1 RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL: Determine when the risk and needs assessment tool is used. | | | | | | |
| RISK ASSESSMENT TOOL: Add the risk assessment tools in Caseload Pro | Completed | Policy Rollout | Implementation Counties Executing | Completed | NA | State with NYSAP ¹ |
| Risk assessment tool funding | DCFS pays 100% of risk assessment tool cost | DCFS pays 50% of risk assessment tool cost / Counties pay 50% | Counties pay 100% of risk assessment tool cost | Completed | NA | State Counties |
| INITIATIVE 1.2 REPORTING POLICY: Develop policy for reporting requirements from use of tool. | Planning Policy | Rollout Implementation Counties Executing (partial) | Implementation Counties Executing (remaining) Quality Assurance | Completed | NA | State with NYSAP |
| INITIATIVE 1.3 CASE PLANNING POLICY: Develop policy for decision- making for case planning for courts regarding the scoring of the tool. | Planning Policy | Rollout Implementation Counties Executing (partial) | Implementation Counties Executing (remaining) Quality Assurance | Completed | NA | State with NYSAP |

Update:

1) Selected and implemented the Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory statewide.

2) Imbedded the YLS/CMI into Enterprise Supervision

3) Created YLS/CMI policy with NYSAP

4) Initiated contracts for ongoing use of the YLS/CMI

5) Selected and enhanced a statewide case plan template.

6) Imbedded the case plan template into Tyler Supervision

¹ National Youth Screening and Assessment Partners

GOAL 2 FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP

| ACTIONS | Year 0 (FY2017-18) | Year 1 (FY2018-19) | Year 2 (FY2019-20) | Year 3 (FY2020-21) | YEARS 4-5 (FY2021- 23) | Responsible Party |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| INITIATIVE 2.1 EBP RESO | URCE CENTER: I | Ensure the sustainal | bility of the evidence | e-based practices re | esource "ce | nter." |
| RESOURCE CENTER CREATION: Establish the EBP Resource Center. | Planning Policy | Rollout Implementation Counties Executing (use of EBPRC) | Completed | NA | | 11OC |
| FUNDING: Secure sustainable funding of EBP Resource Center. Only funds, \$51,750 per year from federal grant funds.* | | Planning | Completed, but preliminarily. | NA | | Funding |
| EBP SUBCOMMITEE: Establish EBP Evaluation subcommittee of JJOC (if sustainable funding for EBPRC is not funded) | | Planning | Completed, but preliminarily. | NA | | Strategic Planning Committee |
| INITIATIVE 2.2 EBP CRITE | CRIA: Establish cri | teria and process fo practices. | r identifying and ev | aluating evidence-b | based prog | rams / |
| EBP DEFINITIONS: Develop EBP Definitions Matrix and process for evaluating practices | Planning Policy | Rollout | Completed | NA | | IJOC |
| EBP EVALUATION: Define the process for evaluating EBPs | | Planning Policy | Completed | NA | | IJOC |
| COUNTIES EBP ASSESSMENT: Assess current practices to determine number of practices or programs that are evidence-based. | | Rollout | No authority to ensure this was completed by counties | NA | | Counties |
| STATES EBP ASSESSMENT: Assess current practices to determine number of practices or programs that are evidence-based. | | Rollout | Not Completed** | NA | | State |

Update:

1) Selected a vendor to establish a platform for evidence-based programs and services.

2) Launched a website for Nevada.

3) JJOC developed and approved an Evidence Based Definition Matrix.

4) JJOC selected and implemented a validated quality assurance tool to assess compliance and adherence to evidence-based programs and services.

* Funding is currently inadequate for the EBP Resource Center and sustainability has not yet been established.

** Pending EBP Quality Assurance Tool to assess programs and practices – in process.

| INITIATIVE 2.3 EBP INVER | NTORY: Complete and | l maintain an inventory | of existing evidence- | based practices in N | evada. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| INVENTORY: Conduct an inventory of current practices and programs currently underway / in use by state, county and service providers | Planning Implementation Counties Executing | Policy | Completed | NA | | |
| EBP DATABASE: Develop and maintain a database that reflects ongoing changes to state, county and service provider EBP practices and programs. | | Rollout Implementation Counties Executing | Completed, but preliminarily. | NA | | Funding |
| AB 472 : DCFS and each department of juvenile services shall use the following percentages of money receive from the State as described in subsection 1 to develop, promote and coordinate evidence-based programs and practices | | | Completed | 50% | 75% (FY21- 22) 100% thereafter | State |
| INITIATIVE 2 | .4 EBP PRACTICES: | Strengthen or replace e | vidence-based practice | s to improve outcomes. | | |
| PROVIDERS POLICY: Conduct an audit and evidence- based practice improvement / replacement program, including corrective action. | | Planning Policy | No authority | Quality Assurance | | State & Counties |
| COUNTY POLICY: Conduct an audit and evidence based- practice improvement / replacement program, including corrective action. | | Planning Policy | No authority | Quality Assurance | | State & Counties |
| INITIATIVE 2.5 COUNTY ENG | AGEMENT: Inform a | nd engage Counties of th | ne intent and desired or | itcomes and resources | outlined in Al | 3 472. |
| COLLATERAL: Develop support and information collateral for Counties and service providers. | | Policy | No authority; not feasible | NA | | State |
| ROADSHOW: Conduct a County "roadshow" to inform County and service provider stakeholders of the intent of AB 472, value of EBPs, JJOC and AB 472's timing expectations / requirements, and support and resources available. | | Rollout Implementation Counties Executing to Providers (partial) | Completed | NA | | State |
| ONGOING COMMUNICATION: Establish outbound, regular communications for updates and reminders to counties and service providers. | | Policy Implementation | No authority; not feasible | NA | | State |

Update:

1) Created implementation guides for stakeholders.

2) Conducted a roadshow in 2018.

3) JJOC selected and implemented a validated quality assurance tool to assess compliance and adherence to evidence-based programs and services.

4) The State does not have the authority to oversee counties and/or providers.

5) The State does not know all of the service providers used by county jurisdictions.

GOAL 3 FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP

| ACTIONS | Year 0 (FY2017-18) | Year 1 (FY2018-19) | Year 2 (FY2019-20) | Year 3 (FY2020-21) | YEARS 4-5 (FY2021-23) | Responsible Party |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| INITIATIVE 3 | .1 CASELOAD PRO | Complete the implement | ntation of Caseload Pro Pl | nases I & II across all C | ounties. | |
| PH II PLAN: Develop Phase 2 Plan with Caseload Pro. | | Planning Policy Rollout Counties Executing | Not Completed, no plan or funding for Phase 2 | NA | | State |
| DATA SHARING: Develop data sharing agreements between State and Counties. Completed by may be enhanced with the Office of Analytics now involved in juvenile justice data gathering. | | Planning Policy Rollout Implementation Counties Executing | Completed | NA | | State Counties |
| | INITIATIVE 3.2 | QA REVIEW: Conduct | t annual quality assuran | ce reviews. | | |
| QA TOOL: Conduct training and certification to the QA tool. | Planning | Policy Rollout | Completed | NA | | State |
| FACILITY TRAINING: Train facility management and staff regarding performance measures in the tool. | | Planning Rollout | Completed | NA | | State |
| PbS: Determine / cross-walk PbS with QA tool for facilities. | Planning | Planning Rollout | Completed | NA | | State |
| DETENTION CENTERS & COUNTIES: Expand the use of the tool to detention centers and Counties. | | Planning | No authority; not feasible | Quality Assurance | | State |
| COMMUNITY PROVIDERS: Expand the use of the tool to community providers | | Planning | No authority; not feasible | Quality Assurance | | State & Counties |
| INITIATIVE 3.3 PERFORMANC | CE REPORTING: Co | unties provide annual l | Performance Reporting | based on approved pe | rformance meas | sures. |
| PROCEDURE: Develop procedure for reporting by Counties. | Policy | Implementation Counties & State Executing (partial) | No authority | NA | | State & JJOC |
| PERFORMANCE MEASURES: Determine performance measures with definitions. | Planning Policy | Rollout Implementation | Completed | NA | | JJOC & State |
| REPORTS: Develop reports within Caseload Pro. | Planning | Policy | Ongoing | NA | | State |

| | | | Rollout Implementation | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| REPORTS ACCESS: 1 to gain access to report | Determine process for State ts | | Planning Policy Rollout Implementation | Not completed without Phase II of Caseload Pro | NA | | State |
| and report to Governor required outcome meas (County & Parole) and | OLICY: Determine Policy for JJOS to review ad report to Governor and Legislature on quired outcome measures by January 31 County & Parole) and July 1 (DCFS) AB 472: Reporting Schedule: | | Policy Rollout | This element appears to have been codified in in both NRS 62B.640 and NRS 62H.225. Both NRS's put the onus on DCFS to provide reports but does not require Counties or the JJOC to review. Further, the due date for the report in | | | |
| County & ParoleDCFSBy January 31, 2019By July 1, 2019By January 31, 2020By July 1, 2020By January 31, 2021By July 1, 2021By January 31, 2022By July 1, 2022By January 31, 2023By July 1, 2023 | By July 1, 2019 By July 1, 2020 By July 1, 2021 By July 1, 2022 | Planning | Implementation Counties Executing (Manually) Quality Assurance | NRS 62B.640 is July 1, annually, and the due date for the report in NRS 62H.225 is January 1. However, there are no due dates for counties to provide data to DCFS for them to complete the reports by the identified due dates. | NA | | |
| | | | | Completed annually. | | | |
| INITIATIVE 3.4 | CROSS AGENCY CO pro | | | providers, including chil oral Health, and Nevada | | nental health, c | ommunity |
| CONTACTS: Determi various children servic | | | Planning | No authority; not feasible | Rollout Implementation | Quality Assurance | State & Counties |
| STAKEHOLDER PROFILES: Determine strengths and needs for each stakeholder agency. | | Planning | No authority; not feasible | Rollout Implementation | Quality Assurance | State & Counties | |
| MOUS: Develop MOUs for collaboration and cooperation with each agency. | | | Planning | No authority; not feasible | Rollout Implementation | Quality Assurance | State |

Update:

1. No plan or funding for Phase II of Caseload Pro, now Enterprise Supervision, which would include bridges for data sharing and process for the State to gain access to reports.

2. Selected a quality assurance tool, the CPC, and began QA reviews of state facilities and youth camps. No authority or resources to expand to county detention centers or providers.

3. No authority to develop a reporting policy for counties.

4. The creation of reports in Caseload Pro, now Enterprise Supervision, is costly and has been costly. DCFS has worked on this throughout the life of the Plan and continues to work on this. Note: A report will only work statewide if all entities enter data and define data the same way. Any deviations render a report useless.

5. Elements of AB 472 were not codified into NRS in the same manner, example is NRS 62B.640 which *reads "On or before July 1, 2019, and on or before July 1 of every year thereafter, the Division of Child and Family Services shall submit to the Governor, to the Commission and to the Director of the Legislative Counsel Bureau for transmittal to the next regular session of the Legislature, a report detailing the Division's compliance with the evidence-based standards developed by the Commission pursuant to <u>NRS 62B.615</u> and an analysis of the data collected based on the performance measures adopted by the Division pursuant to <u>NRS 62H.200</u>". (Added to NRS by 2017, 4383) There is no mention of review by counties by January 1, or by the JJOC.*

Further, DCFS has been unable to create regulations pursuant to NRS 62H.200 as NAC 62H was outdated and last codified in 1998, and required a complete re-write before DCFS began working on performance measures. NAC 62H re-write was approved on November 10, 2022. As of April 1, 2024, the approved re-write has not been codified into NAC. The re-write contained some areas that needed correction, and performance measures pursuant to NRS 62H needed to be identified. It was the intent of DCFS to immediately re-open the NAC after approval as evidenced by the November 10, 2022, Hearing Transcription. *"I believe the best plan is to pass what we have today and if we could receive from one of the counties of formal request to reopen. Via letter or inquiry, we can turn around and reopen, and not hold up the other language that we've really worked so hard"*, stated DCFS Administrator Cindy Pitlock.

6. Cross agency collaboration is not feasible with the number of agency's involved, and many are not under the juvenile justice system.

GOAL 4 FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP

| ACTIONS | Year 0 (FY2017-18) | Year 1 (FY2018-19) | Year 2 (FY2019-20) | Year 3 (FY2020-21) | Years 4-5 (FY2021- 23) | Responsible Party | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| INITIATIVE 4.1 FAMILY ENGAG | EMENT PLAN / I | | EDURE: The DCF nily engagement p | - | ment of juv | enile services sha | ll develop and implement a | |
| FAMILY ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE: Identify Family Engagement subcommittee. | | Planning | | Vendor completed this partially, funding | NA | | JJOC | |
| FAMILY ENGAGEMENT EBPS: Review & identify evidence-based standards for Family Engagement. | | Planning | | Vendor completed this partially, funding | NA | Subcommittee | | |
| FAMILY ENGAGEMENT POLICY: Develop Policy for Family Engagement. | | Policy | Rollout | Completed at State Level; No authority to ensure this was completed by counties | NA | Subcommittee & State | | |
| FAMILY ENGAGEMENT HANDBOOK: Develop a handbook for State and Counties on Family Engagement. | | | Implementation Counties Executing | Completed at State Level; No authority to ensure this was completed by counties | NA | Subcommittee & State | | |
| INITIATIVE 4.2 CASE PLANS / RE- the supervision of | | | | | | | | |
| CASE PLAN SUBCOMMITTEE: Identify the subcommittee. | e Case Planning | | Planning | Completed with NYSAP | | NA | JJOC | |
| CASE PLAN REQUIREMENTS: Review AB 472 requirements for case planning. | | | Planning | Completed with NYSAP | | NA | Subcommittee | |
| CASE PLAN EBPS: Review National standards and evidence- based case planning standards. | | | Planning | Completed with NYSAP | | NA | Subcommittee | |
| CASE PLAN TOOLS: Ensure case planning tools are in Caseload Pro | | Implementation | Quality Assurance | Completed Case Plan in Enterprise Supervision has functionality | | NA | State | |

| | | | | issues that require This has not yet | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------|--|-------|
| CASE PLAN REPORTS: Ensure case planning is tied to reports on the YLS and MAYSI-2. | | Implementation | Quality Assurance | Completed Case Plan in Enterprise Supervision has functionality | | NA | | State |
| CASE PLAN HANDBOOK: Develop a Case Planning Handbook. | | Policy Rollout Implementation Counties Executing | | Not Completed – This could be something for the Vendor. | NA | Subcommittee & State | | State |

Update:

1) EBP Vendor reviewed current programs and practices.

2) EBP Vendor created a website with a list of common EBPs for juveniles. Not all inclusive at this point.

3) DCFS created a Case Plan Policy.

4) Selected and enhanced a statewide case plan template.

5) Imbedded the case plan template into Enterprise Supervision.

6) Have not completed a Case Plan Handbook – could be something for the EBP Vendor with appropriate funding.