

Joe Lombardo
Governor

Richard Whitley, MS
Director



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

DIVISION OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES
Helping people. It's who we are and what we do.



Cindy Pitlock, DNP
Administrator

Nevada State Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING August 24, 2023 at 2:00 pm

TRANSCRIPT

Called to order at 2:08 p.m.

Roll Call:

(Voting Members)

Present: Elizabeth Florez (Chair), Brigid Duffy, Jennifer Fraser, Dylan Tedford

Absent:

(Non-Voting Members)

Present: Mike Whelihan

Absent:

(Staff Members)

Present: , Cindy Casselman, Ethan Ewert,

(Public)

Cadence Matijevich- Washoe County's Government Affairs Liaison

Cindy Casselman: Kayla must be on then. Yep.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay.

Cindy Casselman: Let me tell -- let her know. Kayla --

Elizabeth Florez: I just texted, oh, there's Leslie. I just texted, I just texted Brigid again, just to see if she has a timeline.

Leslie Bittleston: We have Jennifer.

Elizabeth Florez: Yeah, I don't believe we have quorum. I know we don't have quorum without Brigid. So, right? Or do we?

Leslie Bittleston: Well, we have Mr. Tedford for half an hour.

Elizabeth Florez: Oh, okay. So, I have quorum?

Leslie Bittleston: Yep.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. I was unaware. Okay. Alright.

Leslie Bittleston: There he is.

Elizabeth Florez: There he is. Okay. Thank you. Thank you. I'm -- I apologize. I'm looking for Leslie's guidance here. So, with that, hello everybody, good afternoon and we will call the meeting to order at 2:08. And with that, Leslie, can you please take rolls?

Leslie Bittleston: Yep. As I'm trying to log on twice, my computer is doing some whacked out stuff. Okay. Sorry, Elizabeth Florez?

Elizabeth Florez: Present.

Leslie Bittleston: Jennifer Fraser?

Jennifer Fraser: Here.

Leslie Bittleston: Brigid Duffy? Not here. And Mr. Tedford?

Dylan Tedford: Here.

Leslie Bittleston: Alright. Wonderful, thank you. And I think for sake of introductions and identifying everybody on the call, I'll start, I would just like to do that -- I'm, oh, there's some feedback.

Leslie Bittleston: Hold on.

Elizabeth Florez: There's somebody else.

Leslie Bittleston: It's me. Hold on. Okay.

Elizabeth Florez: It's gone. Okay.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, I'm telling you, my com -- I tried to stop my computer from updating and it did it anyway, and I'm struggling with it.

Elizabeth Florez: I think we're good for the moment. So, I'll go ahead and start. And, and after me, if people could just identify themselves. My name is Elizabeth Florez. I was recently reappointed to the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission and am the Chair of the Strategic Planning Committee and I'm also the Director of Washoe County Juvenile Services.

Cindy Casselman: And hi, I'm Cindy Casselman, I'm with the Juvenile Programs Office here with DCFS. I am the Statewide PREA Coordinator.

Ethan Ewert: Hi, I'm Ethan Ewert. I'm also under Juvenile Justice under Leslie.

Leslie Bittleston: And I am Leslie Bittleston, I'm the chief of the Juvenile Justice Programs Office and let's see, and Kayla is also on here, she's part of our team and Jennifer, do you want to introduce yourself?

Jennifer Fraser: Sure. Hi, everyone, I'm Jennifer Fraser. I'm the Chief Deputy Public Defender at Clark County in the Juvenile Division, recently reappointed to the JJOC as well, just have to get my, my notarized things in. This meeting reminded me about that.

Leslie Bittleston: And then before we get to Mr. Tedford, we have Candace.

Elizabeth Florez: It's Cadence.

Leslie Bittleston: Oh, Cadence.

Elizabeth Florez: Yeah, I corrected her.

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah, you did. Okay, she's from Washoe County.

Cadence Matijevich: Yes, good afternoon, everybody. I'm Cadence Matijevich. I'm Washoe County's Government Affairs Liaison, and I'm relatively new to my role with Washoe County, not new to government in Nevada, but just tuning in today to learn a little bit more about the Oversight Commission so, I'm your member of the public attending today.

Leslie Bittleston: Alright, thank you. And before we move on, Madam Chair, I would like to introduce Mr. Dylan Tedford. He is recently appointed as the governor's designee and Dylan -- Mr. Tedford and I have emailed back and forth so we have not had the opportunity to meet and have a, a big discussion about the function of the JJOC yet. But I invited him to this meeting and to be a part of this group since this is where we do a lot of the work of the JJOC. So, Mr. Tedford, do you want to introduce yourself?

Dylan Tedford: Please, thank you for having me today. It's great to be here. Nice to meet all of you for the first time and see you all face to face. I've seen a lot of your names on email chains. I'm -- technically my title here in the governor's office is that I'm his Deputy General Counsel so, I'm an attorney by trade. And I'm really excited to get to know a little bit more about the background and context of the situation here and how we've been working. Great to see that Leslie's a Giants fan and I'm, I'm ready to get to work with everybody so, excited to meet you. Thank you.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you. Welcome everybody. And typically, Brigid Duffy is present, but she's been otherwise detained. So, with that, I'll move on to agenda item number three, public comment, and discussion. Is there any public comment? And Mr. Tedford, Attorneys Brigid and Jennifer know that open meeting laws are not my forte so, if at any point somebody wants to yell at me, please let me know. Sometimes -- it's been a while. I forget my training so.

Dylan Tedford: I think everything's going great so far.

Elizabeth Florez: OK, good.

Dylan Tedford: I hope not to engage on many of those public meeting law issues so life's good.

Elizabeth Florez: Good. Okay. So, there's no public comment discussion. We'll move on to item number four for possible action, review and approve minutes of our June 22nd, 23 meeting. I, I did have some things that I wanted to bring forward that were, I believe need to be corrected and so, I'll address that at this time. I know that there's -- this is sort of an automated transcription. I would just ask that if there could be a search for Florez with an S throughout the document and change those to a Z at the end, please, most of them are correct, but there's a few buried in there. Also, on I believe Senator Ohrenschall's name is misspelled in here a couple of times. If that could be corrected. I saw at least two throughout the document. It's interesting how the auto transcription tries to spell that. Some of that -- one of them was funny if we can get that corrected. And there was one place where I think it said, it gave the line indicating I made the statement, but I believe it was Ms. Sharon Anderson and I'm trying to read my little scribble notes here. On page six where it says EElizabethabeth Florez, Leslie, really quick, I need

to correct that. I believe that was Ms. Anderson because she was talking about who was going to be the designee on behalf of the state for DCFS. So, I believe there's two places, that statement, and then there's the one right after that that's assigned to me. Those both should be, I believe, assigned to Ms. Anderson. And those are all my comments. Does anybody else have any correction to the minutes? Okay, this is where I typically need direction. Do we, do we wait until next meeting to review these before we -- okay.

Leslie Bittleston: Well, there are three members, and Mr. Tedford can he's here, he can abstain if he wants but we need a motion a second and a vote.

Jennifer Fraser: Do you want a motion? I'm sorry, this is Jennifer Fraser for the record. A motion to approve with the suggested edits? Yes? Then I will. This is Jennifer Fraser; I will move to approve the minutes with the suggested edits from today's meeting.

Elizabeth Florez: And I'll second that this is Elizabeth Florez for the record. Am I allowed to do that since I made this suggestion?

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Thank you. So, all those in favor of adopting the minutes with the proposed changes say aye.

Unanimous: Aye.

Elizabeth Florez: Any nays or abstentions? No. Okay, the motion carries. So, the June 22nd, 2023 meeting minutes will be adopted with the changes as outlined. Thank you.

Dylan Tedford: Just for the record, Leslie, I had my notarized oath executed late last week. I just haven't sent it to Idina in our office yet, so I'm sworn in so I can officially vote.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, thank you.

Dylan Tedford: Thank you.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay, with that, we'll move on to item number five, housing -- the youthful housing study update. Ms. Bittleston, I see in the agenda, there is no update.

Leslie Bittleston: There is no update. What I can tell you is that it has been sent over to the Legislative Counsel Bureau and we have received no questions, no feedback from the Legislative Counsel Bureau on, on that report. So, we are in a holding pattern until they give us direction or ask us any questions. So that's where we are with, with that report.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Thank you for that. Are there any questions related to that for Leslie? Okay. We'll move on to agenda item number six, juvenile justice oversight commission membership. I'll turn it over to you, Leslie.

Leslie Bittleston: Thank you. So, since we last met, we have had several appointments to the JJOC. Most have been reappointments, I believe, Chair Florez and member Fraser have both been reappointed. Mr. Tedford has been newly appointed, and we've had a couple other reappointments. We are still waiting for some appointments and just to, to clarify the DCFS appointment since our last meeting, the information has changed a little bit. So, Cindy Pitlock, Dr. Pitlock has decided to reapply for her position. And Deputy Sharon Anderson has applied for the Deputy Administrator position, so we are waiting for

those appointments. We also have two pending youth member appointments and I believe there are three members that are in blue. One is Joey Orduña Hastings. She has chosen not to reapply. And as of the time I posted the materials, I had not heard back from Ms. Duffy or Ms. Graham on if they intended to reapply. So that's where we are. If Ms. Duffy was on today, I would ask her if she was planning on reapplying, but I don't see her. So, so we are just waiting for a couple of more appointments. Mr. Tedford and I have had some back and forth via email and what our plan going forward is, is to do a doodle poll for the end of September, early October to hold a JJOC commission meeting. Mr. Tedford does, does -- like or I don't know what the right word is. He likes the participation in person so, for those of you who are reappointed, we did have one physical location in Carson and one in Las Vegas. So, we will go back to that for the full JJOC meetings, back to in-person is what I'm trying to say.

Dylan Tedford: And I hope that isn't an onerous burden on anyone. I don't want anybody to have to drive too far to get to those meetings. I just think sometimes it's good for people to be in the same room with each other while we're having those meetings and then have some time to discuss, you know, what, what they've thought about those issues in the interim while they have the chance to speak with each other. And for the people that really can't get in, that's totally fine and I understand there are some, you know, I know we have people from Humboldt County in places like that where it's -- my sister was a teacher up there for a few years and it's an awful time trying to get to Carson from Humboldt so I don't want to. know, put that on anybody or make people have to drive all the way down to Vegas from somewhere in central Nevada. But if we can meet in person to the extent we can, that's great and if we can't, then we can't.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, so thank you, Mr. Tedford. So that is the plan. We are waiting for a few more appointments and reappointments and then we will, Kayla will send out a doodle poll for end of September, early October for, for a full JJOC meeting.

Elizabeth Florez: If I may?

Leslie Bittleston: That's -- yeah, go ahead.

Elizabeth Florez: I'm sorry, Leslie at Elizabeth Florez for the record. If I may, Ms. Duffy apologizes for continuing to be detained. But can you please reach out to her again about the process related to application, Leslie?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you very much.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, I will. So, does she intend to reapply?

Elizabeth Florez: Yes, she does.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, okay, I will reach out to her. Alright. So that's all I have on the membership. I just wanted to give a quick update to say that the governor's office has been busy, but they are, like I said, we are still waiting for some additional appointments. Like I said, the two youth members that are pending, we have a law enforcement application pending. We also have a new application pending for the District Attorney in Reno and I can't think of her name is Dawn something.

Elizabeth Florez: Gearhart.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes. Yes. So, so those are the applications. And of course, Ms. Pitlock and Ms. Anderson, we are waiting on their appointments.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Thank you.

Leslie Bittleston: And there is a number B under JJOC, if we're ready to move on to that.

Elizabeth Florez: Yes.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. The second part under this heading is talking about youth stipends and I kind of want to hurry up on this one because I know Mr. Tedford has to leave at 2:30. But one of the questions that came out recently in NAJJA was in order to attract youth to the JJOC, would it be possible for us to provide a stipend? And that came out at the last NAJJA meeting. So, I reached out to my fellow peers in the other states and found that over half of the states provide a youth stipend for, for members of their state advisory group or equivalent to our JJOC. So, I wanted to propose to this group for discussion and, and possible action to take to the full commission to recommend that we provide youth members a stipend of, and as I said, I broke it down \$25 for an hour or up to an hour. \$50 for an hour to three hours. \$75 for over three hours and \$100 if it's like a work group or a -- something where we're spending several hours doing work. And that would be paid for out of the Title II formula grant, the portion that is allocated to the state advisory group. There's \$20,000 allocated every year. So, this, this could fund youth stipend and, and I don't know how we would want to do that, visa gift cards or gas cards or something like that. So that's what I'm presenting to the group for discussion, possible action to take to the full JJOC.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you for that, Leslie and I appreciate that you sought advice from others who are already doing this and, and most of us who've been around for a little bit recall that we've had a long, it's been difficult getting a sustained youth membership on the JJOC and it's not a new thing where the request has been to somehow figure out how to compensate to some extent for their participation, so that's my comment on this. Does anybody else have any comments related to this? So at this point, we'd be taking a vote to move this on to the larger body for continued conversation and potential adoption, correct?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. So, I'd like to make a motion that we support pursuing seeking stipends for youth members as proposed in this attachment from Leslie.

Brigid Duffy: This is Brigid, I'll second. And I'm here for the record.

Leslie Bittleston: Welcome Brigid, I'll mark you down.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay, is there any discussion on the matter?

Dylan Tedford: I don't have any discussion, but if Leslie, if you could send me over just some information from those other states you reached out to or just like a general memo about what those states are and how their stipend programs work, that would be great. I don't, I don't know how big of a deal it is, but I'd just like to see it in front of me.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Dylan Tedford: Thank you.

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah.

Elizabeth Florez: Any other discussion? Oh, okay.

Leslie Bittleston: I was going to say I'll get that together for you.

Dylan Tedford: Thank you.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. So all those in favor of the motion say aye.

Unanimous: Aye.

Elizabeth Florez: Any opposed? Any abstentions? Okay, the motion carries. Thank you. Alright, we'll move on to item number seven, community corrections partnership block grant, and I'll turn it over to Leslie.

Dylan Tedford: Leslie, I'm going to have to step out of here, everyone. Sorry, I got to jump into this other meeting, but it was nice to meet all of you, and I'll see you soon.

Elizabeth Florez: Nice to meet you.

Dylan Tedford: Thank you.

Leslie Bittleston: Thank you. I am having computer problems. Can anybody share their screen with the attachment?

Elizabeth Florez: I'll try. Which one is it? The community corrections, right?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay, let me see if I can, is that working?

Leslie Bittleston: Not yet. Oh, here it comes.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. There you are.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. And it's really small.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Let's see what I can do here.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. So, the Community Corrections Partnership Block Grant, does everybody know what that block grant is or do I need to provide a little background?

Elizabeth Florez: I know what it is. Does anybody else need background?

Leslie Bittleston: No, you do know what it is. Okay, great. So, so this is the summary for state fiscal year '23. So, we are now in state fiscal year '24. So, this is the summary of services provided for this Community Corrections Partnership Block Grant. Statewide, we served 1,170 youth. The average age of those youth was 15.41 years. Chart number one is a breakdown of gender. This is not an unusual breakdown for services in Nevada. We typically have about 75% male and 25% female. Moving on to the

ages, this is a breakdown of age. 44% fall in the 16 to 17 category, 39 in the 13 to 15, and five in the 10 to 12, and then just a portion in 17 plus, which would be 17 up to age, up through 20, so up to their 21st birthday. And then moving on to chart number three, this is a breakdown of race. Majority are Caucasian. The next one is the Latino, Hispanic Latino at 20, I can't see that,

Elizabeth Florez: 23.

Leslie Bittleston: 23%. And then African-American, oh, thank you. I can see the charts; I just couldn't see the numbers and then American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian Pacific Islander and other mix. Chart number four, this is a breakdown of substance abuse diagnosis and mental health diagnosis. I do not have any information on the actual diagnosis, it's just a yes or a no if the youth has a substance abuse diagnosis or a mental health diagnosis or both. So out of the youth served, 11.7% were dual, meaning they had both a substance abuse and a mental health. Almost 20% had a substance abuse and almost 25% had a mental health diagnosis. So, moving on to chart number five. This is the completion statistics for whatever program they provided to the youth. As you can see, almost 80% were successful completion, 14% in process and 6% unsuccessful. The reason this is so high is a lot of the services provided are evaluations and assessments. So, it's just paying for maybe a juvenile sex offender evaluation or a psychosocial or some other type of -- or substance abuse assessment. So that you have the assessment and then it's completed once the assessment is completed. But that is our completion rates. Moving on to chart number six. This is the offense breakdown and the way that we gather offense data for the block grant is we request the highest-level offense, just one offense per youth, whatever that highest level is. And as you can see here, the highest level is open and gross lewdness, followed by controlled substances. It's -- that's a very general term that includes possession, possible sale that type of thing. Marijuana is separated out and marijuana is down the list at 18. So, Marijuana is a status offense now, so that's why it is no longer captured in the controlled substance and then on down the list. This is the assessed risk level of the youth served. Not every youth has a completed YLS, but some do. The YLS, the youth level of service risk and needs assessment that we use, but out of those that do have a completed YLS, 41.5% are in the moderate range, just under 30% in the low range, 23 roughly percent are in the high range. And then I even had a couple that were deemed incompetent and they just indicated incompetent so, I captured that as well. So that's the breakdown of the risk. And what we want to see with the Community Correction Partnership Block Grant, we want to see more lows and moderates. The highs and the very highs are generally what we like to see as committed to DCFS for correctional care. So, this chart looks really good on the youth that are being served in the counties. And moving on to the next, is there another chart or is that it?

Elizabeth Florez: No, I just want to make a comment on that. Is that, you know, for at least I know for -- sorry a bug -- in Washoe County, we do the Community Corrections Block Grant, we do -- I know that they're prevention funds and we do -- we do expend a significant amount on the moderate and low risk individuals but also, we try to, even for the high and very high kids, I mean, depending on the circumstances, I'd really have to flesh this out, but we still want to make sure we've exhausted everything before the time of commitment and sometimes they're extenuating circumstances, not all cases are built the same. And because we have discretion around the YLS where they score high, very high, we really attempt to be thoughtful and not just follow the score in all circumstances. So, I just wanted to make that, make that statement. Thank you.

Leslie Bittleston: Thank you.

Elizabeth Florez: And that was the last one.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, thank you. Are there any questions on the data presented with that? And my next question is, are there other pieces of data that this group would like DCFS staff to prepare and

present? I mean, I don't know if that's something you want to think about, Madam Chair, but as we start going to our next agenda item of strategic planning, what types of data do we think we need to move on to strategic planning? And maybe that's a conversation for the next time you want to think about it, but I just wanted to present kind of that over line of -- that's what we've got for that. And I usually for the Community Partnership Block Grant, I, I do annual data because that is an annual grant. So, we'll see the data at the end of the fiscal year. But there's other pieces of data we can provide more frequently, which could, you know, use of force, room confinement things like that we can provide more often. We can also provide commitment YLS data as just some suggestions.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you for that, Leslie. This is Elizabeth for the record. And I think as we, as we start meeting as a group, a larger group, I imagine there would continue to be a data subcommittee and so, I want to make sure we're not, I think we can, because I think we've been the most active subcommittee, we probably can inform and provide direction and be a part of those conversations, because you're right, it would all fall into the strategic plan. So, I appreciate that we should all probably be thinking about that. What I want us to be mindful of always going forward is that we try to be thoughtful about collecting data, not just for the purpose of collecting data, but to -- so that it's meaningful, that it has a purpose and that there's really going to be somebody on the other end who's educated enough in the system to understand what -- to understand data. And so that's just my comment for right now. I'd have to give it more thought about what more we'd want to collect, but I'm certainly open to other considerations and ideas on this right now from the group.

Mike Whelihan: This is Mike Whelihan for the record. So, I guess to me, like sometimes we want data and we have no mechanism for getting it. So, I think that becomes an issue because everyone wants these quality assurance reports and there's no way to do it unless you do it manually and right now, I know with us and every department in this state, we're short staffed and it's literally impossible to have someone hand pull data. So, I think if we start looking at data, we need to look at the data that we can pull. I might say we can't pull it in the future, but then we need to create mechanisms in our systems to where we can pull it before we require people to collect it.

Elizabeth Florez: Great point. Any other comments related to this? Okay, with that I'll close item number seven unless there's anything else Leslie on that?

Leslie Bittleston: No.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Okay, we'll move on to agenda item number eight discuss the new JJOC strategic plan. And just to go over what we've been working on for a long time here is this plan and to my recollection where we ended up was that we believe that we did not have enough bandwidth as a committee in order to take on this very heavy lift. The first strategic plan, the county had outsourced, I believe, and we were prepared to bring forward that recommendation, but then there was an interruption in the JJOC process. So, with that, I will open it up to you as Leslie first.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes. So, the current strategic plan ends this year. So, I'm just bringing it to the attention of this committee because it was the Strategic Planning Committee that worked with the outsourced vendor to create the, the current strategic plan. At that time, there were, there were funds available as part of the AB472 startup funds that funded the, the vendor to work with the Strategic Planning Committee to create the strategic plan. I did follow up since we last discussed this, I know it's been about a year ago now, but I did follow up with our fiscal department and there are no funds available in DCFS' budget currently to, to hire a vendor to do the strategic planning. The reason I did put that on the agenda is because I think that there may be an opportunity for this committee to recommend to the full JJOC that funds be allocated, you know, every two years or every five years or something for the

purpose of creating a strategic plan if we want a professional one. I'm just throwing that to the committee for discussion and thought.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you.

Mike Whelihan: Mike Whelihan for the record, sorry. I think we talked about this before, Leslie. I think we agreed that once the committee comes back that the state should have a full-time person that literally does this, that you know you guys need more help on your end to collect this data to interact with our liaisons for you know, speaking on NAJJA and you know, Elizabeth and myself and everyone. I think it's -- you guys need some more help and in that way you guys could be in more control of getting those processes in place with the majority and Washoe County doesn't do case load pro, but everyone else does. So, I think getting that on board would be a good avenue to start.

Elizabeth Florez: Brigid or Jennifer, is that your recollection of our past conversations?

Jennifer Fraser: Yes, Jennifer for the record, yes.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay, okay. So not knowing when the full group will meet again, I -- they -- we would need to take this before them. And so, I haven't -- I don't recall this because most of the time I've been on here, the chair of this committee, we haven't met in the larger body. So, for something like that, would we need a motion to, to take that forward to the larger body? This recommendation?

Leslie Bittleston: I believe so and when the larger bodies does start to meet, you as the chair of the committee will provide a committee report. And those items that you want to bring to the full commission would be on that committee report. Oh, I don't know if you need a, a motion, but if it's something that the larger committee needs to talk about, it will go on that committee report.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay, so could -- this is Elizabeth for the record, it could just be as simple as -- when, because I imagine prior to the next JJOC the subcommittees will be required to submit a report prior to and in that we could state in that report, I would state in that report what our recommendations are. To make it clean, it probably wouldn't hurt just to make a motion and take a vote. So, I will make a motion that we recommend to the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission that funds be allocated in a timeline to be determined for a vendor to be hired to support the next strategic plan or to draft the next strategic plan.

Jennifer Fraser: Jennifer Fraser for the record, I second that motion.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you. My motions are messy, I apologize. Oh, is there any comment related to that or discussion? Okay, so all those in favor of this motion say aye.

Unanimous: Aye.

Elizabeth Florez: Any opposed? Okay, so the motion carries and we will make this recommendation to the larger body at the time that we submit a report. Okay, any further discussion on the strategic plan? Okay, with that, we're on item number nine, which is to confirm the next meeting date and time. Leslie, based on your, I think earlier on, you had indicated they were hoping for the JJOC meeting to be towards the end of September?

Leslie Bittleston: Or early October?

Elizabeth Florez: Early October.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, we're waiting for a few more appointments and then Mr. Tedford did indicate that a doodle poll would be his recommendation for the -- about that time frame and that he did recommend meeting in person. So, we will try to get the same locations that we had before in the Capitol building and in the -- what's the name of that building in Clark County that I can't think of right now.

Elizabeth Florez: -- building?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, oh grand square. Yeah, okay, got it. So we will try to get those lined up again, so.

Elizabeth Florez: And there's a rule I know about how far in advance I think we would have to submit a report prior to that meeting, or is there a rule? I'm assuming there is.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, there is a rule and that is open meeting law. So, we have to have things posted -- oh gosh -- I think at least 24 hours.

Elizabeth Florez: I believe that it's a -- three business days and it also takes about three days to, from the email being sent to help desk. So, I typically like a couple weeks, that'd be really great.

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. Well, trying to reverse calendar this, that doesn't that -- I mean, we could do a meeting, one more meeting and try to get that in prior to the J -- the full JJOC whose date we don't know yet, so that we can perhaps review a report or language prior to submission and I'm not sure Leslie, if you're anticipating other agenda items that we would need to go over prior to that next -- the larger JJOC meeting.

Leslie Bittleston: I don't think there's other agenda items. I would like to leave the housing report just in case we hear something from LCB on a course of action or I just don't know what to expect. I don't know if we should keep it on there or if we won't hear anything until the next legislative session. I just I'm not sure about that one. But the strategic plan should be kept on there. Any data elements that we need, the stipends, that's a one and done. If the JJOC recommends that, then we'll take care of that. So, I can't think of really anything else.

Elizabeth Florez: Thank you, Leslie. So, this is Elizabeth for the record. So, Jennifer and Brigid, what are your thoughts on us? Do you think it's for the good of the order to try to schedule a meeting probably in the next three or four weeks, or would you prefer to wait just to see how the JJOC is going to -- when that's going to happen?

Brigid Duffy: I think four weeks is probably quick. Something to do, Leslie. So, I will talk to you in a little while. But I do think we should start, if we're all intending to continue on, we should start talking about what it is we are going to be doing as part of the strategic plan. I mean, those of us from Clark just came out of a pretty contentious meeting with where we see our courts are headed as part of its strategic plan around the YLS, around caseload sizes, mental health evaluations, and their release to the courts. So, there's a lot of stuff that, that's why we were late is because I didn't want to get off because we wanted to continue where the court was going. So, Clark County is on a train right now and it's intersecting with the JJOC because our new courts are, our new judges, since Judge Boyd's retirement are going back and reading all the JJOC minutes to find out how we got to the YLS, how we got to the Mazie, how we got to and then what it is they're entitled to, what they're not entitled to. So I, I brought this meeting up in that meeting to say, hey, we have a strategic planning committee. We have people that are meeting to drive

those policies across the state. So, I think it would be important to continue to meet. I think four weeks is kind of a quick turnaround, so.

Elizabeth Florez: I agree. So, if I'm just trying to pull up my larger calendar here.

Leslie Bittleston: And Madam Chair, we can do a doodle poll if you prefer. We can do a doodle poll for two months from now or whatever you, if you don't want to schedule something today, we can absolutely do that.

Elizabeth Florez: So why don't we do that? For me, it was more just about, if we were trying to get it done, this is Elizabeth Florez for the record, if we were trying to get it done prior to the JJOC or after. And I guess where I'm coming from is if the JJOC happens prior to, and I'm required to submit a report, what is -- are -- what are the rules around me submitting that report I know what we voted on today and so I feel that will go into that. And I can give a general update about the work that we've been doing. But I didn't know if the subcommittee -- we need to review that report and if there's a legal mechanism for them to read -- if a legal mechanism is required.

Leslie Bittleston: No, as the chair, it is up to you to provide the report to the JJOC of what the committee's working on.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay.

Leslie Bittleston: It doesn't need to be reviewed. You are the presenter when it's of that report for the committee. So, so I think generally you can say that we are starting to discuss the strategic plan, we need to start determining what our policy initiatives are going to be and then the second thing would be just the one-time use stipends. And I will try to get something together for Chair Tedford prior to that. So, to accompany your report.

Elizabeth Florez: Okay. So Thank you for that. This is Elizabeth Florez for the record. So, with that, Leslie, we'll go ahead. If you don't mind, please send me a doodle poll for a couple I mean, I think we meet every other month. So, we'll keep up that schedule. I think we had decided that, that this time generally works for most, which is a Thursday afternoon so we can maybe ensure that that's part of the options going forward. Okay, so with that we'll move on to number 10. Is there any public comment or discussion? Okay, seeing none, we will adjourn. Everybody have a great day. Thank you.