



**Nevada State Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission
State Advisory Group Planning
Committee Meeting
October 22nd, 2020 at 11:00 am**

Meeting Minutes - DRAFT

Chair Pauline Salla-Smith called meeting to order at 11:00 am.

Roll Call- Kayla Dunn took roll call and confirmed that quorum was made.

(VOTING MEMBERS)

Present by Phone: Pauline Salla-Smith, Joey Orduna-Hastings, Rebekah Graham, Jennifer Fraser, Jack Martin, Paula Smith

(NON VOTING MEMBERS)

Present by Phone: Heather Plager, Melissa Sickmund, Andrew Wachter

(STAFF)

Present by Phone: Kayla Dunn, Kayla Landes, Jennifer Simeo

(PUBLIC)

Present by Phone: Crystal Smalley

Meeting Minutes:

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. And just for the record, we have Crystal Smalley on. She's actually doing an internship with Juvenile Services here. She's a master's level social worker, so she's working on some macro level systemic projects, so she's joining us today as the public.

Crystal Smalley: Thank you.

Jack Martin: Hello, public.

Pauline Salla-Smith: And who's -- oh, that must be -- okay. I just was checking our -- I'm sorry. I was checking our members list, our participant list to make sure. Do we have anyone else who -- from the public who wasn't identified? If so, please identify yourself now. All right.

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Pauline?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yes.

Joey Orduna-Hastings: This is Joey. I'm sorry. I got on late. I'm here.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Hi, Joey.

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Hi.

Pauline Salla-Smith: All right, let's move to agenda item number 3, public comment. Do we have any public comment right now? Seeing none. Let's move to the review and approval of the August 20th, 2020 minutes. I hope everyone had a chance to review. If so, I'll entertain a motion to approve.

Rebecca Graham: This is Rebecca Graham for the record. I'll make a motion to approve those minutes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you, Rebecca. Is there a second?

Jennifer Fraser: This is Jennifer Fraser for the record. I'll second that motion.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye.

(Ayes around).

Pauline Salla-Smith: Any opposed? All right. Minutes are approved as submitted. Agenda item -- you guys are doing great today. I mean, look at how quickly we're moving through this agenda.

Jack Martin: Incredible. Without your leadership --

Rebecca Graham: We like your positive reinforcement.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I'm just -- I'm appreciative that, you know, we have quorum and you guys are all here. So thank you. Let's move to agenda item number 5, Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation Update. This'll be Melissa and Andrew. Take it away.

Andrew Wachter: Hello, this is Andrew from NCJFCJ on behalf of the Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation. We've been working mostly around developing and disseminating newsletters to the email distribution list that we have. So we've already sent out two in the last, probably, two months. Those were one on smart goals, developing and using smart goals, particularly around juvenile probation and service providers. The last one that went out was on trauma responsive juvenile justice systems. The two that are in development right now, there's one on highlights from the new Desktop Guide to Good Juvenile Probation, which is the third iteration of NCJJ's original desktop guide from 1991, which is now a web-based resource, so we're highlighting some of the pieces from that in newsletter. The other one is accessibility to justice, particularly focused on accessibility during the time of a pandemic and how business as usual can try to keep up during those times and we're also developing one 12-to-15 minute training video, which is going to be on Continuous Quality Improvement in Juvenile Justice Organizations. Those are particularly useful for providers at this point. The way that I'm envisioning that is working from the provider angle. That 15-minute video will be developed in the next week. We hope to have that out by the end of the month. Our funding that we have currently does run out at the end of the month, so that's nine days, the 31st of October and from the last conversations with Leslie, the other funding awards that we have been awarded are still currently frozen, so it looks like at this point, we will again go on pause until we find out any more additional information about the status of those awards and those funds, so we have about another week left to develop those last newsletters. We may hold one and actually send it after October. We can develop them and then have them staggered into November for distribution and the video is hopefully, you know, done by the end of -- distributed early November as well. But until we find out more information on the funding status, we'll have to probably take a pause again at the end of the month. If anybody has questions, I'm happy to entertain.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you, Andrew.

Rebecca Graham: I was kind of hoping that Leslie would be here. I don't know if anybody else can answer, because I know that she had put in an application for funds under the Burn Grant to whatever agency it is that gets those grants in your state, that those funds, even though they're coming from OJP, they wouldn't have been frozen 'cause they're going to a different agency and I haven't heard anything about that application.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Jennifer, do you have any knowledge of that?

Jennifer Simeo: Yeah. Yes, I do. Jennifer Simeo for the record. I believe that you're talking about the (Inaudible) grant. We did apply for funding to do some projects with you guys, however, our application was accepted, but not for that project, so they accepted another project that we had proposed to develop some additional Tyler Supervision reports, but they rejected the other one. So I, yeah, we tried, we tried. It was -- yeah, to continue to develop the evidence-based stuff and the website, but that --

Melissa Sickmund: But then were -- this is Melissa Sickmund for the record, sorry. I, you know, so we're just basically in a holding pattern again until something happens with the frozen funds to free them up.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Thank you. And for the record, this is Pauline. I think that our focus really should be on finding out how to unfreeze our formula of funds. This has been going on for at least two years. I think we're close to two years here. Well, '18 just got partially released, So we're at more than two years, but I think that the focus of the state should be how to get those funds unfrozen so that all the jurisdictions can have access to those funds, too, for our direct service, so it is becoming frustrating for all of us.

Jennifer Simeo: And this is a Jennifer Simeo for the record. I know that, you know, Leslie has been in contact with the Grants Management Unit to try to find out, you know, when the State of Nevada is going to get the (Inaudible) designation taken off. I'm assuming that that's part of the problem, so that is still kind of in place. So hopefully, we'll be able to get that high risk designation off the books hopefully soon here.

Pauline Salla-Smith: All right. Thank you. Kayla, can you give me access to share my screen during the meeting, please?

Kayla Dunn: Yes, ma'am. Sorry, just give me one sec.

Pauline Salla-Smith: That's okay. I just -- it's easier for me when I have the share the screen of the agenda to follow it, and then I'll pull up the documents as we're reviewing them also.

Kayla Dunn: Okay. You should be able to now.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. Okay. Does everyone see the agenda?

Rebecca Graham: Yes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. This makes it easier for me, too. All right. All right. Let's go to -- if members don't have any questions for our NCJJI website, we're going to move on to the next agenda item. Let's do an update on the COVID testing numbers. Jennifer, are you presenting on this also?

Jennifer Simeo: Yes, I am. Jennifer Simeo for the record. So this is the COVID-19 testing update through September 2020. The total youth tests given are 521. Total positive youth tests were 14. That equals a percent positive of 2.69%. Total staff tests were 482. Positive staff tests were 23, which is a positivity rating of 4.77%. The total tests were 37. I mean -- yeah, total tests were 1003. Total positive tests were 37. That's both for youth and staff and the total positivity rate is 3.688%. As you can see on the form, there's a breakdown, so number of positives, there was one youth from NYTC, one staff from Humboldt, six staff from Washoe, 12 youth from Clark, seven staff from Clark, one staff from NYTC, one youth from Clark and eight staff from Churchill. That's the update.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Members, questions, concerns? All right. Let's move to an update on formula grant. So these are our frozen funds. I take Jennifer, you're doing this one also?

Jennifer Simeo: I am. Jennifer Simeo for the record and I am just going over this stuff that Leslie had given me, so the FY17 award, this award has been finalized and closed. The FY18 award, DCFS received roughly three-fourths of the total award or \$370,000. We paid for expenses incurred such as salary, travel and sub-grants. DCFS has paid for salary and travel. We had paid for salary and travel out of a different grant and so we had to pay those funds back. Sub-grants were not paid until funds were released. One sub-grant is pending and that's the sixth judicial and one sub-grant is pending submission of funds requests, and that does say the NCJJ, so. The FY19 award continues to be frozen. This grant remains frozen. No funds have been released from it. The FY20 award notification, so we did receive notice of this award. The states had anticipated \$406,000, but we received \$510,000, so a little bit of good news. This increase was unexpected, but apparently all the states received an increase, which may be due to the fact that some states are opting out of doing the formula grant, but there hasn't been a status yet from OJJDP. There's been a change with the GMS platform. They've kind of made some upgrades to that and so Leslie hasn't been able to get kind of a final update about where our request is, so I'm sure she will be doing that though. Probably (Inaudible) on that and that's what I have for that.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. For the record Commissioner Salla. I'm wondering if maybe (Inaudible) can submit a letter to the state on these frozen funds also to just make sure that we're all on record about how difficult it is to us to provide direct service when our funds are frozen in hopes that maybe it'll push things along to figure this out. What do you guys think? Do you think a letter from (Inaudible) will be helpful or a waste of time or what?

Jack Martin: A letter from NAJJA to the state about these funds that have been frozen?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yes.

Jack Martin: Why not?

Pauline Salla-Smith: I mean, why not?

Jack Martin: Yeah, I mean, why not? I don't -- part of me says the state has never been super responsive to the county's requests, so it's just one more thing Ross can file right in the round file, but, you know, sure.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Well, I think that -- thank you, Commissioner Martin. I think that we did have some response with our letter from (Inaudible) to Tyler Supervision and it is the local jurisdictions that the funding that's frozen has the most effect. If it's frozen and we can't get reimbursement, there's some jurisdictions that programming has stopped, which then therefore will increase the number of youth who are getting committed to the state, which is exactly what we don't want to be doing. So I mean, I think that we're three federal fiscal years into this and doesn't seem to be being resolved, so I'm really -- you know,

we can take it to (Inaudible) at the next meeting and then create another letter like we did with Tyler Supervision if the committee is okay with that.

Jack Martin: I'm okay with that. My only response is, and I hate to constantly sound like I'm pecking at the state, but, you know, when they've limited their bed space, they've already kind of put their, you know, the, whatever they call it, the -- they've already got their strike out. Didn't they go down on another 60 beds this year in terms of bed space at the state level? So all that really means to us is that we get to hold kids longer in our detention facilities, so I do agree with you though. I wish they were some kind of formal acknowledgement that this is three years in the running. This is unacceptable, but, you know, but I do believe that it's not going to be a large concern.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you, Jack. Melissa, did you want to add something?

Melissa Sickmund: Well, I was just going to throw out there that it, you know, it might be useful to also mention the potential impact on victimization in your state. That if kids are not, you know, if you're not doing the best of everything that you can do, that's going to heighten re-offending and so, therefore, you will have more victims and that's, you know, sort of pressing a different button, and it was my understanding that part of the financial confusion stemmed from victim fund money.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you, Melissa. That's a really valid point. Any other members? All right, well, we'll move it to the (Inaudible) meeting in a different committee from this committee. We'll move it to (Inaudible). All right. Let's move to agenda item 8, Formula Grant Three-Year Plan review. I think it's this one. This was in our meeting documents. Of course, this is the requirement of the formula grant funding that's frozen, so I'm not sure if everyone's had a chance to review. It's my understanding that Ms. Bittleston would like this to move it through this committee in time to take it to the full commission, the December meeting. Is our meeting in December?

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. Yes, it would be in December.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So we do have time because I do think it's important that the members have a chance to review it. If everybody did, then we can, of course, discuss it today, but there's a lot of information in this. When we received it, I did email Ms. Bittleston asking how Jennifer, I guess your office wants feedback. I think there's some errors in some of the sections that I identified, but I don't know. I don't want to take up the time of this meeting of going through all of that, but I do want to provide feedback. So I don't know how you guys want that to come back to your office.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. Yeah, Leslie had asked that anyone interested in reading that over to please provide her feedback and, you know, I guess you could do that in an email format. You could do track changes, whatever you, you know, wanted to do, and she would greatly appreciate the feedback, but if she could get that feedback within 30 days, so November 22nd, that would be much appreciated.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. So members, can we do that? Can everybody review it and if there's feedback, please provide it to Leslie. I think the sooner, the better, so we can get a more finalized draft to move to the full commission. Because we are the state advisory group, the formula, the three-year plan, should move through this committee first before it goes to the oversight commission. But there is a lot of data coming from our jurisdictions also, so any feedback, I think, will be really critical. Thoughts, questions, concerns? And just one final thought, just please make sure your DMC data's correct on it from 2019 because that is one of the core requirements of the formula funding and although it's frozen, we don't want to lose any more of that funding, so if you could just make sure for your jurisdiction that it's correct. And

Jennifer, just because you have all the information, if we can get responses, I mean, we'll have another SAG meeting in November, so I think it'd be important that feedback from the committee members happen in a timely manner, so that at our November meeting, the SAG can give the final approval to move it to the oversight commission.

Jennifer Simeo: Okay. Jennifer Simeo for the record. We'll make sure to get that on the agenda.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Thank you. Then we can take it to the full commission. All right. Let's move to funding for Quality Assurance reviews. It says me and DCFS staff. So, Leslie had reached out to me about the additional funding of the formula grant that wasn't expected to have a discussion about maybe covering the cost for the CPC, which is the Quality Assurance reviews that the state has chosen to use that format. I asked that it be put on the agenda here because it's grant funding and, too, I mean, we've had some discussions about formula funding covering state level services and so I want to make sure that we hear everyone out on this. I would think Jennifer, you have the information about what the additional funding amount is and what that would look like if the SAG approved to move that to the full commission, what that would do to local jurisdictions, too. So I'm going to turn it over to you to provide more of the specific information.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. It looks like for the FY20 grants, we got an increase of \$104,000. The information that I have from Leslie is that, you know, she had talked to you, as you said, about how we might use that extra funding, that we might put it towards APC training for eight new assessors, so about three to four state employees and maybe four to five county employees, so obviously this is an ongoing discussion. There would need to be a direct contract through the University of Cincinnati for that, but if we wanted to use the funding for something else and go a different route, we would just need to do an RFP for those additional funds. And that's all the direction I have from Leslie so far.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So just to update the members -- this is Commissioner Salla, currently, the CDC Quality Assurance reviews are occurring at state facilities and county facilities or right now, it's state facilities?

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. It's the state's facilities and the two youth camps, (Inaudible) Spring and Spring Mountain.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. So is this thought that more people would be trained to move it to county detention centers? Do you know that?

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. I am not sure if we would expand, um, the number of agencies, facilities reviewed. That's a good question though. I can throw that to Leslie and see and update the group.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I guess for me, if there's additional funding of the \$104,000, I think that that should just go out to an RFP anyways and then other local jurisdictions or the state wants to apply for that funding, when it's through the RFP process that we use for other grant money and I'm just wondering what the other members think about that. You think it should all go out to an RFP anyways or we have discussion about using it for certain state-level activities? Anyone have any thoughts?

Rebecca Graham: I don't know if it's just my internet, but you're very garbled. Like we can hear you but it's like through a tunnel. Is that just me?

Jennifer Simeo: No, I'm getting it, too.

Pauline Salla-Smith: It's garbled?

Jack Martin: You sound like Darth Vader.

Jennifer Simeo: It sounds like you're talking through one of those microphones with a spring in it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I am Darth Vader, first of all.

Rebecca Graham: Like we can hear you. It's just if you talk faster, like, it compresses it somehow. So, like, most of the time we can understand what you're saying.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Should I (Inaudible)? So let me try it. I'm not -- is it still bad? Okay. (Inaudible). Maybe I'll stop sharing my screen for a minute and see. Does that work better?

Rebecca Graham: It didn't make a difference.

Pauline Salla-Smith: It didn't?

Rebecca Graham: No, maybe switch to your phone audio. Like, do you know how to, like, down where your microphone is, there's a little arrow and you click that arrow and you say, switch to phone audio, and then you can dial in from your phone and that way only your video is coming through the internet and your phone is just coming through regular phone lines.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Hold on.

Rebecca Graham: Oh, no, no. That's good.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Is that better?

Rebecca Graham: Yes. Whatever happened, it fixed it.

Jennifer Simeo: Maybe you just had to mute and unmute and it fixed it. Go ahead.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So that's better. Yes. Okay. So what I said before I found out I was like Darth Vader was that for me, I think that if we have additional funding, the \$104,000, that that should go out in an RFP anyways to follow our normal system and if we want to submit it for the CPC portion of it, then that can be an application in itself because I do know that there's jurisdictions that are trying to get additional report capacity through Tyler Supervision, that if all the jurisdictions went in together, maybe we could support that. I mean, I think there's other things that we can do to support our statewide system also besides just the CPC portion of it. And I was just asking what your guys's thoughts were. Jack's giving us the thumbs up. Anyone else have any ideas? So should we take this -- oh, Rebekah, do you want say something?

Rebecca Graham: I was agreeing with you since like I -- and Jennifer nodded her head but (Inaudible).

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Okay. So Jennifer, if you can make note of that, that the additional funding can just move through the RFP, at least through the SAG committee, we can take it to the -- I don't know what our timeframe is on this, but I think we should continue to follow our normal process.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. I have noted that and I will let Leslie know.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. All right. Let's move to agenda item number 10, SB107 room confinement, YLS commitment data and training report. Jennifer?

Jennifer Simeo: I don't know if Kayla Landes is on the line. I'm not quite sure --

Pauline Salla-Smith: Oh, yeah.

Jennifer Simeo: -- if she's presenting that and I don't know if you wanted me to go through the wireless data that I had presented to the JJOC again.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. So is the YLS commitment data the same as we reviewed?

Jennifer Simeo: I believe so. Yes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

Jennifer Simeo: I don't sit in the materials, so I was a little confused as to what it was.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay, perfect. And, Kayla, is the SB107 room confinement what we received at the full oversight commission?

Kayla Landes: Yes, it is.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. We're going to move right through this agenda item then.

Kayla Landes: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: We all had that information. The training report, I didn't see in our -- did I see it in our -- and I don't see the training report in our documents.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. Leslie has noted that the training report was posted to the DCFS website.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

Jennifer Simeo: Yeah.

Pauline Salla-Smith: But just for the members to know that it is now public information and hopefully, the jurisdictions had a chance to review that. I know it was sent out to us to review before it was made public since now that it's on the public website.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. Leslie noted that in December, everyone will get a request to provide their data. It will be through Google Docs, so we'll be getting the data a little different way. Hopefully, it will be a little bit more user-friendly but everyone will also be required to submit their training agendas for review as well and just like we've previously done.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. And for the record, Commissioner Salla. And so, Jennifer, with the new template, it'll be -- I think the issue was is that there was different templates floating out through, like, through the jurisdictions and so my template didn't have hours required attached to it and other jurisdictions did so

we didn't report on the hours and then had a finding of that we need to report on the hours. So is the template updated if there's only one copy of the template and now ours are on there on that template.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. I will follow up to make sure that that is included. I believe I'm kind of the Google Docs person. Leslie has me doing a whole bunch. So I'm sure I will be doing those, but I haven't seen the template yet, but I'm sure the goal is to get that universal, so everyone's reporting the same thing and that we're getting all of the data that is required.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Thank you. Members, any questions? All right. We're done with that agenda item then. Agenda item 11, Compliance Plan for 2020 Compliance Year. This says Leslie. I'm not sure if we're addressing it. I don't see anything in our documents.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. I don't have anything from Leslie on that agenda topic, so.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

Jennifer Simeo: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: You guys are going to get out before noon, maybe 11: 45. All right. Discussion and possible action agenda item 12, State and Federal Data Requirements. So we have the DMC templates for federal fiscal year '20 and '21. As everyone's aware from our full oversight commission through the data committee, we did accept some new definitions for our points in time. It is under agreement that this year for our DMC report for '20, that we are going to use the template from last year and then we're currently working on the 21 template because the DMC report has seemed to evolve to DMC, which is our federal requirement, and then some new state that data elements that are either required through the JJOC, AB472, our state performance measures, so we've had a lot of discussion about the new template to make sure that Tyler Supervision has the template that we're going to all be reporting on in the next year, so that our DMC report, little button that we push, will gather all the data we need and that we're not pulling from different types of reports to fill that template out, and so Jennifer, you can add to that as you need to but that's a recap of the data committee and our discussions also. So Jennifer, go ahead.

Jennifer Simeo: Jennifer Simeo for the record. I don't have anything to add to that.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Perfect. I summed it up?

Jennifer Simeo: Yes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I summed it up appropriately?

Jennifer Simeo: Yes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. All right. Yeah. We want to make sure that we're providing all the data that we are required to provide but also that it gives us time to do it correctly and that we're not, you know, trying to just get the data that's not being accurately captured with Tyler Supervision right now. So we're going to keep working on getting the drafts of the report printing out correctly from Tyler Supervision, and then Jack's favorite document attachment is the data requirements sheet, which is this one. I don't want to do that. I wanted to download it. I apologize. That one, Jack?

Jack Martin: Can you read it because if you can, I got some -- yeah, that's impressive.

Rebecca Graham: Even zoomed in it's pretty small.

Pauline Salla-Smith: It's getting a little better.

Jack Martin: Whoever put that thing together, they're my hero.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So one of the things that we've been discussing in here is to try to streamline all the new Data Elements requests that are coming through LCB, (Inaudible) Legislative Council Bureau, Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission, of course, our DMC report. We have had new data requests from the Legislative Council Bureau for AB449 also, so we were trying to figure out how we can streamline it because I think sometimes what happens is we're reporting to different entities on certain data elements, and then some new requests will come out but we've already been reporting that data to somebody else, so we're trying to streamline the process and, as you can see, we have a lot of new data being requested from us, so this was the spreadsheet that I believe the state office put together to help us identify what the new requirements are.

Kayla Landes: This is Kayla Landes. Sorry, Pauline.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Oh, go ahead, Kayla.

Kayla Landes: Yeah, I'm sorry it's so small, but I created this, so I did exactly what you said. I took all the new data points and topics and I know because of the size of it, it's kind of hard to see, but I put asterisks next to all of the new data requirements, so that, and I tried to color coordinate it to show, you know, the - - where everything's being requested from and I know that, you know, it's a lot to look at and I wasn't sure exactly because there is so much, I wasn't exactly sure how we wanted to present it and if you look on the left-hand side, that's just all county. That's being requested for the counties to provide data. The right-hand side is state, so I don't know if that -- I mean, it takes a little bit off, but not by much because there is so much on there and I'm not even saying I have it all. If you notice something that should be on there that I missed and this format isn't set in stone, so if somebody has, you know, a suggestion on how we could make it not so overwhelming, I'm more than happy to do whatever. I just wanted to at least get it out there to show how much there is and the extent of it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Mm hmm. Kayla, this is Commissioner Salla. I appreciate that you put all this together.

Kayla Landes: Sure.

Pauline Salla-Smith: This is a lot of work and it just really validates what the jurisdictions were saying, right? That, like, there's all these new data elements coming in and can we streamline it? So I think that we can -- I mean, this is a great place for us to start and I think that we can, you know, if anybody has an idea of how to present it or format it differently so that it's easier for us to read then, of course, share that with Kayla, but this took a lot of time, I'm sure, and is exactly what we had asked for just to get everything down to see what we're really looking at and so I think it's a good place for us to start, Kayla, about, you know, are we duplicating our reporting to different agencies or can it go to one certain agency and then they, you know, can share that information if LCB is requesting or for grant funding or if the oversight commission's requesting. I think that's really where our discussion is going to lead us.

Kayla Landes: Right. And I tried to show there also that, you know, a lot of it is being collected. So like you said, we don't want to continue to report to the state to report to, you know, LCB, too, to, yeah, just get it all in place.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Mm hmm. Go ahead, Melissa.

Melissa Sickmund: I was just going to say that in many other states, the state agency that -- there is a state agency that sort of designated as the grand collector of juvenile justice data. They would collect ideally sort of kid or case-level information from all the counties and then it's that state agency's responsibility to analyze it, put it together, give it back to the counties, but also to respond to requests from the legislature, the public, media, you know, whatever so that all those requests aren't having, you know, each county isn't having to answer a question where each county might then choose to answer it slightly differently 'cause it's, you know, to have that burden be a burden that's a burden on the state agency rather than have it be a burden that everybody has to deal with. That requires maybe a different level of data sharing than you all are doing now, but -- and certainly a different analysis capability at DCFS. So they would have to really have a little bit additional research capacity to handle all that, but right now, everybody's having to do all of the same tasks and it doesn't need to be that way.

Pauline Salla-Smith: It's a great --

Melissa Sickmund: It's a different kind of structure and some of the things that were lower down that related to school, school-based or kids coming into the system from the schools and stuff, I don't know how much of your state reports from law enforcement through the national incident-based reporting system, but that data collection does have a location of crimes and so that would be a different source that, since it's outside of the courts and probation, might not be something that you would readily have access to but should be available if folks are participating in (Inaudible).

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Thank you, Melissa. That's helpful information. I mean, just as I'm looking, like AB449 with the Family Engagement piece, that's also a part of AB472, so, you know, it's like it's duplicative, I think is what our concerns were.

Melissa Sickmund: This is Melissa Sickmund again. The other thing that other states do is, and your state has a statistical analysis center that's located at UNLV, and that entity exists to support the analysis of justice system data for whatever purposes. Other States might use it to answer those kinds of questions when the data source is not something that's part of what DCFS normally handles, like the (Inaudible) data, for example, you know. That might be a task that's assigned to the statistical analysis center and I've talked with Leslie for a couple of years now. The statistical analysis centers are eligible to apply for pretty small \$40,000 grants from the Bureau of Justice Statistics each year. There was some hesitancy from the professor who runs it, which his name is Bill, but I'm not remembering his last name, to apply because it's not a formula grant. It's not a certainty that you'll get it, but they have 40 of them that they'll give out every year. There's not one in every state but most states do have them and they often go unawarded and the same handful of statistical analysis centers get those awards every single year, so I would really encourage, whether it's Leslie or the JJOC or whatever, to earlier to prep the statistical analysis center to apply for one of those awards and to do some stuff to help the state out with the implementation of AB472.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you, Melissa.

Melissa Sickmund: You're welcome.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Members, I'd like to just keep this as a standing agenda item and if you have any feedback, you know, for Kayla about maybe how to make this bigger or how to set this up different, then by all means, please share, but Kayla, I'm going to say it again. This was a lot of work, so thank you.

Kayla Landes: You're welcome.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I like the color coding of it, too.

Kayla Landes: Good.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Any other thoughts? Questions?

Jack Martin: Nice job, Kayla.

Kayla Landes: Thank you. I appreciate it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: All right. Oops. Sorry. Let's talk about, Oh, let's do agenda item 13, (Inaudible) Tyler Supervision update. I'll start a little bit on this because, the YLS was scoring appropriately for the last couple of weeks, maybe 10 days. In Tyler Supervision, I now have received information from a couple jurisdictions that it's not scoring again. In the last couple of days, it has been working for us and haven't heard from all the other jurisdictions, so just to keep this -- we talked about it in JJOC also, but that (Inaudible) did submit a letter. They did send us a response to that letter about we shared the jurisdictions frustration and just concerns that we had with some of our response rates for tickets submitted, that the YLS was scoring then not scoring, then scoring, that profile reports were hit and miss, that the case plan isn't uploaded into the system jurisdictions yet either. They did send a response letter back to (Inaudible). We are talking about it in our next meeting, too, about how we're going to proceed, so we just want to keep everyone updated here, too, that the county-level jurisdictions are working directly with Tyler Supervision to work through some of our concerns and issues, and if anyone else has any update on that agenda item, feel free to speak now or ask questions or share your thoughts, but that's where we're at right now.

Heather Plager: This is Heather. The case plan is in our -- I guess we can actually use it although we are having issues with it. I submitted a ticket and they told us they were aware of the issue, so maybe a good thing that the rest of the jurisdictions don't have case plans, because even that is not working correctly.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Thank you. I'm still going to be a cheerleader for Tyler Supervision. I just think we need to work through some issues, so can't give up yet. We'll work through it. All right. Any new business? Seeing none. We have plenty of tasks on this committee that we're working through. I don't think it's prudent for us to take on anything new right now. We definitely need to move to review our three-year plan, provide feedback, get that on the next agenda for approval. Our data requirements spreadsheets, m we'll continue to just build on the amazing work Kayla did on that, and I'd like us to have another meeting in November, keeping it on Thursday, since it seems to me -- this one was at 11: 00. Is 11: 00 working better for people? Yes. Yes? Yes. So can we do November 12th at 11: 00? I think that's the day after Veteran's Day. I believe that's a Thursday.

Rebecca Graham: It -- it's fine for --

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Pauline, this is Joey. I have our NCJFCJ Board of Directors meeting that day, so I'm pretty much out to the third week of November at this point. Just --

Pauline Salla-Smith: I'm good for the 19th, too, if the 19th works. Rebekah, which would you need?

Rebecca Graham: The 12th does not work for me. The 19th does.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. So does the 19th work for everyone right now at 11: 00? We're good? Oh, November 19th at 11: 00. We don't even need to do a doodle poll and then we'll do the final approval

on the three-year plan this week and move it to the full commission, and we'll keep working on our other items. Does that sound like a good plan? All right. Any public comment? Seeing none. Let's adjourn this meeting at 11:56. Out before noon, guys.

Good-byes and thank you's around).

Pauline Salla-Smith: Sorry I sounded like Darth Vader for a while.

[end of meeting]