



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES
4126 TECHNOLOGY WAY, SUITE 300
CARSON CITY, NV 89706
Telephone (775) 684-4400 • Fax (775) 684-4455
dcfs.nv.gov

**Nevada State Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission
Full Commission Meeting
July 13, 2018**

Meeting Minutes

Roll Call: Judge Egan Walker- present; Joey Orduna Hastings- present; Brigit Duffy- not present; Darin Imlay- not present; Emanuel Torres- not present; Even Hanan- present; Frank Cervantes- present; Gianna Verness- present; Jack Martin- not present; Jackie Pierrott- present; Jo Lee Wickes- present; John Lambrose- not present; Katie Hickman- present; Kierra Bracken- present; Dr. Lisa Morris Hibbler- not present; Justice Nancy Saitta- not present; Myra Rodriguez-Gallindo- not present; Patrick Schreiber- not present; Paula Smith- not present; Pauline Salla-Smith- not present; Rebekah Graham- present; Ricardo Villalobos- present; Scott Shick- not present; Lynette Guss- present; Sean Anderson- not present; Ross Armstrong- present; Assemblyman James Ohrenschall- present; Judge William Voy- present; Judge Thomas Stockard- present;

Public Comment: None

Meeting Minutes:

Katie Brubaker: Hi. Good afternoon. Katie Brubaker for the record. I wanted to take this time to introduce Teri Deal from NCJFCJ, to go over our website. We did decide on the name, and that is, the Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation. So, Teri, I am going to turn it over to you. If you are in Carson City or Las Vegas, you should be able to see the screens. If you are on the phone, the agenda had a link that you can enter your name and follow along with us.

Teri Deal: Thank you so much, Katie. So, I am going to walk through what is right now. We got pretty far along with the website. [inaudible] a meeting we just had a couple of weeks ago for web [inaudible] development. So, I want to share with all of you and review it all with you so that you can provide feedback if any of you have any. I'm going to go through it overall. You'll see at the top, there's the new Division logo for Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation. It's under development. Directly under that, we have a brief blurb on AB 472. When you click on it to learn more about it, it will go the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission page. Below that, we have a brief description of the mission of the JJOC, along with [inaudible] not available currently, but we hope to have them finished and on there soon. Then it goes directly into the program. We have some fixed [inaudible] our intention is to build a draft-read of the programs that are occurring in Nevada over the course of the next phase of our research at the Youth Center and development. The programs we are showing here will rotate, so there will always be new ones that come up under featured programs. It will show the name of the program and a short description. Then if you click into the program, like I just did here on Multi Dimensional Family Therapy. You'll see there's more information about that specific program, staff requirements, training requirements, cost of materials. So, it's information that really help examine and implement a program like this. Over to the right-hand side, we have links to related materials, which is a program called Sight [phonetic] or a program that details other sites that show information about evidence-based programs and practices. So, here we will see some of those programs and more broad practices shows here which makes it a little bit easier under featured programs. The next section will also change. It will show the [inaudible] that's updated on our website. Right now, it will be a newsletter that is going to be going out in the next couple of weeks. We try to, once every six weeks or so, and the topic of the next newsletter will be the principles on need and responsivity. So, here, you can click here, whether it's a program, newsletter or a new training, you would be able to see that right here on the screen and click into it. This next section is [inaudible]. In our initial round of updates, we will be gathering information on it in the next phase of the JJOC Center development. Each county would have its own page off of the main Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation page. And so, from here, again, it will rotate. Different topics will be featured all the time and you'll be able to click in and choose what county we'd like to see. I'd like to have you look at, I can put up examples here but that's still up for discussion. Then you will be able to click in to a county to learn more about the programs and practices that are currently in that county, within the confines of that county. Again, [inaudible] but we will continue to develop it in the future [inaudible]. Then at the bottom on the same screen for the whole [inaudible.] So, we plan on having two webinars coming up [inaudible] So, [inaudible] are stakeholders for the time being, but we will have a title and a brief description of upcoming events. We'll have a place to register for it. Previous trainings will be archived here on the website. So, they will always be available. At the bottom, people can easily sign up for our newsletter and find out how to contact us. The Reno Office of the NCJFCJ and the offices that have been working on the Resource Center. A new email that we'll be rolling out soon when we send an email announcement about the name for the Resource Center, Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation. Then, it will be a link with common questions we get from practitioners. So, we'll have a frequently asked questions page, so that information can be shared with others. We'll direct users to other sites and make sure that information on those sites is accurate. And here again, who to contact for more information. That's the main pieces of the home page. Then I just want to

direct your attention to two other pieces. Here's the training section at the top and as I mentioned, all of our trainings will all be archived here. Let me show you as an example, what you'll see in the archives. So, we'll be able to see a picture of the webinar, read a small description, then they'll all be tagged with a keyword so that all that training is virtual. So, we'll be compiling ones that we produce as part of the Resource Center, but then others will be available from other folks doing similar work. We'll ask for permission to link their training information along with trainings from the Resource Center too, so it would continue to grow and become a [inaudible] of information around best practices. The drop down, for traditional resources, is another way for folks to get to information about programs and practices. So as you see here, if you click on that, and again it brings up examples that you saw from the home page. You can search there, and search our categories like population, criminogenic and risk factors, anticipated outcome. Pretty much here to control which categories we use to tag. So, it will be searchable and easy for people who are looking for specific types of programs. We'll have our newsletter and then we have a, Other Resources page that will be linked to all of these agency or government resources. They can click here for where all these other resources live online. Then finally, another place for contacting us because we really want people to feel like they can come to us for clear answers to their questions. So, we have information about the Reno office, and a regional office there's a place for that, too. [inaudible] office, and a place for them to click and [inaudible] that will prompt to one of them to call us. So, that is a quick tour of what we have laid out so far. As I said, we are very close to having an initial roll out of the site. Of course, when we finish, we plan on having [inaudible] we think, but we do want to make sure that we have an agreed upon Mission and Vision for the NCJJI, for the roll out. Right now, it will be attached to our current website. It's an email address, or a web address, but it will be [web address given here]. So, that is an overview of our website. I can take questions people have now, or you can give your feedback to Katie and she can give that to me.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you, Ms. Deal. Are there any questions in Las Vegas? Are there any questions here in Carson City? Are there any questions on the phone? Okay. So, I just want to make sure we've got the name right. It's the Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation? Okay. Very nice. Thank you so much for that demonstration. Very helpful. There's a lot there. Thank you very much. Ms. Brubaker, do you want to add anything else?

Katie Brubaker: I do. Thank you. Katie Brubaker for the record. I did want to just add that we continuing to look at additional funding to sustain the Center. We're working with NCJFCJ on that and looking for grants and other opportunities in our community and in our state, as well as across the country. Also, as Ms. Deal mentioned, I will be working with them to upload some additional content to the website so that it's more robust.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Very good. Thank you. I would just ask if any of our Commissioners or Advisory Members know of foundations or grantors in our state, if you could please make sure to coordinate with Ms. Brubaker since she is serving as the Commission's point of contact for those outreach opportunities for additional funding. Okay. So, we're moving on to Item No. 6 with Updates from the Committees. We'll start with the Strategic Plan Committee. Before we start, did someone just join us on the phone? Okay.

Please remember everyone on the phone and everyone in Vegas and here, please stay with us. We do need to maintain quorum to approve a vote in just a couple of moments. So, we'll start with the Strategic Planning Committee.

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: This is Commissioner Cervantes. I don't have anything to be submitted for the committee report, with one exception. I see that we're supposed to submit an item for a vote on the template for the performance measures. If it pleases the Commission, I would ask one thought. That we may take this to the NAJJA Committee next week, or the 25th of July for the Association and have them at least take a look at the presented template for the performance measures.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Commissioner Walker, do you have any comments?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: I think working with our partners on NAJJA is a good idea at all junctures because in the end, it is the heads of the Juvenile Probation Departments who are going to, if not personally, be delegating the responsibility for this compliance. And so, it seems like a good idea to me. NAJJA has never taken the perspective that they're sort of a de facto member of the Commission, and I don't want to create a precedent where if NAJJA said no to something, that would be that it would be no at the Commission level. But, it seems like a good idea to me.

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: Thank you for that, Judge. My intention is just to mostly inform. They're usually onboard with most of this, but on occasion when we have- this is a major ticket, I think, for NAJJA and the heads, as you said. So, thank you for that.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Before we proceed, I just want to make sure if there's any comments from any other Commissioners? I too, join Judge Walker in his comments. I would just want to make sure first, are we missing any time deadline by having this vote delayed? Ross, do you know?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: No. I don't believe there's any statutory piece of this that is required. This goes along with our strategic plan, which was turned in on the date it needed to. So, this is just action following that strategic plan to adhere to it. So, we should be fine.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Perfect. Are there any concerns from any Commissioners? I'm hoping that we can just allow Commissioner Cervantes to move forward with his recommendation, but are there any questions or concerns in Las Vegas? In Carson? Lorna? Go ahead.

Lorna Shepard: Lorna Shepard with OnStrategy for the record. Good afternoon. Yeah. Let's hear what NAJJA has to say, understanding that what we're judging is format; we cannot actually change the performance measures of the data. It is a final. Thank you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. That's a good point. Thank you for that clarification. So, Commissioner Cervantes, that's understood and you're comfortable with that?

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: I am. Thank you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Is there anything else that the Strategic Committee would like to talk about or share?

Judge William Voy: [inaudible] the June 28th meeting? I can't remember. I thought we had a list of other topics that needed stuff in that quorum. Tell me if I'm out of order here, but I was under the understanding that we were going to present a request of the Commission to reconstitute the subcommittee as a strategic Subcommittee, not strategic planning necessarily or some other name, to include some various different other members of that committee, and then look at other top areas for discussion. Then, for us to bring that back as a subcommittee of this Commission for potential action, such as revisiting a lot of the issues that sat un-finalized with the Supreme Court submission of the performance of Juvenile Justice. We were going to talk about the various different topics like the regionalization issue, funding issues, things like that, since our job and it's already been voted on is pretty much a dud under the existing mandate. The Commission discussed that we are not confined to the mandate. So, I'm throwing that one out there. Frank, Egan, you were at the same meeting, correct? Or was I mistaken?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Yes,

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: So, Judge Voy, you are correct. We actually had hoped to talk about that item under Agenda Item No. 10. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

Judge William Voy: No. I'll shut up then. No problem.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: No. Don't. Just make sure that you're still here to bring it up under Item No. 9 or Item No. 10. We will formalize that discussion so that we can move forward for the next several months. So, thank you so much for reminding us.

Judge William Voy: No problem.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. Do we have an update from the Data Performance and Measurement Committee? Gianna?

Commissioner Gianna Verness: There was a late report that was submitted by Co-Chair Duffy that unfortunately didn't make it in the materials, but we have not had any meetings since the last JJOC meeting. The majority of our committee's responsibilities were due on September or, excuse me. July 1st. As there are additional tasks, we will be setting subcommittee meetings in the future, but as of right now, we have not had a meeting since our last committee meeting.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. That may again, be a complementary to the conversation Judge Voy just brought up, about how we have to revisit and maybe

reconstitute some of the committee. So, thank you, Ms. Verness. Okay. Moving right along, the Risk Assessment Committee? Commissioner Wickes.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Thank you. First of all, I have to apologize to our hardworking subcommittee because I actually had typed up minutes, and well, I definitely did it in the incorrect format, but I think I also sent it to the incorrect person. So, they were not included in all of your packets. So, I apologize to you also. We did meet on June 22nd. We did have a quorum and we were joined by Dr. Gina Vincent and Kelly Clement, who helped us substantially with some of the items on our agenda. During public comment, we did have a discussion about the use of the MAYSI II, which is- a reminder, a mental health screening tool, which we are legally required to use at this point in time. Dr. Vincent was able to answer some questions and concerns that were raised during public comment, including the fact that there was some concern about the incidents of false positives. Dr. Vincent reminded us that it is a mental health screening tool, and as with all screening tools, there will be false positives. She indicated that other jurisdictions have grappled with an over-referral for full-mental health assessments, and that the use of the MAYSI has helped reduce or eliminate those over-referral problems. Of course, Nevada has been using MAYSI for quite some period of time. There was an additional discussion about youth who have become so accustomed to the screening tool that they're able to simply deny the existence of any problems, and it obviously affects the assessment. So, we did have a discussion around those issues. We next moved on to discussing the draft of the implementation work program for implementing the YLS into Nevada. The work plan was presented by NYSAP, which is I think I mispronounce more often than I get it correctly, Dr. Vincent and Mr. Clement. We discussed that at length. Dr. Vincent opines that without thoughtful implementation, in order to achieve real buy-in from all of the counties and the actual line officers, or people who will be implementing and administering the YLS, that we are going to run into significant problems. She believes that including training for staff who will be actually utilizing the tool, and explaining to them ahead of time about what the tool is supposed to do, will actually assist with getting that true buy-in. The implementation plan is included in your packet. It can be a little bit overwhelming or at least maybe that's just what I felt the first time I looked at it. It does cover seven basic objectives including, getting started, establishing buy-in, preparing the YLS for use in probation and corrections systems, developing policies and procedures for both probation and corrections use, training for probation through our pilot sites and the correction systems, implementing it in the pilot counties and all the correctional facilities, and then implementing it in the remaining counties. Personally, as an editorial, I was impressed with the nuanced understanding and dedication that Dr. Vincent and Mr. Clement demonstrated in our discussions. We did have a fairly robust discussion about the draft of the plan and modifications were made to the implementation plan, and all of those modifications were approved by the unanimous vote of the subcommittee members. There are three pilot counties that will be trained first. In those counties, they are going to be developing or training, master trainers, in each of the three counties, because they believe that master trainers will be needed at the state-level, the county-level, and at the correctional-levels. The pilot is designed to be a minimum of six months. So, obviously this is going to take some time and patience. YLS/CMI will be incorporated into CaseLoadPro, we believe, or at least we did at the time, by the end of July. Ms. Brubaker is shaking her head yes. They are also planning to do some roadshows for training purposes. That will begin in

August. There was a discussion about training attorneys and judicial officers separately from probation, parole, and correctional staff due to the different perspective that those different roles bring. We thought the training might be more effective if people could ask questions and feel more comfortable asking questions that were really particular to their role in the Juvenile Justice System. There was also a discussion about possibly videotaping some of the training for use in the future. So, when discussing the objectives listed in the implementation plan, I thought that a few were especially note-worthy. Members decided that there should be one statewide written policy setting forth the minimum standards, with the understanding that each agency could develop its own practices and policies that went above and beyond the statewide minimum. We also thought that the policy should be in a draft form in order to ease their modification as changes were needed. Ms. Brubaker will gather existing written policies and examples, including those used by Commissioner Salla-Smith's department to assist with developing the written policies and practice, or the statewide minimum policy and practice. With regard to Objective No. 3, which relates to preparing for use in probation and corrections system, the subcommittee decided that a small working group would be formed that would include one member from each of the three pilot counties, and Commissioner Salla-Smith. Once a written statewide policy was developed, I volunteered to review the written policy to ensure simply that it complies with Nevada law. We opted for a small working group because we thought that it was important for people to actually have a small enough group to get the work done and have less concerns about meeting quorum and those kinds of things, in order to help move this along. Our next meeting is scheduled for August 28th between 12:00 and 2:00 p.m. I will hope that one of my Co-Chairs or I, will get my act together to make sure we get these submitted to the proper person so next time you can read all about this instead of me reading to you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you very much. Are there any questions of Commissioner Wickes from Vegas? Are there any questions of Commissioner Wickes from the phone? Are there any questions of Commissioner Wickes in Carson? Hearing none. Okay. Excellent. Thank you. All right. Moving along. We're going to hear from the Youth Committee. Commissioner Pierrott, please?

Commissioner Jaqueline Pierrott: Thank you. Commissioner Pierrott for the record. So, we did not meet after our full Commission meeting on June 8th, but Mr. Munoz and other staff members are continuing to work on scheduling facility tours. This committee hopes that youth members and other members of the JJOC who are interested, will be able to visit the Jane Evans Juvenile Detention Center during the fall. The goal of this tour and other facility tours is to provide JJOC Members with the further understanding of various levels of Juvenile Justice Systems. Youth members of this committee will be assigned to join other committees prior to the August full Commission meeting. This will ensure that youth members are participating in all aspects of the JJOC. Our next meeting is to be determined.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. Are there any questions from Las Vegas? Any questions from the phone? Or any questions in Carson?

Commissioner Jack Martin: Jack Martin. For some reason, you're your voices are very muddled. I can barely hear you. Can you check your microphone please?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Absolutely. Commissioner Martin, we're struggling to hear you too, so it might be a two-way issue, but we'll definitely look into it. So, we'll turn up whatever we need to turn up on our end.

Commissioner Jack Martin: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Thank you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you. Okay. I would ask the Youth Committee to please consider maybe a presentation at one of our meetings, we had the panel in-person of the juveniles and what they're facing in our state environments, but I was just wondering if we could also maybe get an overview of recommendations or things that are being done nationally that the youth have informed or practices we may want to consider to make improvements.

Commissioner Jaqueline Pierrott: Okay. I'll make note of that. Thank you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Moving right along to the SAG Planning Committee. I believe Commissioner Bracken, you're going to speak for that group?

Commissioner Kierra Bracken: Yeah. This is Commissioner Bracken for the record. We had two meetings scheduled, one for June 14th and one for July 12th. We didn't have quorum for either of those meetings, so we weren't able to take any action. So, for today, I am actually going to make a motion to approve, with the authority for DCFS, to make any final grammatical and formatting checks prior to submission, if we could approve the Governor's Report and the RFP documents.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. So, you're making a motion for both the Governor's Report acknowledging that we need to give DCFS the authority to make any grammatical or formatting changes. Then also the formula grant RFP documents?

Commissioner Jaqueline Pierrott: Correct.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Do we have a motion?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Commissioner Armstrong. I so move.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Do we have a second?

Commissioner Jaqueline Pierrott: Second.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Commissioner Pierrott. Okay. All in favor? Any opposed? Okay. That is approved. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Kierra Bracken: Thank you. That's the only action that we needed to bring to the Commission today. We don't have a meeting scheduled yet for our next meeting.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you very much. Given that we just took a vote, I assume there are no questions, but are there any questions of that committee from Vegas? Okay. Or Carson? Or on the phone? Great. Thank you all. Okay. Moving along to Item No. 7. Ms. Brubaker, we're going to have an Update on the Work Plan.

Katie Brubaker: Thank you. Katie Brubaker for the record. Some of my updates that I was going to give today were in relationship to the Resource Center. And so, we already heard about those, and the YLS, our Risk Assessment tool. Ms. Wickes already presented about that. So, I'm going to start with our update on our QA tool, the CPC, Correctional Program Checklist. Included in your packet today is information about the CPC, an overview of training on it, as well as a score sheet identifying the 73 indicators that the CPC examines. The CPC instrument is based on an ideal program developed from a large body of research that combines the best practices from empirical literature on what works in reducing recidivism. That means that no program will ever receive a 100 percent. So, the CPC is divided into two basic areas. One is capacity, and it's designed to measure whether a program has the capability to deliver evidence-based interventions and services. There are three domains in this area, program leadership and development, staff characteristics and quality assurance. The second area is content, and it focuses on the extent to which a program meets certain principles of effective interventions. There are two domains in this area, offender assessment and treatment characteristics. Something important to keep in mind about the CPC and scoring, is that it doesn't look like your typical school grading scale. So, each domain area and overall score are rated as follows: 65 to 100 percent is very high adherence to evidence-based practices. Fifty-five to sixty-four percent is high adherence to evidence-based practices. Forty-six to fifty-four percent is moderate adherence to evidence-based practices. Forty-five percent or less is considered low adherence to evidence-based practices. I would like to note that most programs fall in the low adherence to moderate adherence. So, that's pretty typical. When we went through the training, that's what we learned. So, only activities and processes that are present at the time of site review are considered for scoring, although changes and modifications, plans for the future, are definitely noted in the report that each site reviews. So, for example, we did a review of Summit View. So, some of the scoring that they will see identifies that a Risk Assessment tool is not being used, but it is definitely going to be noted in the report that it is planned to be used once we go through all the training for the YLS and implement it. The CPC does not address the reason a problem exists within a program, why certain practices do or don't take place, or larger system issues. The CPC does, however, inform the program that there is a need for improvement, and that each individual CPC criterion is linked with reductions in recidivism. So, not meeting each criterion can have a direct negative impact on recidivism, and these issues should be addressed. In the report, what will be included with each score are recommendations, and these are low to no-cost recommendations. A report is provided to the site that will indicate scoring, rationale, and recommendations, like I said. A site will have the opportunity to review the report and notify the reviewers of any information that is felt to be incorrect and provide any supporting evidence to be reconsidered. So, there were eight of us that were trained, and we will be meeting at the end of this month to finalize who will be doing the remaining sites. We have six months from the end of June to complete our certification. So, the State of Nevada will have eight trained individuals to go out and do these CPC reviews. This is not a train-the-trainer program, so training additional staff or any

interested parties would be in an additional cost. We will also be simultaneously working on policies surrounding the use of the quality assurance tool, and any kind of corrective action plan that may need to be addressed in accordance with AB 472. Any questions?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: This is Commissioner Armstrong. I'm looking at the last page of your report and the checklist, and I know you can't go into the details of each one based on the way the CPC works, but in the first set there's a whole bunch of ones that just talk about a PD. I was wondering if you could tell us what that PD was. Then, in 1.9, what CJ means on the list?

Katie Brubaker: So, PD stands for Program Director. CJ is Criminal Justice support.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Thank you.

Katie Brubaker: You're welcome.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Are there any questions in Las Vegas? Any questions on the phone? Any additional questions in Carson? Okay. Thank you. Do you have anything else for your report?

Katie Brubaker: I do. I have one more thing. Next you're going to hear about the DCFS policies that are going to our administrator for final review and approval, or revision. I just wanted to let everyone know that our next policies that we are working on will involve Strategy 3 under Goal 4 of the Work Plan. That is family engagement. Strategy 5, which is individual case plan and discharge, planning and re-entry, out-of-state placement, and system information requirements. Thank you.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Egan Walker for the record. Commissioner Armstrong, first, I appreciate very much the opportunity in the public forum to be able to review the policies. What's the turnaround timeframe your looking as the policies are propagated, and then ultimately approved by you?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I believe Mr. Munoz is here and Ms. Brubaker can correct me, but this was our Central Internal Implementation Team for the division to put these together. And so, this is their final product. We wanted to make sure the Commission had an opportunity to get that feedback to Mr. Munoz and incorporate any of that, that is appropriate. Once I get it, it should take about a week or two probably to revise and adopt. You'll notice, and I think Mr. Munoz will go over this, but there's mention of in which pieces the YLS is used, for like length of stay, parole revocation determinations. As we work with NYSAP through their implementation plan, there may be some other modifications, but these serve as kind of a general, here is how DCFS is incorporating not just the YLS, but other statutory requirements, into our everyday practice.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: If I may, again, Egan Walker for the record. Commissioner Armstrong, how long do we have to give you feedback?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I would defer to Mr. Munoz on his timeline on that, he's down south.

John Munoz: Hello. This is John Munoz for the record. I know everybody is busy and traveling this summer, but if you could get those to me within the next three weeks so I can compile them, go through them, review them, find out where they need to be placed in the policies, and then give those to Mr. Armstrong for his final review, that would be really appreciated. We want to move through these so that we can move on to the next one.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: So, Commissioner Munoz, based upon your recommendation, that would be August 3rd that would be a full three weeks from today, for everyone to give you feedback. Is that okay?

John Munoz: That's great. Thank you.

Judge William Voy: That's good news. Judge Voy. Can I make a comment on these documents?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Yes, sir.

Judge William Voy: Okay. In reference to admissions and the placement policy, it was like several pages in. It's admissions and placement, turn to the first few pages, subparagraph four, commitment to the state. There is language in subparagraph one, A and B. What should be there is the actual language from the statute that requires the specific findings. This is wordy; that's the first thing I have. Then the second, initial process. When I first took this job, we were back logged for detention. It took months to get a kid out of a place once the commitment had been done. There is a variety of reasons for it. Basically, communications between probation and parole, problems here and there, getting everything parole needed to have in the institutions. We made some changes to those. Then, of course, is the overcrowding issue. Now we're back in the same place we were in 2004 when we had overcrowding with half the capacity. And so, if you look at the admissions process assigned to you, counselor. I'm going help counsel with it over five days of receiving the order of commitment and all the other documents. Then, subparagraph two, 30 days, it will be seen by the youth parole counselor. Then subparagraph three, a timeline of two weeks. I have a concern about the fact that you're milking. You're building into your policy and procedure time tables that, to me, just felt more what the goal should be, and why we should be [inaudible] set so much in stone to do a lot of this. Keep in mind, when a kid is released from Clark after being committed to the state, they've already done the YLS. The majority of the cases, the kids already got mental health screening being done previous to that, recommendations from mental health folks already, diagnosis, things of that nature. And again, we're talking for growth one institution, and for boys three institutions. It seems to me, and if you're looking at the scorecard further on in this document, high score of making an incorrect decision as to which of three facilities a kid goes to, this isn't rocket science. The kid has already been in Detention for over a month usually, before he gets to the point where he's being committed, or she's being committed, and now we got to do these [inaudible] issues so several months now sitting in Detention before they're even moved. Now we're going to have an admissions criterion that goes through a process that's going to add more

time to all of this. I'm concerned about that. The statute doesn't put any timetable on the state to take the child once the commitment order has been done, right? And so, now we built in a policy and procedure that creates about 30 days, 5 days, then another two weeks. So, we're looking at almost 2 months of policy and procedure to assess the kid as to which three institutions they go to. I don't know what the answer is, but it seems to me that it's just going to make their time in Detention, it's increasing their life of stay in Detention unnecessarily, as they say, doing dead time in Detention, after the kid already knows they're going somewhere else. I have a problem with it.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: This is Commissioner Armstrong. On the drafts that I received last night, particularly for me, the 30 days of case assignment under B2, seem to be an unacceptably long period of time. So, I'm going to get my notes on this draft to Mr. Munoz. I'm sure he's taking notes on your comments, and those will be incorporated before the policy is finalized.

Judge William Voy: Thanks.

John Munoz: This is John Munoz for the record. I just wanted to share that letter B, number two, it says that the youth parole counselor shall meet with the newly committed case in Detention within 30 days of the case assignment, prior to youth's transport, that can happen. I mean, that's not going to delay that youth from leaving the facility. That's when the youth parole counselor meets with them to establish a rapport, and to review conditions of parole in the future, and what parole is going to be like. It is not going to delay them from leaving Detention. That's just part of the process. The parole officer is supposed to meet with them within 30 days after they're committed, but it's not a requirement that they do. If the parole officer doesn't meet with them in Detention, that they cannot leave. So, it's really just the five days to assign the case. Then, in letter 3, that once the case is assigned, that the mental health counselor is to do and complete the mental health assessment. Then once that case is staffed, they're put on the transport list to go to the facility as soon as a bed is available for them to enter.

Judge William Voy: Well who is actually going to be scoring the scoring sheet, which is further in here, with your point system here. Who is actually doing that? The admissions assessment work score sheet?

John Munoz : Our admissions process, currently, the person who reviews the assessment and comes up with the risk scores, they fill in the blanks of what the report says, they come up with a total score, and that's how those scores are sent to the facilities, to the superintendents for them to review to see if there is anything in the health packet, anything in the staffing packet that was given to them by the counties, to determine if there's any problems with accepting that youth into their program based on what the needs are of the youth. So, that's the way we determine which ones-

Judge William Voy: Why physically is going to score it though?

John Munoz: Currently right now, our person is our Unit Manager in the Reno Office scores these.

Judge William Voy: Can you anticipate when you pull this up, the scoring?

John Munoz: Well, what we're hoping for is, we're going to probably use the YLS, and what that score looks like what that Risk Assessment score looks like. We're probably going to implement those changes into the scoring sheet, so that we're being consistent statewide. We talked to Dr. Gina Vincent who has already said that she's willing to work with us on that, so we're making sure that we're being consistent.

Judge William Voy: So, it's not going to be the permission of the parole officer to sign for 30-day?

John Munoz: No. The parole officer does not do that. This is done internally by our Mental Health Team, and also scored by one of our Unit Managers in the Reno Office. We're going to consider, as we get trained and move through the YLS process, what other changes we can incorporate into our policy to be consistent.

Judge William Voy: Thank you. If I may, one other question. Looking at those categories there, most serious committed offense. I mean, that's the offense that the kid was committed on?

John Munoz: No. It's looking at their past serious offenses, it's the most serious committing offense that they've had.

Judge William Voy: Because my concern was, he's on for armed robbery, put him on probation, that didn't work so then you get him as a probation violation. But frankly, it's because of the armed robbery? I just wanted to make sure it was reflected.

John Munoz: Correct.

Judge William Voy: Okay. We're good then. Thanks.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: This is Egan Walker for the record. First, I want to strongly echo Judge Voy's concerns in this way. There are a number of children in Washoe County. Number is always a relative term, because Judge Voy in Clark County always has even more crushing numbers, usually by a factor of five or so. But, just this week, I had two cases involving children committed to DCFS who were beyond an arbitrary timeline I had imposed on the state to transport that child within 30 days. Now, I'm not picking on the state, because I want to finish my comments in a way that will be relevant, but again, I want to echo strongly what Judge Voy is saying. I don't think we can build in delay. I don't mean to imply DCFS or Mr. Munoz are trying to do that, but I echo Judge Voy's comments, again for the third time, I'm going to take a close look at these and give my feedback in writing. Bill, I would advise that you and I do that cc to one another as well, as long as it's not violative of any Open Meeting Criteria.

Judge William Voy: I'm not a voting member. So, you're good.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: What's that?

Judge William Voy: I'm not a voting member, so we're okay.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Okay. We need to give that feedback. The flipside of that, and this is where I'm going to end my comments Madam Chairwoman is, we've got to make sure we give DCFS the resources they need because as you began your comments, and I want to echo those as well, Bill, we've got an impacted system now. We don't have enough beds for the placements we have. We need to talk about the data in the SAG Report that indicates our commitments are way up and examine the, why, of that, but we need more beds. The final point I want to make is, I believe the representative from ACLU is present. We- Joey and I want to make sure the Commission would welcome presentations from the ACLU about the ACLU's Report to the state about those committed children who are currently in Lovelock because it's all a part of the same system. It may be a primetime to collaborate with the Executive Legislative and Judicial branches again in the next legislative session, to try and resolve those issues that we tried to tackle two legislative sessions ago, and before that, and before that, and before that. So, I'm done. I'm just with you, Bill, is all I wanted to say. The only other thing I'd say to you, Ross, and to John is, we want to be allies with you. I don't want to build in an arbitrary pressure, but you're going to see pressure from the bench about how quickly this happens. Sorry.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you, Judge Walker and Ms. Welborn, Holly Welborn from ACLU. I would like her added to a future agenda for our presentation, and we could talk about what that appropriate date would be. I know I left you a voicemail, so we can talk about it. Commissioner Shick, would you like to speak?

Commissioner Scott Shick: Yeah. This is Scott Shick. I am here officially. Yeah. I just wanted to reiterate, anything we can do in collaboration with the state and the courts to move kids along in the commitment process, it is a team effort. That's the way we've approached this for the last five years, and we want to get this done. We've got great standards, the programs are going to improve as a result of it, and our kids need the full impact of those programs. We've got kids even in Douglas County for the first time sitting in Detention waiting for their commitment. Everything is accomplished, that's just the way it is right now. How can we work with everybody to keep those things moving and get kids through the process of the services that they need so they can return home?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Excellent point. Are there any other comments from Las Vegas? Any other comments on the phone?

Commissioner Jack Martin: This is Jack Martin for the record. I would say [inaudible] convenience. Obviously we want to be supportive, but right now I believe that 30-some odd young people that are left there for extended periods of time [inaudible] so anything we could do to speed that up, I would definitely appreciate it. That should be our #1 priority.

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: This is Cervantes for the record. I think we've had this discussion previously. You know, there's several factors, I think, that contributed. The first one being, the system capacity. There's only so many beds for so many kids. We try to look at it from the commitment all the way back to everything- to court processing, to try to find little fixes for the problem, but it cascades. Judge Walker, if you have beds that are delayed in Vegas, it impacts Reno, Douglas, and then it kind of has a synergetic effect. So, kids that may have normally got out within that time frame, are sitting longer for the ones in front of them. So, it's just some math, at some point, that there just doesn't seem to be the capacity to handle the amount of commitments currently in the system.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. Are there any other comments?

Commissioner Scott Shick: I just want to empathize residential programs before the necessity to finish children in those programs, to get them to a point. In each individual case space is where they can enter the community and change their behavior and demonstrate their changed behavior. Some kids take longer than others. So, then do we want to quick-fix kids out of programs because we have a backup? We've got to take a look at all those pieces as we move forward with our strategy.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you. Commissioner Cervantes?

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: Yes. This is Commissioner Cervantes. I think it just reminds us, part of the purpose of this Commission is to address the whole system, the continuum of care from diversion all the way to correctional placement, and all of those points in-between. So, it's helpful if we look at all of those pieces in the system to find adequate resources. Thank you.

Judge William Voy: This is Judge Voy. No matter what we do to tinker, shaving time, a day off of this process over here, a day off of that one, it's still comes down to the sure fact that we're half the capacity as we were at the state-level in 2004. This all started to climb about two-and-a-half years ago now, with an increase in the violent crime we've been seeing. The problem is, the state is in an ill. There's no one in this room that's caused that to happen. So, my point being, is that in order to increase capacity as Judge Walker always says, heavy lifting needs to occur. That means money, and that means change in the designation of the people working these facilities, so they can obtain and retain good quality staff. Right now, they're full with half-capacity. They're down 50-plus positions amongst three institutions to meet minimum requirements. That's got to be fixed. It's got to be fixed at that root-level of what we're paying these people to do this work, so you can open those beds up. I've seen it for the last 15 years now, and it's just continually been the same problem we've always have. Now that the economy has gotten better, you see less and less people trying to grab these jobs. Something has to give, and it's going to have to give at a pretty high level to the legislature and the Governor's Office to get fixed. So, I'll get off my soapbox. Thanks.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you, Judge Voy. I would also just add as Commissioner Shick was talking about, re-entering the community, I just also want to be mindful that we're being mindful of the schools and re-entry back into schools, and re-entry

when you're asking these questions, and engaging the schools in this conversation as well. So, I would just add that piece. I had the honor of being able to speak this morning at the Governor's School Safety Task Force. It was very apparent that those connections throughout the system, and the continuum of care are very important. So, I would just ask that these policies also be informed by that. I'll submit my stuff in writing. So, please everyone, submit your comments by August 3rd so that the staff can carry forward our thoughts and concerns. Thank you very much. Moving along, I think we're now on to Item No. 8. Is that correct? Okay. So, Mr. Munoz?

John Munoz: Well, I think we already hashed out everything just a minute ago.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay.

John Munoz: Again, this is John Munoz. We are here to talk about the policies that it appears some of you have looked at. I won't reiterate everything that's taken place already, I just want to point out a few things. One of which is- I just want to kind of highlight, instead of going over it since we spent a lot of time on our previous conversation, AB 472 Section 17.1, Placement Decisions and Admissions. We did a cross walk over the Section of 17.1. We will look again at the Admissions Policy, page three, Roman numeral four, letter A, that Judge Voy brought to our attention. We did cross walk that over, so we'll take another look at it to see if our letters A and B are different than what the law states. So, we'll look at that. Admissions Policy at the bottom of page three, letter B, in the Admissions Process, we addressed those concerns as well. Moving to AB 472, Section 17.2, the Length of Stay, where it talks about the well-being, length of stay, a matrix establishing a release criterion for a State Facility based on the child's risk of re-offending as determined by the Risk Assessment for the child, the seriousness of the act for which the child was adjudicated delinquent, the child's progress and meeting treatment goals. And making release and discharge decisions using the matrix release criteria developed. So, we addressed those and the length of stay. Page three, Practice Guidelines, letter A, and AB 472 Section 26, regarding Violations of Parole. We also cross walked over the response with a child's violation, considering the risk of the child to re-offend. The previous history, the violations of the child, severity of the current violation of the child, the child's case plan, previous responses to child's past violations, and of course we're putting incentives [inaudible] comply with terms and conditions of the child's parole. So, we addressed those. The Supervision Policy, page 13 and the top of page 14. Letter S, Violations of Parole. Again, we addressed the incentives part of that on page 13, letter R. AB 472 Section 16.6 and 8, the Re-entry piece. Our re-entry plan was developed using the plan for continued education, a plan for provision [inaudible] any supervision or services necessary for the transition of the child. The plan for engagement of the child's family and/or guardian. Having a re-entry plan meeting held at least 30-days before the child is scheduled for a release from a facility, who the meeting should be attended by. So, those were addressed in the Supervision Policy beginning on page seven, letter I, Re-entry Plan. Again, the Length of Stay Policy on page four, letter C, for the re-entry process. So, I will allow everybody to review those sections on your own. I just also want to state that these policies are a framework from which we are building upon. We brought it to the Commission for the members to review. They are subject to change. So again, if you could get those changes to

us within three weeks, that would be great. Of course, to then be submitted to Mr. Armstrong for final approval. Are there any questions?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: A comment, if I may, Madam Chairwoman?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Sure.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Did you want to recognize somebody else? This is Egan Walker for the record. I just always want to pause to offer a positive voice. I don't know if Mr. Shick or others with longer tenure—no offense, Mr. Shick, you don't have a white hair on your head, but others with longer tenure than me, would agree. I want to congratulate the Division on the thoughtfulness, planfulness, and transparency of what we're doing right now. It's the first time in the, now many years that I've been involved in Juvenile Justice in Nevada, that we're being top down, inside out, thoughtful, deliberative and transparent about tackling some genuine problems. So, my compliments to you, Mr. Munoz, and to this process. I appreciate it very much. Just because you're going to get a lot of feedback from me and Judge Voy, for example, it's not a bad thing. It's a good thing to do it in public, out in the open, in a way that we can address it. So, I just wanted to offer those positive comments.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you, Judge Walker. Commissioner Shick, did you want to comment?

Commissioner Scott Shick: Yeah. Just to reiterate at this point, Mr. Munoz has been reaching over and really making an effort to- like you said, the transparency, that's how this is going to work. Nobody is pointing fingers or anything like that, it's on behalf of the kids and families that we serve. When I came here in 2002, there were no standards for programs. We worked on it. Then we did peer reviews and we put some standards together to police ourselves, which isn't the most effective way to accomplish that. Today, we're implementing a system and trainers, and persons that can go into these programs and evaluate them. I just appreciate the state's effort in that direction. We've got to enforce those standards to the degree that they're going to improve the programs and the staff working in them.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Excellent point. Okay. Any other questions or comments?

John Munoz: This is John Munoz. I just want to say very quickly that I want to thank everybody. I've spoken to a lot of departments, a lot of courts, and I want to thank everybody for their support in moving forward. I appreciate everybody's understanding of the transparency that the state is trying to have, not only with bringing our kids in front of the Commission and talking about what we've done in our facilities, but as well as reviewing what we plan to do with our policies as an example, we would hope others will follow. I also just want to briefly address the concerns about the admissions policy. I understand if the state agrees, the Division agrees, that kids should not spend any unnecessary amount of time in a Detention facility waiting for a bed to open up at our facilities. But under the current process that we have, we need time to review the paperwork about a youth before we can make a decision on where they should be placed. If everything was created equal where we

were getting the court orders, the prior court documents, the day of the commitment, that would be great. Then we could move forward rather quickly. But in some cases, we have to wait 21 to 30 days to even get a commitment order, or the court orders, or the documents that are associated with that youth, then there's going to be a delay. So, our current policy, the process is what it is in front of you. Any suggestions that you guys have, the state is willing to hear them. I appreciate everybody's feedback. I look forward to working with all of you in the future. Thank you.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: This is Egan Walker again, for the record. John, we just got all thick-skinned. You are exactly right to call the judiciary out. When I adopted a policy in Washoe County some years ago about, kids would spend no more than 30 days prior to commitment, the initial and appropriate response of the state was, well, then give me your order, dang it. I need your order right away. So, we all just got to be thick-skinned, view that not as an accusation, but as a place for improvement. I think we'll all work toward that end.

Judge William Voy: This is Judge Voy, Judge Walker. I hate to sound like the old man in the room, but when I first started before, this was an issue. I mean, it was a problem. Parole would say, well, we haven't got the documents in. Then probation would say, well, we sent them. They would find them in the trunk of someone's car. Over the years, that was happening off and on trying to streamline that process. Finally, we got the answer, the fix I believe, to this problem, which is, all of this being on CaseLoadPro. So, now we won't have to worry about, well, the fax machine didn't work, or I forgot to put them in the mail, or something crazy like that. So, I think a lot of that which we've experienced over the last many years, is going to go away. One of the other things we've done, Egan, I think—is that, what we do is, in most of my cases, sometimes I don't have a second clerk, but we've got a part-time hourly clerk that sits in the courtroom and actually generates the commitment order in real time as the kid is being committed. And so, we developed that three years ago. That helped a lot. Periodically, the kid didn't know what happened, where it took like almost 30 days for the order to be decided, but most of them are done automated—automated like that. If you have the ability to do that, I would suggest it.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Yeah. I love it. We've done similar things, but I'm actually going to propose, just to throw it out there to you folks as you're implementing CaseLoadPro, to try and build a milestone. One of the milestones would be a report back to the courts on how many cases of commitments the courts have ordered, and what is the time to the distribution of that order, so that we can figure out where the choke points are, and where the problematic areas are. Then, just like I said, in an ego-less way say, okay, Walker, for whatever reason, you're taking 26 days to get them out. I don't think we are, but let's just say we are. Then fix it. I agree with Judge Voy that, being on a common platform will do that, at least in Clark and Washoe County, and I think in several of the other counties. We can connect CaseLoadPro to the court e-filing system, so that we could do that dynamically and it wouldn't have to be a manual check. I'll just throw that idea out there.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. Any other comments? All right. So, feedback back by August 3rd please. Thank you, Mr. Munoz. All right. Item No. 9, New Business. So, we have a couple items under there. Judge Voy, if you wouldn't mind, would

you mind resurrecting your comments about our parking lot issues, and the summary report that we had hoped to kind of put together to capture those parking lot items? And maybe how we have to rethink the Strategic Planning Committee?

Judge William Voy: Yes. Judge Voy. If I'm not mistaken, I thought someone was taking notes on that, or was I supposed to take notes on those parking lots because they weren't in the minutes.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: They are. They are.

Judge William Voy: You have them?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Yeah. Lorna is coming—I'm sorry. Go ahead. Ms. Shepard is coming up to the table to talk, Judge Voy. She's going to try to bring up the notes from our various conversations. But please proceed, sir.

Judge William Voy: Appreciate it. I keep getting all these meetings confused. Yeah. We did our requirements as the SAG Planning Committee. We discussed that really these other strategic issues that are important enough, that need to be addressed. We'll read off the issues in a second here, but right now currently since the Governor's Commission was so confident of this Commission, the Supreme Court's Commission is on an indefinite hold right now. This is one of the only statewide Commissions that exists that deal with statewide Juvenile Justice issues. I think we as a group, as a subcommittee, though we didn't have a vote on it, were of one mind that we wanted to continue and use this Commission as a vehicle to continue to perpetuate and reform and change throughout our system, and not be confined to the statutory stuff that was created to create this Commission in the first place. I think the language in the- enabling language for this Commission is broad enough to allow us to continue to do that. That was what we were in the mind of. Then if we could get the list right off, and then after that, I think as a full Commission- check me if I'm wrong, Judge Walker, but I thought you could do a vote on that as a full Commission, even though the subcommittee didn't have a formal recommendation. Is that correct?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Yes. So, this is Egan Walker for the record. I'm going to defer to the Chairwoman to weigh in when you would like me to, Ms. Hastings. I do have such a motion ready.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Yes. Ms. Shepard is at the table.

Judge William Voy: Yes. Ms. Shepard. Thank you. Could you go through those notes? I don't have my notes with me. I'm sorry. I got stuck in the Government Center all day here.

Lorna Shepard: Yeah. I think we're talking about the notes on what we discussed but were not able to vote on at the June 28th meeting, in terms of some suggestions that we would have for how to evolve the Strategic Plan Committee to be a couple of things. Primarily, to kind of shift slowly from being about a strategic plan to being about strategic issues and considerations, moving forward for the Commission.

So, as is outlined in the meeting notes, first what we recommend or discussed, I should say, is that this committee would be responsible for identifying strategic issues that the Commission should address. Meaning those things that come up that are outside of AB 472 but have an effect on the intent or the direction of this Commission and the law, especially as it relates to JJOC reform, as Mr. Cervantes said. The other was to also, as a group, provide recommendations to the Commissioners for strategies, whether or not the plan needs to be updated, whether or not there are goals or initiatives that need to be incorporated into the strategic plan, or whether there is an action that we would recommend taking—that the committee would recommend taking. Finally, very focused on legislative session, is to consider any proposed legislation and provide recommendations for the JJOC's position on key strategic issues that could affect Juvenile Justice System reform, our vision, as articulated in the strategic plan. So, those were the core tenants, not necessarily final direction for the subcommittee recommendation or suggestion. Then, in terms of just some logistics, jump in here Commissioner Cervantes or Voy, was to also say that we would like to see that that subcommittee evolve in terms of its representation. We had some recommendations on making sure we were a little bit more at cross purposes, including a DA, someone from northern and south, also rural of course, involved in the Committee, in those discussions and in that committee. We also thought that keeping it small, similar to what Commissioner Wickes was talking about, so that we can actually get work done, make decisions, and possibly planning for the appropriate number—a few, a small number of in-person meetings, as well as remote working meetings, to sort of deal with very topical issues so that we can make quick turnaround recommendations to the Commission. Anything to add, Commissioner Cervantes?

Commissioner Frank Cervantes: This is Cervantes. Judge Voy had brought this up, you know, some of the things that are the usual emerging trends that we're dealing with, length of stay, capacity issues, and then there's those larger scope issues that you may see express themselves over the legislature such as blended [inaudible], regionalization. Topics that we have addressed in the past, but always tend to resurface through the longer range, and that the Strategic Planning Committee, if those are topics, somehow, they could be the hub for such discussions going forward.

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: So, this is Egan Walker for the record. What I'd like to propose, Madam Chairwoman, is that two things occur. First, that going forward, the Strategic Planning Committee either constitute a subcommittee to the subcommittee, or that we simply rename it, the Strategic Committee, or the Committee about Strategy, and that we open as one of the agenda items here already today, a review of membership of all of the committees, including the Strategic Committee, or whatever we want to call it. The second thing is, I'd like to agendize for the next meeting so that we could actually take it as a formal vote, something that I'll be more articulate about in hopefully the coming days, but kind of the idea that we've got it. Let me say it in a different way. I thought Judge Voy, Mr. Munoz, Mr. Cervantes and I think Jack was on the call as well, I think we had a very good conversation about how the state seems to be very, and remarkably to my eye, unified in the Juvenile Justice arena right now. There are going to be some cat fights when the rubber hits the road about who gets what money, but I have proposed repeatedly, and would like us to consider going to the Supreme Court and I guess to the Governor, and ultimately to the legislature,

with a very broad mission statement for this Commission, that of course includes all of the mission items of the legislation, but also would include the, we got it, idea. Which is that, going forward, we would like to run to the- have our tether, I guess, extended as far as they would let us take it. I'm not being very articulate, and I apologize, but my motion is to just put it on the next agenda. I'll have a more articulate motion going forward.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Is there a second to Judge Walker's motion for the three agenda items?

Commissioner Scott Shick: This is Scott Shick. I second that motion, Commissioner Hastings.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. All in favor, say aye? Any opposed? Wonderful. Thank you all. So, I do want to add one question of clarification, is it your expectation, Judge Walker, that it be on the next Commission meeting, which would be August 10th?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Yeah. If we could make it happen. If nothing else, we'll at least vote on what we, the Commission, not just I, Judge Walker, or I, Bill Voy see, but what we, the Commission, see as the broader mission of the vision statement. Particularly as we address issues like, what are we going to do with the committed kids in Elko who have some challenges related to the conditions of their confinement? What are we going to do to the other- I'm overstating this, I know, Bill, but intentionally for the purposes of illustration, the next 60 kids Bill's got in Clark County who are going to be committed or are waiting to be committed. Then what are we going to do about the constipation in the system that those kids are but one symptom of, which is, we don't have enough resources right now to arm John Munoz and his staff for all of the commitments we want in a timely manner. Just for the record, Mr. Cervantes has been sharing with me. Bill might laugh at these numbers because his are, again, usually a factor of five. But we have had 30 kids in Washoe County since the beginning of the year who have had stays of more than 30 days, waiting a commitment. That's just unacceptable. And so, we'll talk about, at the next Commission meeting when we could vote on this, what is the mission and vision of the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission? How can we integrate with the Supreme Court, the Children's Commission, the Commission that Judge Voy chairs that met this morning related to educational issues across the state? The C-SET Commission, et. cetera. The stuff we've all been talking about for years, and years, and years. All of us are on those same committees.

Unknown Speaker: I might be wrong on this one, but there's going to be a transition in the Governor's Office here forthcoming. I think we have some work to do there in order to let- I don't know if it's to prove, but to display our alignment for juvenile justice reform, the membership, the District Attorney's Association, the public defenders, the chiefs, our judges, the state, that we are aligned. It's important that we display that when there are changes and we begin to work with whoever the governor would be, and that we have an action plan and a strategy that we've talked about. A broad strategy in respect to regionalization, and programming, and those type of things that kids need. I just go back to taking a child out of their home when the day is done. That's one of the biggest responsibilities that our district court judges have, and they take it seriously. We've got to be able to fulfill that commitment fully. I think that's what we're all reaching for when the day is done.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Well said. Any other comments? Ms. Shepard?

Linda Shepard: There are several items on the parking lot, and I think the point was—we talked about wanting to read that, thank you. I think the point was, some of these parking lot items might inform the Commission's decision on future committees for how to disperse the next discussion topic for the subcommittees that you've already configured. So, I think that is the intention of what the parking lot was meant to do, is this could help inform our terms in how we look after July 1. Is that correct?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Correct. That's what I understand. Judge Walker?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: That's exactly what we had in mind.

Lornaa Shepard: Okay.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Then, I think Commissioner Shick raises an important point. I wonder if this Commission should take the lead on writing on a letter that we could get joint signatures from the other branches of government, so that we can then issue a letter sooner rather than later to the few candidates for the Governor position, so that we can bring those few candidates into this conversation sooner rather than later. I would ask that that be added to the agenda. I guess the agenda so that we could approve it and get it and identify who those other—not only the leaders in the branch of the government, but who are our biggest champions of this issue, so that we could issue a joint letter prior to the election in November. Is that okay with this body? I guess I'm making a motion to do that. Is there a second?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: I second. This is Egan for the record.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: All in favor of that motion? Any one opposed? Great. Thank you. Okay. So, under Item No. 9, we talked about the parking lot items. I think we talked a lot about collaboration and the champions of collaborators that we have here on this Commission, but the Legislative Update—my understanding is that BDRs are due to the Governor's Office by August 1st. We will not have another meeting prior to that, to be able to issue a BDR from this Commission. But I know that there are other actions being taken by other committees. I know Judge Voy, you sat today in your committee, and you had a work session. I know, Ross, you had some conversations. So, is there any one starting in Vegas that would like to share any legislative updates that this body should be aware of?

Assemblyman Ohrenschall: Mr. Chairman, as I stated at the last Commission meeting, July 1st, the Nevada Legislature publishes the BDR list. I know that there are at least two items—I see that have requested juvenile offenders BDR 142 and 143. I'm not aware of any others. If there are, someone please correct me. It does look like he has some Bill Draft Requests that affect Juvenile Justice. I don't have any more details about them other than the title that's on there, but it looks like there at least those two.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great. Thank you. I reviewed that BDR list as well. I want to say, generally, I think you're absolutely right. There were two or three at least. So, thank you for sharing that. Anyone else that would like to share in Vegas or on the phone? Okay. Here in Carson?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: This is Commissioner Armstrong. I guess to ask for an information item at either the next meeting or the one after that, but in our role as the State Advisory Group, there are some significant restrictions on us in terms of lobbying. The definition of lobbying has some wiggle room, I think, when I put my lawyer hat on. But it would be good if we could get some education of what that prohibition on lobbying is, so that we can know where we can't go, so we can better identify where we can in terms of legislative interactions.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. So, that is a broad definition sometimes. Is it possible that we could have somebody from the Attorney General's Office give a brief Cliff Note version of what we need to be mindful of?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yeah. We'd make sure to bring one of our DCFS Deputy Attorney Generals. I believe our program office generally gets some guidance from the Federal Department of Justice on what that definition of law we use, so we can add to the packet as well.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Is that okay with you? Okay. Then, is there anything else that anyone would like to speak to, as to No. 9, Legislative Update?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: Just to encourage people as we have this conversation, particularly as we invigorate it with official action next meeting, the parking lot is open to anyone. So, Judge Voy and I have on our radar, the regionalization conversation that's floated around for now two decades in Nevada. But that's not the only issue that belongs there. That's not the only issue we discussed, but again, to the Youth's Committee members, particularly I make this charge as UC issues using the unique lens you have across the Juvenile Justice spectrum. The parking lot is for all items like that. It's not just to be driven by any one member, or clique of members, if you will.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you. Okay. Moving on to Item No. 10. I think we talked about a lot of this stuff already. I think we have about eight different agenda items for the next meeting that we'll try to achieve. I just want to stress that everyone, I understand we're going into the summer, but the quorums are significant in the subcommittees and at these meetings. I think at this point, we've met all of our deadlines, our immediate deadlines that were due in July. Is that accurate?

Unknown Speaker: Yes.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Perfect. So, congratulations everyone, because it's a ton of work to have gotten here. So, everyone worked really hard and I just want to make sure that we acknowledge that because we all have other jobs, and we're all wearing

many hats. So, thank you so much for meeting those deadlines, but are there any items that anyone wants to bring up as to assigning topics or tasks to committee members outside of anything we've already discussed? Judge Walker?

Commissioner Judge Egan Walker: This is Egan Walker for the record. If you have a committee assignment you don't like, or you have a committee assignment you want to change, or another committee you'd like to be on—since Brigid is not here, I think we can assign her to all the subcommittees without any objection, as an example. But, in all seriousness, please reach out to Joey or I because the subcommittees can- there's some structure that has to be in place, particularly as we're cautious about meeting our SAG requirements, but they're fluid. Joey and I sort of picked them in collaboration and corroboration with all of you at the beginning, but they aren't set in stone either. So, reach out as you want if you see another particular area that you have an infinity for, let me know. No, that doesn't mean that you can all join the Youth Subcommittee because they're going to have a party at [inaudible] house.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Thank you. Okay. So, we talked about the items for next meeting. Are there any other items outside of what we've already discussed that anyone would like to add?

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Just one.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Commissioner Wickes.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Commissioner Wickes for the record. I actually have a concern about the next meeting date. There's a rather unique CLE opportunity being offered by the State Bar that conflicts with that meeting. Having served on several Commission meetings, it's sometimes a delicate task for those of us who are employed full-time in this area to balance our responsibilities to our respective employers, with our responsibilities to this Commission. So, I would appreciate that if we're going to meet—I believe the next meeting would be scheduled for August the 10th?

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Correct.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: That we agendize at that meeting, not a finalization of a letter to our gubernatorial candidates but get the information about the types of lobbying that we are supposed to do. What those limitations might be, just for our own education. I think the letter is a wonderful idea, but I think it needs to be very carefully crafted to avoid placing individual Commissioners in an uncomfortable position, which could result in a lack of signatures as a Commissioner if it conflicts with our responsibilities to remain true to our employers, especially ahead of a legislative session, maintain our flexibility around the details of legislation and what we can support and not support. Commissioner Shick mentioned the Nevada District Attorney's Association. It would be great if we can thoughtfully get to the point where we're writing a letter, that we really have broad-based support, but that is going to be a delicate operation. So, I don't have any problem. I may not be at the August meeting, but I think that this letter to the gubernatorial candidates needs to

be very carefully crafted, and I think we need plenty of time to think about it in both our role as Commissioners, and our full-time day jobs.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Commissioner Wickes, well said as always. I absolutely agree with you. I think the reason I asked that it can be agendaized is just so we can talk about it, so we're not doing it two days before the election. When I say, welcome into the conversation, it's sharing our strategic plan, it's saying, this is what we're doing. It's really not a position paper per se, but I agree that there's method to all this agenda building, and hearing from the DCFS DAG about lobbying is the first natural step. We will put that on the 10th agenda. We'll do the Governor's letter maybe for September, but it really depends on how we can come together to find out who should be even asked to sign on to this letter. So, it's not going to happen fast, but hopefully it will happen. Does that ease concerns?

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Very much. Thank you.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Grand. Thank you. Okay. So, the next meeting, as Commissioner Wickes noted, is August 10th. It is scheduled at 1:00.

Judge William Voy: I'm sorry. This is Judge Voy.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Yes, sir?

Judge William Voy: I'm sorry. It doesn't concern me because I'm not a voting member, but has anyone done the updated Google Poll, or whatever you call it? One of those things, what do you call it? Doodle poll. Yes. Thank you. To see if you'll even be able to quorum on the 10th? The only reason why I would bring it up, the last Friday of the so-called summer, for us down here in Clark. I don't know about the rest of the state, because public schools start on Monday the 13th. So, there might be a lot of people doing other things on that last week before school starts.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Great, Judge. Good point. We could do a Doodle poll to find out what the quorum might look like for that meeting. We did schedule these throughout the year. We knew that things like this would come up, so we will, offline, try to look into that issue and try to address the majority of Commission attendance. So, thank you for pointing that out.

Judge William Voy: No problem.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. So, we're moving on to Item 11, Public Comment and Discussion. Again, this is limited to three minutes, and only regarding items that have been agendaized. So, are there any public comments in Las Vegas?

Unknown Speaker: No.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: Okay. Are there any on the phone? Is there any public comment here in Carson? Okay. So, with that, I'll take a motion to adjourn.

Unknown Speaker: Move to adjourn.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: A second?

Unknown Speaker: Second.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings: All in favor? All right. We're adjourning at 2:33. Have a safe Friday. Thank you, everybody.

Committee Report and Other Notes:

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes moved to approve May 11th, 2018 meeting minutes, Commissioner Frank Cervantes seconded the motion. Meeting minutes approved.

Commissioner Kierra Bracken motioned to approve the Governor's Report acknowledging that we need to give DCFS the authority to make any grammatical or formatting changes and the formula grant RFP documents. Commissioner Ross Armstrong moved, and Commissioner Jaqueline Pierrott seconded the motion.

Commissioner Judge Walker motioned for three agenda items in the meeting full commission, Commissioner Scott Shick seconded his motion.

Commissioner Joey Orduna Hastings motioned for the commission to start a letter to receive signatures for other branches of government, Commissioner Judge Egan Walker seconded this motion.