

NEVADA DIVISION OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES

Compliance with Core Requirements of the Formula Grants Program Authorized Under Title II Part and the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act

Compliance Manual Effective 08/01/2022

AUTHORITY AND FEDERAL GUIDANCE	
I. INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND	4
A. Summary of Federal Requirements	4
B. Purpose of Manual	4
C. Designated State Agency (DSA)	5
D. Nevada State Law	5
II. COMPLIANCE WITH THE CORE REQUIREMENTS	5
A. Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders (DSO)	5
B. Removal of Juveniles Prosecuted as Adults from Adult Facilities	9
C. Separation of Juveniles from Adult Inmates	10
D. Removal of Juveniles from Adult Jails and Lockups	13
III. ELEMENTS OF AN EFFECTIVE SYSTEM OF MONITORING	
III. ELEMENTS OF AN EFFECTIVE SYSTEM OF MONITORING A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures	
	17
A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures	
A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority	17
A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority C. Violation Procedures	17
 A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority C. Violation Procedures IV. FEDERAL DEFINITIONS 	
 A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority C. Violation Procedures IV. FEDERAL DEFINITIONS V. IDENTIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE. 	
 A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority C. Violation Procedures IV. FEDERAL DEFINITIONS V. IDENTIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE VI. CLASSIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE 	
 A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and Procedures B. Monitoring Authority C. Violation Procedures IV. FEDERAL DEFINITIONS V. IDENTIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE VI. CLASSIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE VII. INSPECTION OF FACILITIES 	

AUTHORITY AND FEDERAL GUIDANCE

- Federal Law:
 - Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Act of 1974 as amended through P.L.
 115-385, enacted December 21, 2018.
- Federal Regulation:
 - o OJJDP Formula Grants Consolidated Regulation, 28, CFR, Part 31
- Related Federal Guidance:
 - Guidance Manual for Monitoring Facilities under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended
- 42 U.S.C. § 5633
- 28 C.F.R. § 31.303

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A. Summary of Federal Requirements

Title II, Part B, of the <u>Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act</u> ("JJDPA" or the "Act") sets out detailed requirements that a state must satisfy in order to be eligible to receive funding under the Act's Formula Grants Program, including the submission of a state plan that satisfies the requirements set forth at 34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(1)-(33). Under the Act, "[i]n accordance with regulations which the Administrator shall prescribe, such plan shall, "among other things:

...provide for an effective system of monitoring jails, lockups, detention facilities, and correctional facilities to ensure that the core requirements are met, and for annual reporting of the results of such monitoring to the Administrator[.] [34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(14)]

It is the policy of the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) to ensure Nevada complies with the requirements of the Act by carrying out the tasks necessary to ensure compliance. Compliance must be maintained with:

- 1. Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders (DSO)
- 2. Removal of Juveniles Prosecuted as Adults from Adult Facilities
- 3. Separation of Juveniles from Adult Offenders
- 4. Removal of Juveniles from Adults Jails and Lockups

B. Purpose of the Manual

The purpose of this annotated manual is to inform the Compliance Monitor about the core requirements the state must address under the JJDPA, and what the JJDPA requires Nevada to include in the compliance monitoring manual. This manual addresses the core requirements found in <u>34 U.S.C. §§ 11133(a)(11), (12), and (13).</u>

This manual is designed to be used by Nevada for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the Federal Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Act, as amended in 2018, and shall outline the activities of the Compliance Monitor while monitoring for compliance with the Act.

This manual shall be supplemented by the *Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act Reauthorization of 2018, Nevada state law,* and by the *Annex* to this manual. Note: Hyperlinks to both the Act and Nevada State Law are included throughout this manual.

C. Designated State Agency (DSA)

The Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) is the DSA for compliance with the Act.

The Juvenile Justice Specialist in Nevada is the Compliance Monitor. In addition to the Compliance Monitor, there are three additional DCFS staff who are trained in how to conduct on site compliance monitoring visits. Potential violations discovered during an onsite visit will be reported to the Compliance Monitor immediately via email, including documentation gathered during the visit. The Compliance Monitor is responsible for the investigation and determination of violations.

D. Nevada State Law

The Nevada State Laws specific to juvenile offenders, if applicable, are found in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 62 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 62. These are not the only Nevada State Laws that may be referenced in this document, but several parts of NRS 62 and NAC 62 will be cited frequently.

II. COMPLIANCE WITH THE CORE REQUIREMENTS

This section describes the core requirements at <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(11), (12), and (13)</u>, and is recommended for inclusion in states' compliance monitoring manuals, to assist state staff in understanding the core requirements.

The right-hand column indicates the federal requirement, and the left-hand column indicates how Nevada will meet the requirement.

A. Deinstitutionalization of Status Offenders (DSO)

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
Pursuant to <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(11)(A)</u> , juveniles who are charged with or who have committed an offense that would not be criminal if committed by an adult (status offenders), or juveniles who are not charged with any offense and are unauthorized immigrants or are alleged to be dependent, neglected or abused (non-offenders), shall not be placed in secure	Current Nevada state law does not conflict with the federal requirements regarding DSO. Nevada complies with the requirements of monitoring for DSO by collecting status offender data from juvenile detention facilities and reviewing data admissions of adult jails/lockups to ensure no status offender has been securely detained. The following applies to DSO in Nevada.
detention facilities or secure correctional facilities. Compliance with the DSO requirement has been achieved when a state can demonstrate that no such juveniles were placed in secure detention and correctional facilities, or when the state's DSO rate falls below the established threshold.	A status offense is defined in Nevada state law under NRS <u>62B.320</u> , titled Child in Need of Supervision. Accused status offenders are required to have a detention hearing in accordance with Nevada state law <u>NRS 62C.040</u> .

Nevada uses 4 exceptions for DSO: holidays, weekends, of state runaways, and VCO. All juvenile detention facilities have policies/procedures place that prohibit them from detaining a juvenile withou charge, therefore, non-offenders will never be placed i juvenile detention facility.	out
All juvenile detention facilities have policies/procedures place that prohibit them from detaining a juvenile withou charge, therefore, non-offenders will never be placed i	
place that prohibit them from detaining a juvenile without charge, therefore, non-offenders will never be placed i	
	ut a
 There are two possible violations of DSO in Nevada: 1) Youth placed in a juvenile detention facility wit status offense charge for greater than 24 ho except for holidays, weekends, out of st runaways, or VCO. 2) Youth placed in secure custody in an adult jail lockup for any period. 	ours ate
The monitoring process for collecting and verifying dat described in Section VIII.	a is
YOUTH HANDGUN SAFETY EXCEPTION – Under <u>34</u> Current Nevada state law does not conflict with the fed	eral
U.S.C. § 11133(a)(11)(A)(i)(I), the DSO requirement requirements regarding youth handgun safety.	
does not apply to juveniles charged with or found to have committed a violation of the Youth Handgun Safety Act (18 U.S.C. § 922(x)), or a similar state law, which prohibits a person younger than 18 from	ig a
possessing a handgun. Such juveniles may be placed in secure detention or secure correctional facilities without resulting in an instance of noncompliance with the DSO requirement. NRS <u>62C.060</u> states that a delinquent juvenile in possess of a firearm cannot be released from a juvenile detent facility prior to a detention hearing.	
VALID COURT ORDER EXCEPTION – The Valid CourtCurrent Nevada state law does not prohibit the use of a Valid CourtOrder (VCO) exception at 34 U.S.C. §Inor does it conflict with the federal requirements regard11133(a)(11)(A)(i)(II) provides that accused orthe VCO exception.	
adjudicated status offenders, and juveniles found to have violated a valid court order based on their status as a juvenile, may be placed in a secure juvenile detention or correctional facility. A juvenile who has	-
committed a violation of a court order that is not related to his status as a juvenile (i.e., an offense with which an adult may be charged, such as failure to appear) is neither a status offender nor nonoffender and the DSO requirement does not apply (see Section	itus
III.E Federal Definitions for the definition of "valid Process if a VCO is indicated: Juvenile detention facili	ties
court order").	der

To demonstrate compliance with the statutory requirements governing the VCO exception, states must have a process in place to verify whether court orders used to place status offenders in juvenile detention centers (including juveniles who violate valid court orders related to their status as a juvenile), meet the following requirements (as set forth at <u>34</u> <u>U.S.C. § 11133(a)(23))</u> : a. An appropriate public agency shall be promptly notified that the status offender is held in custody for violating a valid court order. b. An authorized representative of that agency shall interview within 24 hours, in person, the status offender who is being held. c. Within 48 hours during which the status offender is held: The agency representative shall submit an assessment to the court that issued the order regarding the immediate needs of the status offender. The court shall conduct a hearing to determine whether (1) there is reasonable cause to believe that the status offender violated the order and (2) the appropriate placement of the status offender pending disposition of the alleged violation.	 Summary. Upon submission, if a VCO is indicated, the Compliance Monitor is notified immediately, and within 5 business days, the Compliance Monitor requests additional documentation from reporting juvenile detention facility including completion of the VCO Checklist and a copy of the court order. Juvenile courts that are found to have used a VCO incorrectly, or lack proper documentation on their written order, shall be provided technical assistance by a trained Compliance Monitor within 45 days of determination of violation. The technical assistance shall be provided in a method/manner requested by the local juvenile detention facility and/or the juvenile court. The Compliance Monitor determines if a violation occurred or not based on OJJDP guidance. The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII.
VALID COURT ORDER EXCEPTION – (continued)	The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is
The court shall conduct a hearing to determine whether (1) there is reasonable cause to believe that the status offender violated the order and (2) the appropriate placement of the status offender pending disposition of the alleged violation.	described in Section VIII.
If the court determines that the status offender should be placed in a secure detention facility or correctional facility for violating the court order, (1) the court must issue a written order that:	
Identifies the valid court order that has been violated;	
Specifies the factual basis for determining that there is reasonable cause to believe that the status offender has violated such order;	
Includes findings of fact to support a determination that there is no appropriate less restrictive alternative	

available to placing the status offender in such a facility, with due consideration to the best interest of the juvenile;	
Specifies the length of time, not to exceed 7 days, that the status offender may remain in a secure detention facility or correctional facility, and includes a plan for the status offender's release from such facility; and	
May not be renewed or extended; and (2) The court may not issue a second or subsequent order described [in the first bullet] relating to a status offender unless the status offender violates a valid court order after the date on which the court issued the first court order.	
There are procedures in place to ensure that any status offender held in a secure detention facility or correctional facility pursuant to a [valid] court order [described in this section] does not remain in custody longer than 7 days or the length of time authorized by the court, whichever is shorter.	
INTERSTATE COMPACT ON JUVENILES EXCEPTION – Pursuant to the DSO requirement at <u>34 U.S.C. §</u> <u>11133(a)(11)(A)(i)(III)</u> , status offenders may be held in accordance with the Interstate Compact on Juveniles,	Nevada <u>does</u> participate in the Interstate Compact for Juveniles. The entire compact is codified in <u>621</u> Nevada Revised Statutes.
as the state has enacted it. States must verify that all	Out of state runaways held in a juvenile detention
status offenders subject to an out-of-state placement	facility are not considered DSO violations and are not
were held pursuant to the Compact. Where the interstate placement of status offenders was not in accordance with the Compact, the state in which the juvenile is placed must report the placement as an instance of noncompliance	counted as DSO violations. Note: Out of state runaways may only be placed in a secure juvenile detention facility if they are charged with either a status offense or delinquent offense. Without a charge, a juvenile detention facility will not accept a youth. However, local law enforcement generally does not charge status offenses which places a burden on the state to house out of state runaways until a parent/guardian can plan for the safe return to the home state. In some case, Nevada's Child Welfare System is contacted, but is unable to take custody of a non-Nevada youth but may purchase a plane or bus ticket to the home state as a last resort. Nevada lacks placements for out of state runaways in 16 out of 17 counties.

TE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS omplies with the requirements of monitoring, ng, and reporting violations of removal of rosecuted as adults from adult facilities. evada state law conflicts with the requirements of guvenile prosecuted as adults from adult facilities. ows for Direct Files per <u>NRS 62B.335</u> , which are harged as adults who bypass the juvenile court. n further states that a juvenile who is charged in nal court must be "held in custody in a county or detention facility for adults and is entitled to bail hed in criminal proceedings". These youth are
ng, and reporting violations of removal of rosecuted as adults from adult facilities. evada state law conflicts with the requirements of juvenile prosecuted as adults from adult facilities. ows for Direct Files per <u>NRS 62B.335</u> , which are harged as adults who bypass the juvenile court. n further states that a juvenile who is charged in nal court must be "held in custody in a county or detention facility for adults and is entitled to bail
ned in criminal proceedings". These youth are
all comply with this requirement by: ilizing the federal definition of adult and cumenting all instances of individuals under the e of majority who are held in an adult jail/lockup nding trial, and subsequent hearings to determine the placement is in the interest of justice, and porting all confirmed violations to OJJDP annually the Compliance Monitoring Tool. e following exceptions apply: • 6-hour rule
 Rural exceptions Weather exceptions Safety exceptions and lockups shall be monitored for compliance equirement. boring process for collecting and verifying data is in Section VIII.
to accommodate the 2018 Reauthorization:
new language in the 2018 Reauthorization, the
" youth in Nevada are now under the purview of rently, there is one (1) adult jail or lockup in Clark e largest urban county in Nevada) that has more beds. This facility has multiple floors. There is one that has up to 24 beds that is earmarked for

 every 45 days in a rural jurisdiction) to review whether it is still in the interest of justice to continue to detain the juvenile in an adult jail or lockup or such that he had contact with adult inmates in a secure facility. In determining whether it is in the interest of justice to detain (or continue to detain) a juvenile, the court must consider: the age of the juvenile; the physical and mental maturity of the juvenile; The present mental state of the juvenile, including whether the juvenile presents an imminent risk of harm to the juvenile; the nature and circumstances of the alleged offense; the relative ability of the available adult and juvenile detention facilities to not only meet the specific needs of the juvenile but also to protect the safety of the public as well as other detained youth; and 	juveniles are completely sight/sound separated from adults and any contact with adults would be intermittent. This facility has been reclassified as a juvenile facility. Nevada is currently working on a Bench Book (with the State Advisory Group) for judges to orient them to the JJDPA overall, including the requirement of interest of justice hearings for juvenile pending trial who are placed in adult jails or lockups. In the meantime, the Compliance Monitor is working with facilities on a case-by-case basis if they have a juvenile pending trail in their facility. The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII.
determining whether it is in the interest of justice to	
 must consider: 1. the age of the juvenile; 2. the physical and mental maturity of the juvenile; 3. The present mental state of the juvenile, including whether the juvenile presents an imminent risk of harm to the juvenile; 4. the nature and circumstances of the alleged offense; 5. the juvenile's history of prior delinquent acts; 6. the relative ability of the available adult and juvenile detention facilities to not only meet the specific needs of the juvenile but also to protect the safety of the public as well as other detained youth; and 7. any other relevant factor(s) 	overall, including the requirement of interest of justice hearings for juvenile pending trial who are placed in adult jails or lockups. In the meantime, the Compliance Monitor is working with facilities on a case-by-case basis if they have a juvenile pending trail in their facility. The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is
secure facility is 180 days, unless the court determines, in writing, that there is good cause for such an	
extension, or the juvenile expressly waives this 180- day limit.	

C. Separation of Juveniles from Adult Inmates	
SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIRMENTS
Pursuant to <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(12)</u> , juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquent, status offenders, and juveniles who are not charged with an offense and	Current Nevada state law does not conflict with the federal requirements regarding separation of juveniles and adult offenders.
who are unauthorized immigrants or alleged to be dependent, neglected, or abused may not be detained or confined in any institution in which they have sight	Nevada complies with the requirements of monitoring, investigating, and reporting violations of separation.
or sound contact with adult inmates.	Any instance where a status offender or a non-offender is held in an adult jail or lock up securely for even 1 minute is

states must also have in effect a policy that requires separation. individuals who work with both juveniles and adult	DSO and may be a violation of sight and sound
individuals who work with both juveniles and adult	
	nforcement has the discretion to hold a juvenile
	for a status offense or in protective custody for
	ected in state or out of state runaway at a police
	h assessment center, a nonsecure facility, back
following pur	ar, or, as necessary, in a hospital or clinic for the rposes:
(a) Ident	
	mpting to notify the parents or persons
	cising custodial control or supervision of the
	, a relative, guardian, other responsible person ing a referral to child protective services or
	nile justice services
	ntion facilities and juvenile correctional facilities
	ve a sight/sound separation violation as policies
	ts from placement in one of these facilities.
Court holdin	ng cells/rooms are generally only for adult
	ring the hours of 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F as
part of court a	appearances. They are non-residential.
1) Some	e counties have juvenile courts with holding
cells/	rooms. These courts are only for juveniles who
	part of the child welfare or juvenile justice
2) The c	ems. counties that do not have juvenile courts must
	e District Courts for juvenile offenders. Some
	ict courts are secure, and some are non-secure.
	e that are secure have policies in place for
juven	niles' offenders that include a variation of the
follow	wing: 1) no juvenile offenders shall be held in
	re holding cell or room, 2) juvenile offenders
	have separate court days/times, and/or 3)
	niles shall be under constant supervision of a
	nile probation officer the entire time they are in ict Court.
	paration violation would be rare to never in a
	t holding.
	irements for staff who work with juveniles in a
secure setting	g is found in <u>NRS 62B.250</u> and <u>NAC 62B.100.</u>

Law enforcement's Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) requirements include performance objectives
regarding juveniles throughout the training regimen.
The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII.
The following acts under NRS 62B.390 are acts in which a
juvenile can be certified to adult criminal court.
The monitoring process for collecting and varifying data is
The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII.
NRS <u>62A.030</u> defines a "child" as:
a. A person who is less than eighteen (18) years of age.
b. A person who is less than twenty-one (21) years of
age and subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile
court for an unlawful act that was committed before
the person reached eighteen (18) years of age; or
c. A person who is otherwise subject to the jurisdiction
of the juvenile court as a juvenile sex offender.
Children who meet the definition's above are under the
purview of the juvenile court and therefore treated and
housed in a juvenile facility.
The Compliance Monitor is not aware any programs like this
in adult facilities (jails, lockups, and secure correctional
facilities).

Straight or shock incarceration programs) may result	The Compliance Monitor shall review policies during onsite
in instances of noncompliance with the separation	visits and monitor for any programs that meet this
(and possibly DSO and jail removal) requirements.	requirement.
Whether these programs result in instances of	
noncompliance will depend on the specific manner in	
which the program operates and the circumstances of	
the juveniles' participation in such a program.	
Instances of noncompliance with the separation	
requirement may only occur if a juvenile's	
participation in such a program is pursuant to law	
enforcement or juvenile or criminal court authority. In	
addition, for violations to occur, the juvenile must not	
be free to leave or withdraw from participation, even	
if her/his parent/guardian has not consented to, or	
wishes to withdraw consent for, the juvenile's	
participation.	

D. Removal of Juveniles from Adult Jails and Lockups

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
Pursuant to 34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(13), no juvenile shall be detained or confined in any jail or lockup for adults,	Current Nevada state law does not conflict with the federal requirements the removal of juveniles from adult jails.
with exceptions described below. Juveniles who are accused of status offenses, juveniles who are not accused of any offense, and juveniles who have been adjudicated as delinquent may not be detained or confined for any length of time in an adult jail or lockup.	Nevada meets the requirements of monitoring for the removal of juveniles from adult jails by collecting data from adult jails/lockups, investigations of potential violations, and onsite visits. The following applies when monitoring the removal of juveniles from adult jails and lockups.
The following four statutory exceptions apply to the jail removal requirement, as long as juveniles accused	Nevada does not utilize jails or adult lockups for juveniles who are adjudicated delinquent.
of non-status offenses do not have sight or sound contact with adult inmates and the state has in effect a policy that requires individuals who work with both juveniles and adult inmates in collocated facilities to have been trained and certified to work with juveniles	Any instance where a status offender or a non-offender is held in an adult jail or lock up securely for even 1 minute is a violation of DSO and may be a violation of sight and sound separation.
	The following exceptions apply for accused delinquent offenders:
	6-hour rule
	Rural exceptions
	Weather exceptions
	Safety exceptions

	Adult jails, secure detention facilities, and lockups shall be monitored for compliance with this requirement. Note: Court holding facilities are classified as lockups and do not securely hold offenders of any type outside of the hours of 8:00 am and 5:00pm, Monday through Friday. The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII.
 SIX-HOUR EXCEPTION – The jail removal requirement at <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(13)(A)</u> allows the detention or confinement in an adult jail or lockup of juveniles accused of delinquent offenses (i.e., offenses that would be a criminal offense if committed by an adult), under the following circumstances: a) A juvenile accused of a delinquent offense may be detained for no more than 6 hours for the purposes of processing or release or while awaiting transfer to a juvenile facility. b) A juvenile who has been adjudicated as delinquent may not be detained in an adult jail or lockup, for any length of time, without resulting in an instance of noncompliance with the jail removal requirement. The following three exceptions allow states to detain or confine juveniles accused of non-status offenses in adult jails or lockups for more than 6 hours while awaiting an initial court appearance and so long as the juveniles do not have sight or sound contact with adult inmates, and the state has in effect a policy that requires individuals who work with such juveniles and adult inmates to be trained and certified to work with juveniles. 	Juveniles accused of a delinquent offense may be held up to six (6) hours, which starts the minute that the juvenile enters a secure setting. If the juvenile is temporarily removed from the secure setting, but is then placed back in the secure setting, the six (6) hour clock does not stop for the time that they were un a non-secure setting. When a delinquent is taken out of a secure setting to be taken to court, the six (6) hour clock continues, the six (6) hour clock included the time in court but does NOT include the transport time. Nevada law enforcement has the discretion to hold a juvenile non-securely for a status offense or in protective custody for being a suspected in state or out of state runaway at a police station, youth assessment center, a nonsecure facility, back of a police car, or, as necessary, in a hospital or clinic for the following purposes: (a) Identification (b) Attempting to notify the parents or persons exercising custodial control or supervision of the child, a relative, guardian, other responsible person (c) Making a referral to child protective services or juvenile justice services Juveniles placed in conference rooms, lobbies, administrative offices, unlocked interview rooms, unlocked family or victim rooms, or within a police car for transportation are not considered or counted as violations.
RURAL EXCEPTION – The exception found at <u>34</u> <u>U.S.C. § 11133(a)(13)(B)(ii)(I)</u> provides that juveniles accused of non-status offenses may be detained or confined in jails or lockups for adults for as long as 48 hours (excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal	The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is described in Section VIII. Juveniles accused of a delinquent offense and are awaiting an initial court appearance that will occur within forty-eight (48) hours after being taken into custody (excluding weekends and holidays), and who are detained in an adult jail or lockup in which are located outside a metropolitan

	statistical area (Rural Exception) and has no existing
	acceptable alternative placement available. Sight and sound separation must be maintained.
Management and Budget (OMB)), and the state has	
no existing acceptable alternative placement	Note: All adult jails, adult secure detention facilities, and
available. a	adult lockups located outside of Urban Washoe County and
L	Urban Clark County meet the criteria of rural.
OMB maintains a list of metropolitan statistical areas	
	The Juvenile Justice Specialist provides the Rural Exception
	Certification and a list of a facilities that meet the exception
Revised Delineations of Metropolitan Statistical Areas,	annual with the Title II Formula Grant Application.
·	The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is
	described in Section VIII.
Delineations of These Areas, and the most recently	
issued update should be used. In order to determine	
whether a jurisdiction is outside a metropolitan	
statistical area, and is, therefore, rural, the state should	
use the list of "Metropolitan Statistical Areas" that	
provides the title of the metropolitan statistical area, the principal city or cities, and the counties included in	
that area	
	Juveniles accused of a delinquent offense and are awaiting
	an initial court appearance that will occur within forty-eight
	(48) hours after being taken into custody (excluding
jail or lockup, if the facility is located where	weekends and holidays), and who are detained in an adult
	jail or lockup in which are located outside a metropolitan
	statistical area (Rural Exception) and has no existing
	acceptable alternative placement available. Sight and sound
	separation must be maintained. Note: Any facility in Nevada may meet this exception and
	requires documentation of the reason.
т	The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is
	described in Section VIII.
	Juveniles accused of a delinquent offense and are awaiting
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an initial court appearance that will occur within forty-eight
lockup is located where conditions of safety exist	(19) hours attar houng taken into sustady (avaluding
	(48) hours after being taken into custody (excluding
(such as severely adverse, life-threatening weather	weekends and holidays), and who are detained in an adult
(such as severely adverse, life-threatening weather conditions that do not allow for reasonably safe ja	weekends and holidays), and who are detained in an adult jail or lockup in which are located outside a metropolitan
(such as severely adverse, life-threatening weather conditions that do not allow for reasonably safe travel), a juvenile accused of a delinquent offensev	weekends and holidays), and who are detained in an adult

appearance may be delayed until 24 hours after the	A juvenile may be held an additional 24 hours in the event
time that such conditions allow for reasonably safe	of unsafe conditions.
travel.	
	Note: Any facility in Nevada may meet this exception and
	requires documentation of the reason.
	The monitoring process for collecting and verifying data is
	described in Section VIII.

III. ELEMENTS OF AN EFFECTIVE MONITORING SYSTEM:

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS		
A. Compliance Monitoring Policies and	The compliance monitoring policies and procedures is		
Procedures	represented by this document.		
States participating in the Formula Grants Program			
must provide for an effective system of monitoring			
lockups, lockups, detention facilities, and correctional			
facilities to ensure that they meet the core			
requirements, pursuant to the monitoring and			
reporting requirement at <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(14)</u> . The			
state's monitoring system, if it is to comply with the			
statutory and regulatory monitoring requirements,			
must include all lockups, lockups, secure detention			
facilities, and secure correctional facilities (prisons).			
There are eight elements of an effective system of			
monitoring. For each of the following elements, the			
state should include a description of its specific			
policies and procedures:			
1. Compliance Monitoring Policies and			
Procedures			
2. Monitoring Authority			
3. Violation Procedures			
4. Adherence to Federal Definitions			
5. Identification of the Monitoring Universe			
6. Classification of the Monitoring Universe			
7. Inspection of Facilities			
8. Compliance Data Collection and Verification			
vernication			
Pursuant to 28 CEP § 21202($\theta(1)(i)$ one of the			
Pursuant to <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(1)(i)</u> , one of the required elements of an effective system of			
monitoring is that states must describe their policies			
and procedures for monitoring for compliance with			
and procedures for monitoring for compliance with			

the core requirements. The purpose of this manual is	
to satisfy the policies and procedures element, as well	
as describe how Nevada satisfies the following	
additional elements required for an effective system of	
compliance monitoring system.	
B. Monitoring Authority:	Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) as the
States are required under <u>34 U.S.C. § 11133(a)(1) and</u>	Designated State Agency (DSA) in charge of the Act through
(2) to designate an agency (referred to as the	implied authority through a combination of policy and
Designated State Agency, or the DSA) and provide	Executive Order.
satisfactory evidence that the DSA has authority, by	
legislation, if necessary, to administer the Title II	No adult or juvenile facility is licensed in Nevada. They are
Formula Grants Program, including monitoring for	governed by Nevada Revised Statute 62 and Nevada
compliance with the deinstitutionalization of status	Administrative Code 62.
offenders (DSO), Section 223(a)(11)(B), separation, and	
jail removal requirements.	DCFS's authority to conduct compliance monitoring for adult
	jails, lockups, and court holdings is through Executive Order
The following bullets describe information that states	that states "Monitor state compliance with the requirements
must include, at a minimum, in the narrative	of the Act, including the authority to monitor juveniles
addressing the monitoring authority requirement:	incarcerated or potentially incarcerated in adult lockups and
Provide a citation to your state's statute, regulation	
executive order, policy, and/or other source of lega	
authority of the DSA to monitor adult lockups, adult	-
	to any secure or non-secure facility that detains or
correctional facilities.	potentially detains minors. If the facility is required to keep
• Describe in detail what the monitoring authority	a log, a copy of the log, and any related documentation
permits the DSA to do.	requested, shall be submitted to the Division of Child and
 If an agency or entity other than the DSA has the 	Family Services Juvenile Justice Program Chief or his/her
primary monitoring responsibility, provide the	designee monthly".
name of that agency.	
 If the responsibility for monitoring does not lie 	DCFS operates the state's one juvenile secure correctional
solely with the DSA, specify what document	facility and data is available to the Compliance Monitor.
governs the relationship and the sharing of	active and data is available to the compliance Monitor.
information (e.g., contract or memorandum of	The Compliance Monitor has an informal agreement in place
understanding).	with the Department of Corrections, who operates one
 If the responsibility for monitoring does not lie 	juvenile secure correctional facility, to obtain data annually
solely with the DSA, describe what the	on the number of individuals under the age of majority who
monitoring authority permits other responsible	are convicted as adults and placed in this facility.
	are convicted as addits and placed in this facility.
agencies to do.	Novada Davisod Statuta (NDS) 6211 requires investig
	Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) <u>62H</u> requires juvenile
	detention facilities to provide data to DCFS and the
	Compliance Monitor as required.

C Investigation of Violations:	In the instance of a violation or complaint of a violation of
C. Investigation of Violations: Pursuant to <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(1)(iii)</u> , the state must specify how it receives, investigates, and reports complaints of instances of noncompliance with the DSO, Section 223(a)(11)(B), separation, and jail removal requirements.	In the instance of a violation or complaint of a violation of the three core requirements, the Compliance Monitor will contact the facility to discuss the situation. All reports of violations will be addressed. The Compliance Monitor is the primary agent to discover and report compliance violations throughout the state, and to investigate the violations. Discovery may be through a review of the <i>Juvenile Summary in Adult Lockups Form</i> , on- site visits, or an independent source. If a violation of Deinstitutionalized Status Offender, Jail Removal, Sight and Sound, or VCO is reported or discovered,
	the Compliance Monitor will fully investigate the violation. <u>Deinstitutionalized Status Offender (DSO)-</u> The investigation will involve a review of the facility holding logs or the booking system of secure detention facilities to confirm that a violation has occurred. Additionally, the investigation will involve a review of relevant court orders. All violations will be discussed with the director or designee to explain the violation and offer Training and Technical Assistance to prevent future violations.
	<u>Jail Removal -</u> The investigation will involve a review of the facility holding logs or the booking system to confirm that a violation has occurred. Additionally, the investigation will involve a review of relevant court orders. All violations will be discussed with the director or designee to explain the violation and offer Training and Technical Assistance to prevent future violations.
	<u>Sight and Sound Separation</u> – The investigation will include a visit to the facility to determine if a violation occurred. If any contact in secure areas, sight or sound, that is not intermittent will be reported as violations to OJJDP annually. All violations will be discussed with the director or designee to explain the violation and offer Training and Technical Assistance to prevent future violations.
	<u>VCO</u> - The investigation will always involve a review of the booking system and a request for the court administrator to complete the VCO checklist. In many cases, the investigation

reveals errors in record keeping either by the juvenile
detention facilities or by the court. All confirmed violations
will be discussed with the facility administrator or designee
and an offer of technical assistance will be provided.
Records are kept electronically for 6 years and then purged/deleted.
The Compliance Monitor provides the State Advisory Group
with violation reports as needed.

IV. FEDERAL DEFINTIONS

The following federal definitions shall be utilized during all compliance activities. In the instance where Nevada definitions differ from these federal definitions, federal definitions shall take precedence and be used in the monitoring process.

TERMS	FEDERAL DEFINITION	STATE DEFINITION
ADULT	34 U.S.C. Sec. 11103 (26) - means an individual	Nevada does not define this.
INMATE	who has reached the age of full criminal	
	responsibility under applicable state law and has	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
	been arrested and is in custody for or awaiting	defined term exists, the state will use
	trial on a criminal charge, or is convicted of a	federal definitions throughout the course
	criminal offense, and does not include an	of monitoring.
	individual who (1) at the time of the offense, was	of morneoring.
	younger than the maximum age at which a youth	
	can be held in a juvenile facility under applicable	
	state law; and (2) was committed to the care and	
	custody or supervision, including post-	
	placement or parole supervision, of a juvenile	
	correctional agency by a court of competent	
	jurisdiction or by operation of applicable state	
	law.	
ASSESSMENTS	<u>34 U.S.C. Sec. 11103 (38)</u> - includes, at a	Nevada does not define this.
	minimum, an interview and review of available	
	records and other pertinent information by an	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
	appropriately trained professional who is	defined term exists, the state will use
	licensed or certified by the applicable State in the	federal definitions throughout the course
	mental health, behavioral health, or substance	of monitoring.
	abuse fields; and which is designed to identify	
	significant mental health, behavioral health, or	
	substance abuse <u>treatment</u> needs to be	
	addressed during a youth's confinement.	
COLLOCATED	34 U.S.C. § 11103 (28) – means facilities that are	Nevada does not define this.
FACILITIES	located in the same building or are part of a	
	related complex of buildings located on the same	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
	grounds.	defined term exists, the state will use
		federal definitions throughout the course
		of monitoring.
CORE	<u>34 U.S.C. Sec 11103 (30)</u> - means the	Nevada does not define this.
REQUIREMENT	requirements described in 34 U.S.C Sec	
	11133(11), (12), (13) and (15).	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
		defined term exists, the state will use
		federal definitions throughout the course
		of monitoring.
CRIMINAL-	28 CFR 31.304(a) - is a juvenile offender who has	NAC 62H.030 "Delinquent Act" defined.
ТҮРЕ	been charged with or adjudicated for conduct	"A delinquent act is any act designated a
OFFENDER	that would, under the law of the jurisdiction in	crime under Nevada Revised Statutes,

DETAIN OR CONFINE	which the offense was committed, be a crime if committed by an adult 28 C.F.R. § 31.304 (b) – means to hold, keep, or restrain a person such that he or she is not free to leave or that a reasonable person would believe that he is not free to leave. The exception is a juvenile that law enforcement holds solely to return him to his parent or guardian or pending his transfer to the custody of a child welfare or social service agency. In this case, the youth is not detained or confined within the meaning of this	other than a traffic offense, with which a child or an adult may be charged." Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring. Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
INSTITUTION	definition Compliance Monitoring TA Tool – means "a secure facility that law enforcement or a juvenile or criminal court authority uses to detain or confine juveniles or adults (1) accused of having committed a delinquent or criminal offense, (2) awaiting adjudication or trial for the delinquent or criminal offense, or (3) found to have committed the delinquent or criminal offense."	 NRS 209.071 "Institution" defined. "Institution" means a prison designed to house 125 or more offenders within a secure perimeter. NRS 63.030 "Facility" defined. "Facility" means a state facility for the detention or commitment of children which is administered by the State of Nevada. NRS 62A.190 "Local facility for the detention of children" defined. "Local facility for the detention of children" means a local facility for the detention or commitment of children which is administered by a county. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring
JAIL OR LOCKUP FOR ADULTS	<u>34 U.S.C. § 11103 (22)</u> – means a locked facility that a state, unit of local government, or any law	Nevada does not define this.

JUVENILE OFFENDER	enforcement authority uses to detain or confine adult inmates. <u>28 CFR 31.304(f)</u> - is an individual subject to the exercise of juvenile court jurisdiction for purposes of adjudication and treatment based on age and offense limitations as defined by State law, i.e., a criminal-type offender or a status offender.	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring. NRS 62A.070 "Delinquent child" defined. " Delinquent child" means a child who is adjudicated delinquent pursuant to the provisions of this title. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
MAXIMUM AGE OF EXTENEDED JUVENILE COURT JURISDICTION	Compliance Monitoring TA Tool - means the age above which a juvenile court may no longer exercise jurisdiction under state law.	 NRS 62A.030 defines a "child" as: A person who is less than eighteen (18) years of age. A person who is less than twenty-one (21) years of age and subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court for an unlawful act that was committed before the person reached eighteen (18) years of age; or A person who is otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court as a juvenile sex offender.
MONITORING UNIVERSE NON- OFFENDER	Compliance Monitoring TA Tool – means all public and private facilities in which law enforcement or criminal or juvenile court authority detain juveniles and/or adult inmates 28 CFR 31.304(i) - is a juvenile who is subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court, usually under abuse, dependency, or neglect statutes, for reasons other than legally prohibited	Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring. Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use
RESIDENTIAL	conduct of the juvenile. Compliance Monitoring TA Tool – means equipped with beds, cots, or other sleeping quarters and has the capacity to provide for overnight accommodations for juveniles or	federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring. Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use

	adults who are accused of committing or who have committed an offense.	federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
SECURE	28 CFR 31.304(m) - As used to define a detention or correctional facility. This term includes residential facilities which include construction features designed to physically restrict the movement and activities of persons in custody such as locked rooms and buildings, fences, or other physical structures. It does not mean facilities where physical restriction of movement or activities is provided solely through facility staff.	Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
SECURE CORRECTION FACILITY	<u>34 U.S.C. § 11103 (12)</u> – means any public or private residential facility which— (1) includes construction fixtures designed to physically restrict the movements and activities of juveniles or other individuals held in lawful custody in such facility; and (2) is used for the temporary placement of any juvenile who is accused of having committed an offense or of any other individual accused of having committed a criminal offense.	 NRS 209.065 "Facility" defined. "Facility" means a community correctional center, conservation camp, facility of minimum security or other place of confinement, other than an institution, operated by the Department for the custody, care or training of offenders. NRS 209.071"Institution" defined. "Institution" means a prison designed to house 125 or more offenders within a secure perimeter. NRS 208.075 "Prison" defined. "Prison" means any place designated by law for the keeping of persons held in custody under process of law, or under lawful arrest. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course
SIGHT AND SOUND CONTACT	<u>34 U.S.C. § 11103 (12)</u> – means any public or private residential facility which— (1) includes construction fixtures designed to physically restrict the movements and activities of juveniles or other individuals held in lawful custody in such facility; and (2) is used for the	of monitoring. Nevada does not define this. Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.

	issuance of the order, the full due process rights that the U.S. Constitution guarantees to the juvenile.	federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
	to the order and who received, before the	defined term exists, the state will use
ORDER	a juvenile court judge gives to a juvenile who was brought before the court and made subject	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
VALID COURT	<u>34 U.S.C. § 11103(16)</u> - means a court order that	Nevada does not define this.
	jurisdiction otherwise are closed.	federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
	public holidays, or days on which the courts in a	defined term exists, the state will use
	exclusive of any hours on Saturdays, Sundays,	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
FOUR HOURS	TA Tool – means a consecutive 24-hour period,	
TWENTY-	TWENTY-FOUR HOURS Compliance Monitoring	Nevada does not define this.
		Whether state definitions for each JJDPA defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course of monitoring.
		"delinquent child" and "child in need of supervision" defined. (Child Welfare Definition)
	would not be criminal if committed by an adult.	NRS <u>201.090</u> "Neglected child,"
OFFENDER	charged with or has committed an offense that	supervision (Juvenile Justice Definition)
STATUS	28 CFR 31.304(h) – means a juvenile who is	NRS <u>62B.320</u> Child in need of
		of monitoring.
	Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	defined term exists, the state will use federal definitions throughout the course
	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin	Whether state definitions for each JJDPA
	United States, the District of Columbia, the	
STATE	<u>34 U.S.C. § 11103(7)</u> – means any state of the	Nevada does not define this.
	committed a criminal offense.	
	any other individual accused of having	
	accused of having committed an offense or of	

V. IDENTIFICATION OF MONITORING UNIVERSE

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
The reporting of instances of noncompliance with the	The Compliance Monitor is responsible for identifying the
core requirements is facility-based and therefore the	jails and lock ups for adults as well as secure correctional
"monitoring universe" includes all facilities within the	facilities and secure detention facilities within the state that
state (public and private) that are lockups and lockups	might hold juveniles pursuant to public authority and
for adults (including court holding facilities), secure	including them in the electronic monitoring universe. The

detention facilities, and secure correctional facilities (including adult prisons), as listed at <u>34 U.S.C. §</u> <u>11133(a)(14)</u> . These are the facilities in which instances of noncompliance with the core requirements may occur. States must ensure that they identify and include all of these facilities as part of the monitoring universe.	 identification of the monitoring universe is an ongoing process. Each year, the monitoring universe will change with the opening, closing, and/or merging of facilities within the state. Nevada outlines the identification of the compliance universe below. There is no one agency responsible for all secure facilities in Nevada. The Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) operates one (1) secure juvenile correctional facility. No Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is needed as DCFS is the DSA. The Department of Correction (DOC) operations all secure adult prisons/correctional facilities. No MOU is needed with DOC because no juvenile is placed in a secure adult prison/correctional facility unless the juveniles has been tried and convicted as an adult. The Compliance Monitor has an established relationship with DOC staff to obtain data on juveniles tried and convicted as adults and placed in a section of their facility. Individual counties operate all court holding facilities, adult jails, and adult lockups. All compliance is done through Executive Order. The order states, ""Monitor state compliance with the requirements of the Act, including the authority to monitor juveniles incarcerated or potentially incarcerated in adult lockups and lockups. To the extent permitted by law, the Division of Child and Family Services Juvenile Justice Programs Chief or his/her designee shall have, for inspection purposes, access to any secure or non-secure facility that detains or potentially detains minors. If the facility is required to keep a log, a copy of the log, and any related documentation requested, shall be submitted to the Division of Child and Family Services Juvenile Justice Program Chief or his/her designee monthly.
	adult lockup, secure juvenile detention facility, or secure correctional facility). Note: there are no private providers who are contracted in Nevada as any of these facility types.

Annually, DCFS staff conducts a website search of new
-
lockups/lockups/court holdings/prisons using the following
websites:
https://doc.nv.gov/Facilities/Home/
https://www.countyoffice.org/nv-clark-county-
lockups-prisons/
https://www.countyoffice.org/reno-nv-lockups-
prisons/
<u>https://nicic.gov/state-statistics/</u>
The Compliance Monitor participates in monthly meetings
with county probation chiefs/directors.
The Compliance Monitor attends at least one meeting per
year with the sheriffs/police chief's organization.
When staff are out conducting compliance visits, they
conduct visual reviews of the areas for new construction or
facilities that may meet the requirements of a secure facility
under the JJDPA.

VI. CLASSIFICATION OF THE MONITORING UNIVERSE

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
States are required under <u>28 C.F.R. §</u>	Once identified, the facilities will be classified based on the four
<u>31.303(f)(1)(i)(B)</u> to classify each facility in the	(4) types of facilities listed in <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(1)(i)(B)</u> . The
monitoring universe to specify whether it is a	Compliance Monitor shall review all facilities to determine which
(1) a jail or lockup for adults (34 U.S.C. §	should be considered a jail or lockup for adults, secure detention
<u>11103(22)</u>);	facility or secure correctional facilities and thus should be
(2) secure detention facility (<u>34 U.S.C. §</u>	monitored.
<u>11103(12)</u>); or	
(3) secure correctional facility (<u>34 U.S.C. §</u>	The classification of facilities can change from year to year;
<u>11103(13)</u>).	therefore, it must be updated on an ongoing basis.
	 To correctly classify all the identified facilities, the following procedures will be completed: The Compliance Monitor shall email each jail and lock up for adult and secure detention facility a link to an annual survey to complete. The Compliance Monitor will review submitted data to determine and/or verify classification. During onsite inspections of all identified facilities, the Compliance Monitor will verify the current classification Facility Review Form (On-Site), which includes a section for

	 the Compliance Monitor to classify the facility and document the reason for the classification. The Compliance Monitor will annually review state standards and new legislation, judicial practices, and administrative rules for classification purposes. Based on the standards, judicial practices and administrative rules, some facilities may be excluded from inspection. All newly identified or reported facilities from outside sources are classified as secure until a completed annual survey is provided, or the facility is and are inspected.
--	---

VII. INSPECTION OF FACILITIES

	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS Pursuant to <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(1)(i)(C)</u> , inspection of facilities is necessary to ensure an accurate assessment of each facility's classification and record keeping.	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS DCFS is the state agency responsible for monitoring facilities listed on the Compliance Universe; no outside agencies or contractors are used. The Compliance Monitor is responsible for inspecting the jails, lock ups for adults, secure detention facilities and secure correctional facilities identified in the monitoring universe. Since there is no complete legislative authority to monitor for compliance of the JJDPA, it is essential for the Compliance Monitor to build professional relationships with representatives of the facilities. This includes the Compliance Monitor's participation in county meetings including the Nevada Association of Sherriff's and Chiefs (annually) and with county juvenile probation directors, detention managers, and probation chiefs.
	 The Compliance Monitor will complete the following tasks: Conduct an onsite visit of county operated adult jails and lock ups, at minimum, once every three (3) years to ensure policies and procedures remain in place and are administered accordingly. Schedule and complete an onsite visit/inspection of identified jails and lock ups for adults, regardless of custody level, at least 10 percent of each type of facility will be inspected yearly with the intent of 100 percent inspected within a three-year period. Classification can change easily; therefore, onsite visits to facilities are essential. Develop and utilize comprehensive monitoring tools designed to ensure an ongoing, accurate facility classification and record keeping including: Annual Facility Survey

 Juvenile Summary in Adult Lockups (Juvenile log for adult facilities) These are provided as required, meaning when a juvenile has been securely detained. These are reviewed when received for potential violations that require investigation. Status Offender Summary (Juvenile log for status offenses) These are provided monthly by all juvenile detention facilities. These are reviewed when received for potential violations that require investigation. Facility Review Form (On-Site) Sight and Sound Separation Checklist (On-Site) VCO Checklist Facility Education Materials Provide training and technical assistance, as needed, during inspections-on site visits. The onsite inspection process will include: A review of physical accommodations to determine whether it is a secure or non-secure facility.
facilities inspections and data gathering. This data will be included in the Annual OJJDP Monitoring Report. Each facility will have an individual file separated by Compliance Year, located on DCFS's shared drive.
Records are kept for 6 years and then purged/deleted.

How the review of the facilities' recordkeeping	The Compliance Monitor will review a sample of booking logs
system is conducted to determine whether	during onsite visits to verify the following data elements are
sufficient data is maintained to determine	captured:
compliance with the DSO, Section 223(a)(11)(B),	Demographics (age, gender, race)
separation, and jail removal requirements.	Offense (If a status offense, this is a violation of DSO in an
(Describe in detail.)	adult facility)
	Booking intake date and time
	Release date and time
	Release reason
	Who the juvenile was released to
	That a juvenile prosecuted as adults received a hearing every
	30 days and the appropriate process has been followed.

VIII. COMPLIANCE DATA COLLECTION AND VERIFICATION

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS	STATE'S PLAN TO ADDRESS REQUIREMENTS
Pursuant to <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(1)(i)(D)</u> and <u>(5)</u> ,	Adult Secure Correctional Facilities:
the state must collect and verify data from all	Classified as secure
adult lockups, adult lockups, secure detention	Public entities; no privately owned or operated adult secure
facilities, and secure correctional facilities for the	correctional facilities in Nevada
12-month federal fiscal year (FY) reporting	Adults
period, to determine whether the facilities are in	• No juveniles charged or adjudicated delinquent are held in
compliance with the applicable requirements of	an adult secure correctional facility at any point.
DSO, Section 223(a)(11)(B), separation, and jail	• Juveniles tried and convicted as adults are placed in adult
removal. The federal fiscal year is October 1 to	secure correctional facilities.
September 30. States that are unable to report	Inspected for separation of juveniles and adults
data for 100% of facilities must report data for at	 Annual Survey
least 85% of facilities within the state that are	 On an onsite review schedule of once every three years
required to report.	
	Adult Jails
	Classified as secure
	• Public entities; no privately owned or operated adult jails in
	Nevada
	Adults
	No juveniles adjudicated delinquent are held in an adult jail
	at any point.
	• No juveniles charged with a status offense are held in an
	adult jail.
	Jail removal applies for juveniles charged delinquent.
	Inspected for DSO, Jail Removal, and Separation.
	 Annual survey
	o Juvenile Summary in Adult Lockups (Juvenile log for
	adult secure facilities)

Lockups:
Classified as secure
Temporary holding; not residential
Public entities; no privately owned or operated lockups in Nevada.
Adults and juveniles
Inspected for DSO, Jail Removal, and Separation.
 Annual survey
 Juvenile Summary in Adult Lockups (Juvenile log for
adult secure facilities)
<u>Juvenile Detention Facilities and Juvenile Correctional Facilities:</u> • Classified as secure
• Public entities; no privately owned or operated juvenile
facilities in Nevada.
Juveniles
Secure facilities are inspected for DSO and VCO violations.
 Annual survey
• Status Offender Summary (Juvenile log for status
offenses)
 On an onsite review of once every three years.
, ,
Non-Secure juvenile, adult, and juvenile/adult facilities:
Classified as non-secure
• Public entities; no privately owned or operated facilities in
Nevada.
Adults and juveniles
• Spot checked to ensure classification of non-secure is still
accurate
The basic requirement per OJJDP regulations is that states should have in place a statistically sound method of inspecting 10 percent of the facilities annually. DCFS inspects all secure facilities at least once every three years. When fully staffed, DCFS visits roughly 33 percent, but when not fully staffed, that number is closer to 10 percent.
• All on-site visits are arranged with facility administrator or designee at a date and time convenient for the Compliance Monitor and the facility.
The Compliance Monitor shall obtain policies and
procedures on how the facility ensures sight and sound
separation.

The DSA's process for verifying the compliance data collected from adult lockups, adult lockups, secure detention facilities, and secure correctional facilities (prisons) in the state. The process should be described in detail with respect to each of the core requirements.	 Once facility inspection is completed, the Compliance Monitor shall complete both the Facility Review Form (On- Site) and the Sight and Sound Separation Checklist. Any newly discovered DSO, jail removal, or separation violations (during the on-site visit) will be noted on the Facility Review Form and be investigated by the Compliance Monitor. The Compliance Monitor shall provide technical assistance to those facilities not in compliance with record keeping and/or with sight and separation requirements. In cases where there is non-cooperation in aligning their systems to OJJDP requirements, it will be brought to the attention of Executive Director of the Nevada Association of Sherriff's and Chiefs in writing. All data is provided with the Annual OJJDP Monitoring Report. All completed annual surveys, on-site review forms, and sight and sound checklists are kept in individual facility files on DCFS's shared drive by Compliance Year. The Compliance Universe is kept on DCFS's shared drive. Data gathering for status offenses, VCO violations, and juveniles in held in adult jails/lockups is kept on DCFS's shared drive.
If any of the secure facilities (adult lockups, adult lockups, secure detention facilities, and secure correctional facilities (prisons) within the state self-report compliance data, the DSA must have a documented and traceable process to review a statistical sample of facility admissions records and booking logs to verify that the data are accurate.	The Compliance Monitor shall request one month of admissions data as part of the on-site visit to verify data accuracy and if provided, shall review the submission against data provided throughout the year. Not all facilities comply with providing data during on-site visits; therefore, DCFS has submitted a Bill Draft Recommendation to the Legislature for the 2023 to include requirements for adult jails/lockups to report data and provide data samples during on-
If your state uses the VCO exception, the state's manual must include a description of the state's	site visits. This will ensure that the Compliance Monitor does not encounter pushback from adult secure facilities. There is no state law prohibiting the use of a valid court order.

process for verifying that valid court orders meet all of the statutory requirements, set forth above in the "Valid Court Order Exception" section on <u>pages 29-30.</u> (If your state does not use the VCO exception, include a statement to that effect here.)	Process if a VCO is indicated: Juvenile detention facilities report status offenders monthly using the <i>Status Offender Summary</i> . Upon submission, if a VCO is indicated or discovered through review of status offenders in secure custody for greater than 24 hours minus weekends, holidays and delinquent charges filed, the Compliance Monitor is notified immediately, and within 5 business days, the Compliance Monitor requests additional documentation from reporting juvenile detention facility including completion of the VCO Checklist and a copy of the court order.
	Juvenile courts that are found to have used a VCO incorrectly, or lack proper documentation on their written order, shall be provided technical assistance by a trained Compliance Monitor within 45 days of determination of violation. The technical assistance shall be provided in a method/manner requested by the local juvenile detention facility and/or the juvenile court.
	The Compliance Monitor determines if a violation occurred or not based on OJJDP guidance.
If any facilities within your state detain juveniles pursuant to a contract with a federal agency, or with another state, the state must monitor and collect compliance data regarding such juveniles in its monitoring effort.	This does not apply to Nevada.
If your state uses the rural exception to the jail removal requirement, describe the state's process for the following:	Nevada does utilize the rural exception for all adult facilities outside of Urban Washoe County (Reno) and Urban Clark County (Las Vegas).
Documenting the determination of whether a particular jurisdiction qualifies as "rural," using the definition at <u>34 U.S.C. § 11103((43)</u> and OMB's most recent delineations of "metropolitan statistical areas" (see "Rural Exception," on <u>pages 9 and 27</u> , respectively. Documenting that there is no alternative placement available.	The Juvenile Justice Specialist certifies the use of a rural exception annually with the submission of the Title II Formula Grant Application and provides a list of all the facilities that meet the exception.
The time during which a juvenile is detained in a courtroom does not count toward the 6-hour limit, because a courtroom is not a jail or lockup for adults.	

For the relevant federal fiscal year reporting period, if any juvenile who was charged as an adult was detained in an adult jail or lockup or such that he had contact with adult inmates.	None have been reported or identified through onsite visits.
The term "federal ward" is obsolete and should not be included in your manual, as it was relevant to the de minimis standard for compliance with the DSO requirement, which became obsolete in 2017.	Nevada does not use the term "federal ward".
Under <u>28 C.F.R. § 31.303(f)(5)</u> , annual compliance monitoring reports must cover the previous federal fiscal year, except that the OJJDP Administrator may grant an extension of the reporting deadline, for good cause, upon a state's request.	The Compliance Monitor is responsible for reporting the yearly collected data and violations in the Compliance Monitoring Tool provided by the OJJDP.

MANUAL ANNEX:

- Attachment 1: Juvenile Summary in Adult Lockups: For adult lockups to report juveniles held securely through the Compliance Year. The form is requested monthly, or when a juvenile is held securely (intermittent instances).
- Attachment 2: Status Offender Summary: For juvenile detention facilities to report status offenders held securely through the Compliance Year. The form is received monthly for each juvenile detention facility.
- Attachment 3:VCO Checklist: If the use of a Valid Court Order (VCO) is indicated on the Status
Offender Summary, a VCO checklist shall be obtained.
- Attachment 4: Annual Facility Survey: For all secure facilities (juvenile and adult) to provide current information regarding their facility, specifically any changes regarding operations and security of the facility.
- Attachment 5:Facility Review Form (On-Site): For all secure facilities (juvenile and adult). This
form shall be completed by the Compliance Monitor to document the results of an
on-site visit. This document verifies the classification of the facility.
- Attachment 6:Sight and Sound Separation Checklist (On-Site): For all secure adult facilities.This form shall be completed by the Compliance Monitor to document the level of
sight/sound separation based on the observations and tour of the facility during
the on-site visit.
- Attachment 7:Core Requirements Chart: How to apply DSO, Sight/Sound Separation, and Jail
Removal. This is used as an education material.
- **Attachment 8: Custody Flow Chart:** How to determine if a juvenile is in secure custody. This is used as an education material.
- Attachment 9: Facility Education Quick Guide: Reference for secure facilities. This is used as an education material.
- Attachment 10: Executive Order 1988
- Attachment 11: Executive Order 2008
- Attachment 12: Executive Order 2017

COMPLIANCE MONITORING TIMELINE

Timeframe	Activities	
Ongoing	 Data gathering and verification for status offences and juveniles held in adult facilities. Add newly identified facilities to the Monitoring Universe; schedule onsite monitoring visits and delete closed facilities. Provide technical assistance/training to facilities, as requested or needed. Receive and investigate compliance violations and initiate corrective action plans; and Identify and attend national trainings provided by OJJDP or other juvenile justice related agencies. 	
October - January	Update the State Advisory Group of compliance monitoring activities.	
october - January	Using a current list of the compliance universe, annual surveys sent to the contact person of 100% of secure facilities identified in the compliance universe. The information collected, via the surveys, pertaining to the identification of facilities that hold juveniles under court jurisdiction will be recorded on the appropriate spreadsheet or database.	
January - April	Compile and analyze previous Federal fiscal year's data to be included in the Annual Compliance Monitoring Report, to be submitted to OJJDP no later than close of business on the due date. Submission due date for each year will be decided by OJJDP. If extension is necessary, a valid request with supporting documentation should be forwarded to the OJJDP state compliance analyst for review and approval.	
January - June	Provide required onsite inspections of identified facilities to verify facility- reported data and/or classification.	