

QUARTER 4 PIP 1.1.1 (A) Clark



CLARK COUNTY CFSR PROGRAM PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT QUARTER 4 REPORT

Jurisdiction: Clark County

Primary Strategy: 1 Strengthen and reinford	Applicable CFSR Outcomes or Systemic Factors: Safety Outcome 1 and 2			
Goal: 1 Continue the development of Nevada's safety assessment model to include assessment of children in out-of-home care and at specific milestones throughout the life of the case				CFSR Items: 2, 4
Action Steps and Benchmarks	Person Responsible	Evidence of Completion	QTR Due	QTR Completed
1.1.1 (A) Develop or refine policies and tools that meet the individual jurisdictional needs pursuant to the work plan to support safety assessments throughout the life of the case and prepare a written safety practice overview to document and articulate Nevada's safety model	DCFS, WCDSS, CCDFS Directors/designee	Copy of policies and tools	Q 4	Q4

The present safety model employed by Clark County is a model of safety throughout the life of a case. It is a hybrid model which focuses on the Nevada Initial Assessment information collection and decision making process that was developed by ACTION for Child Protection in January 2008 for the State of Nevada.

This model was implemented in Clark County in 2009 and was later supplemented with the assessment tools previously provided in the PIP Quarter 1 submission. These tools provide for assessment of safety throughout the life of the case. Provided in Appendix A are the previously submitted assessment tools:

Emergency Placement Checklist

The placing worker must document the safety of the relative or fictive kin home on the Emergency Placement Safety Checklist, as determined by personal observation, inspection of the home, collateral checks and statements of the relative. In order to complete checklist, the worker must conduct initial walk through to inspect all parts of the home listed below with the relative, and complete the CANS and Criminal Background checks (with fingerprinting if necessary) as required for all members of the household. A copy of this form is to be placed in the investigative file or permanency/ongoing file for their records. This form is to be completed prior to placement in the relative or fictive kin home.

Out-of Home Pre-Placement Safety Check

The *Out-of-Home Pre-Placement Safety Check*, is a tool to ensure that children are placed in a home that is assessed to be safe and with parents willing and capable of meeting the child's needs.

Out-of Home Placement Safety Check

Another tool is the *Out-of-Home Placement Safety Check*. This tool evaluates the safety of children in foster, relative or fictive kin placements and the caregivers' ongoing ability to meet the needs of the children placed in the home. The *Out-of-Home Placement Safety Check* has assisted workers in standardizing how assessments are made in out-of-home placements.

Prior to the development of this tool, the *Nevada Safety Assessment* was used to asses out of home safety. The *Nevada Safety Assessment* however was primarily developed to assess safety for the biological parent and did not address some of the safety concerns regarding the caregiver, such as the caregiver's stress level in meeting the child's needs, the caregiver's need for additional training and or support to parent the child, or the safety threats that new children being placed in the home can cause. Especially as household conditions are expected to be at a higher standard than is expected of the biological parent's home.

The new tools have given workers a way to have conversation with care providers about their needs in caring for the child in alternative care and provide additional support when needed. The tool assists out-of-home staff in ensuring that a safety threat truly exists that warrants a removal. Workers indicated that they saw the tool as being most helpful in assisting them to distinguish between standard of care concerns and true safety threats. This has lead workers to have more Child and Family Team meetings to discuss concerns and develop plans for addressing concerns instead of removing children unnecessarily from placement providers.

Institutional Investigation Safety Check

The Institutional Investigation Safety Check tool is used to evaluate the safety of children placed in out of home care and to determine if safety threats are present.

In-Home Placement Safety Check (Used with In-Home Cases)

The In-Home Placement Safety Check tool is used to evaluate the safety of children in the home throughout the life of the case.

Placement Support Plan-Safety Check

The Placement Support Plan-Safety Check assists with supporting caregivers.

Visitation Safety Check

The *Visitation Safety Check* is used to assist caseworkers in developing appropriate visitation plans for parents. Workers have also found the *Visitation Safety Check* helpful in being able to assess the parent's behavior during visitation to assist them in being able to determine baseline behavior for visitations and making decisions about the appropriate level of supervision required. Workers are required to review the *Visitation Safety Check* with their supervisor at monthly one-to-one supervision. The intent is to support a visitation plan that maintains family connections. Training on the safety tools and policy implementation was completed in 2009 and 2010.

Presently, the Rural and Washoe County child welfare agencies are implementing a revised version of the ACTION Safety Model in 2011 with Clark County reviewing the model in December 2011. Upon future implementation of the revised model, Clark County would reflect safety practice throughout the life of the case using the Action for Child Protection's guiding principles as follows:

Practice Principles

Respect

During safety intervention respect is accorded to all who are involved which includes caregivers, children other family members and those who are part of the family network, community members and those who are part of the professional community. This practice principle is applied through communication and behavior that acknowledges the worth and resource that a person can contribute to the safety intervention process.

Courtesy in All Interaction

During safety intervention all exchanges with those who are involved in the process occur in an equalitarian and considerate manner. This practice principle is part of valuing what others – in particular caregivers and family members – can contribute to safety intervention and supports their importance.

Personal Meaning

During safety intervention attempts occur to understand the personal meaning that the safety intervention process stimulates for caregivers and family members. This practice principle acknowledges that individuals experience things in unique and personal ways which are important to understand and respect.

Interest and Curiosity

Effective safety intervention requires an elevated intention to understand events, circumstances, emotion, social connections, behavior, functioning and perceptions which create pictures of caregivers and families. This practice principle emphasizes the importance of depth and breadth during safety intervention.

Patience

Safety intervention requires a calm and settled responsiveness that trusts the process and trusts caregivers and family members, in time, to communicate and invest themselves in productive ways.

Empowerment

During safety intervention practice and decision making includes consideration of caregiver personal power and esteem. In particular this practice principle acknowledges the conundrum CPS intervention creates between taking away parental authority in order to restore parental authority.

Empathy

Seeking to understand the circumstances associated with safety intervention and the emotion that it stimulates is an over arching, continual issue. This practice principle encourages worker understanding and sensitivity, caregiver involvement and support to safety intervention objectives.

Competence

Safety intervention requires the application of knowledge and skill in fifteen distinct competency areas. This practice principle reinforces the importance of what is at stake and the value and worth of those who are involved in the process.

Adherence to Ethical and Professional Standards

Safety intervention incorporates state of the art as well as ministerial standards (i.e., law and policy.) Additionally safety intervention requires the norms and ethics associated with professional behavior (such as the Social Work profession.) This practice principle helps to assure maintenance of appropriate boundaries and expectations with all those participating in the safety intervention process.

Child Safety as Paramount

The mission of CPS and the objective of safety intervention is to assure children are protected. This practice principle reinforces that no other child, caregiver, family, agency or community need, interest or concern surpasses the priority for effectively assessing and managing child safety.

Permanency as an Integral Part of Child Safety

Normally permanency is not thought of as a safety concept. This practice principle emphasizes that the essence of permanency is child safety and assures the safety intervention takes into account and seeks to achieve a child's permanency.

Rights

During safety intervention total regard and alertness is given to acting and deciding respectfully of the rights of children and caregivers. This practice principle acknowledges and supports full acceptance of the nature and effects of safety intervention as governmental and non voluntary *interference* in the lives of individuals and families.

Family System and Family Centered

Safety intervention operates with an appreciation for the sanctity and purpose of the family which includes awareness of the significance that relationship, interdependence and connectedness among family members has in understanding and assessing child safety. This practice principle results in intervention behavior that recognizes strengths; seeks to involve caregivers and family

members in meaningful ways; and reinforces the role of caregivers as the executives of the family system.

Least Intrusive

In safety intervention the idea of least intrusive is profound with respect to the nature and quality of what occurs during intervention and to specific worker communication, behavior and interaction with caregivers and family members. This practice principle acknowledges that safety intervention is a government, non voluntary intervention. This practice principle emphasizes only action and decision making that is necessary to achieve safety intervention objectives.

Diligence

Safety intervention demands behavior and a mental orientation that proficient and effective performance characterized by thoroughness, timeliness, availability and responsiveness. This practice principle reinforces the importance of what is at stake and the value of understanding events, circumstances and behavior.

Individualization

Safety intervention focuses assessment and treatment on caregivers. Each caregiver is considered a unique person with unique experience living in a unique context; this practice principle emphasizes the significance that difference plays in each safety intervention.

Cultural Diversity

Safety intervention is best when it considers caregivers and families within the context of their culture. This practice principle reminds workers that specific behavior, action, choices, emotions, relationships and so forth are best understood within the

Purposeful Expression

Throughout safety intervention continual attempts are made and opportunities supported for caregivers and family members to personally share and express their thoughts, concerns, feelings, frustrations, fears and hopes. This practice principle is essential to successfully involving caregivers and family members in the safety intervention process.

Controlled Emotional Involvement

Safety intervention includes a balance between the worker's personal involvement and his or her objective involvement. This practice principle supports maintenance of professional boundaries in relationships with caregivers and family members while being supportive of demonstrations of genuineness, compassion, caring and self disclosure.

Self Determination

Self determination is the cornerstone of safety intervention. This practice principle supports caregiver involvement in the safety intervention process by reinforcing empowerment, choice making, motivation and readiness.

Acceptance

Safety intervention occurs as a non labeling, non fault finding, objective endeavor. Caregivers regardless of the circumstances are viewed as people worthy of the investment of time and effort to change. This practice principle operates within an understanding that while certain behavior cannot be tolerated the person responsible for the behavior can be valued, understood and accepted as someone capable of better.

Reality Orientation

All activity and decision making happening during safety intervention occurs within a reality oriented perspective. This practice principle supports reality testing with caregivers and routinely describing reality in particular with respect to the reasons for CPS involvement.

Collaboration

In definitive ways during safety planning and case planning involvement, sharing, forming alliances and reinforcing mutuality are crucial to safety intervention. This practice principle operates as a continual priority.



CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES

121 South Martin Luther King Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 (702) 455-5444

EMERGENCY PLACEMENT SAFETY CHECKLIST

UNITY Case #:		
Case Name:		
Date of Placement:		
Child's Name: Gender:	Birth Date:	
emergency Placement Safe of the home, collateral checks worker must conduct initial w relative, and complete the necessary) as required for all	ocument the safety of the relative or fictive kin home of the Checklist, as determined by personal observation, is and statements of the relative. In order to complete checklist through to inspect all parts of the home listed below CANS and Criminal Background checks (with finger members of the household. A copy of this form is to be an ency/ongoing file for their records. This form is to be over or fictive kin home.	inspectior ecklist, the w with the printing in placed in
Caregiver's Name:		
Complete Address:		
Telephone: ()		
Caregiver's Name:		

Telep	ohone: (()
If "yes'	' is che	S/NCIC Background Checks cked, the child(ren) may NOT be placed in this home without Management or unless a waiver is secured.
Yes	No	1. Did either Scope, NCJIS or NCIC History checks reveal any criminal history that could be a barrier to placement? (Reference: NRS 432B.153; NRS 432B.157; 432B.393; 432B.550; 432B.555; NAC 432B.435; NAC 432B.440)
		Management Approval Required: Yes No Management Approval Granted: Yes No
If "yes"	' is che	TY Records Checks cked, the child(ren) may NOT be placed in this home without Supervisor <u>and</u> ager Approval and/or unless a waiver is secured.
Yes	No	2. Did either CANS or UNITY History records checks reveal any Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect history that could be a barrier to placement? (Reference: NRS 432B.153; RS 432B.555; NAC 432B.435; NAC 432B.440)
		Comment:
		Management Approval Required: Yes No Management Approval Granted: Yes No
Note: 0	Checkin	Placement og Yes or No does not necessarily preclude placement in this home but may -up and completion prior to licensing final approval.
Yes	No	3. Are there any observable health/sanitation risks to the child(ren)?
		Comment:
		4. Are there any observable fire or safety hazards (e.g. uncovered electrical outlets, broken windows, debris blocking exits, etc.)? Consider the age of the child.
		Comment or Corrective Action necessary:
		5. Does the family have any firearms or explosive weapons in the home?
		If yes, did caseworker ensure and observe that all firearms and ammunition are secured and inaccessible to children. Yes $\hfill\Box$
		Comment:

	6. Are there any dangerous cleaning supplies, medicines and/or any other dangerous chemicals accessible to children (considering the age of child)?
	Comment:
	7. Are all entrances/exits to and from the home unobstructed?
	8. Are all; basic utilities (water, electricity, heating) in full operating condition?
	9. Will the child(ren) have age-appropriate supervision at all times during which the caregiver will be absent from the home?
	Comment or Plan for Supervision:
	10. The caregiver has sufficient resources to provide basic necessities (shelter, food, clothing, basic health care, for themselves and the child(ren)) to be placed with the caregiver?
	11. Has the caregiver agreed to NOT use corporal punishment to discipline the placed child(ren) and to refrain from use of verbal treats and derogatory language toward the child(ren)?
	12. Has the caregiver agreed to work with the agency to which case management will be assigned on all service and legal matters related to the child(ren) and understand that scheduled and unscheduled visits will commence in the home?
	13. Are there any Sex Offenders registered to this address? If "Yes" is checked, confer with the Supervisor and/or Management prior to placement. Supervisor Approval Required: Yes No Supervisor Approval Granted: Yes No Management Approval Required: Yes Management Approval R
	Management Approval Granted: Yes No No
	14. If a child being placed is less than 1 year old, have we discussed Safe Sleeping Conditions, Co-Sleeping and Back-to-Sleep?
	Brochures provided or mailed? Yes No
	Specify:
	15. Does caregiver have a separate crib or bassinet for all children under the age of 1 year?
	☐ Yes ☐ No <mark>***</mark>
	***If no, then worker <u>can not</u> place child(ren) in the home until there is. If caretaker is unable to purchase the items, then worker <u>MUST</u> submit a request for funds to assist with purchase.

Appendix A

	16. Does the family have a pool?
	If yes, has the caseworker observed a pool fence with lock, functional Door alarm system, or other safety mechanism? Yes No
	Has the caseworker discussed pool safety and pool supervision?? Yes ☐ No ☐
	Brochures provided or mailed? Yes ☐ No ☐
	Specify:
IV. Placing V	Vorker Certification
I hereby certif relative caregi his/her/their ho	by that responses entered on this form are based on my interview of the potential ver(s) with whom the child(ren) may be placed and on my personal observation of ome.
CASE MANAGE	ER
Phone:	
Unit/Team:	
Date:	

OUT-OF-HOME PRE-PLACEMENT SAFETY CHECK

Purpose: To ensure that children are placed in a home that is assessed to be safe and is willing and capable of meeting the child's needs.

Case Name:	Foster Parent Name:	Agency Name:	
UNITY ID #:	Pre Placement Visit:	Placement Date:	\neg
Name of Worker Completing Assessment:	\$	Supervisor:	

When to Complete the Safety Check: Prior to the placement of a child into an out-of-home placement.

SECTION 1: IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

CHILD #	NAME	DOB/AGE/GEN	IDER Primary languag spoken	ge CASE MANAGER
C1				
C2				
C3				
C4				
C5 Directions Family	s: List all household members,	(including other children plac	ced in the home) birthdat	es/relationship in the
Directions	s: List all household members,	(including other children place) DOB/AGE	ced in the home) birthdat Primary language spoken	es/relationship in the RELATIONSHIP
Directions			Primary language	
Directions			Primary language	

Yes□	No□	1.	The foster home has a valid foster care license or certification.
Yes□	No□	2.	The home is free of any voluntary/involuntary holds.
Yes□	No□	3.	The license or certification capacity is adequate for the placement of the identified children. $ \\$
Yes□	No□	4.	There are no currently pending or indicated child abuse/neglect allegations on a person (child or adult) who is residing in or frequenting the home.
Yes□	No□	5.	There are no criminal convictions, pending charges or an active parole or probation status for any household member.
Yes□	No□	6.	The placement of the new child would not be contrary to the protection plan for another child already residing in the home.
Yes□	No□	7.	There is no other child placed in the foster home that poses a threat of harm to the child?
Yes□	No□	8. 7	he foster home speaks the same primary language as the child (ren) being placed.

Page 1 of 7Page 1 of 7

Pre-Placement Safety Check

Note: If any of the findings from this section are checked "No", please consult with supervisor before proceeding with section 3. A supervisory waiver authorization must be obtained to proceed with the placement. (Document in the narrative part of Section 5.) **If supervisor denies waiver, proceed to page Section 5 and indicate that the placement is not suitable.**

Directions: Explain all "No"	responses. If applicable, e	explain the inability	to answer any question in Sec	ction 2.

SECTION 3: CHILD VULNERABILITIES

Part A: Vulnerability Indicators

Directions: Identify indicators of heightened vulnerability by checking the box that applies for all children to be placed as identified in Section 1.

	Signs of Increased Vulnerability	C 1	C 2	С3	C 4	C 5
1.	Born with positive toxicology for drugs?					
2.	Born with FES/FAE					
3.	Born HIV positive?					
4.	Under six years of age?					
5.	Identified as a scapegoat by others?					
6.	Exhibits provocative behaviors or temperament?					
7.	Recently sustained a serious injury, has an illness or health problem requiring immediate medical attention or displays emotional trauma symptoms?					
8.	Fears severe retribution?					
9.	Disabilities or special needs?					
10.	Prior victimization?					
11.	Behavior or verbal threats pose a threat of serious harm to self or others?					
12.	Current behavioral health care needs?					
13.	Current medical health care needs?					
14.	Current Educational needs?					
15.	Other (specify):					

Directions: Explain all "checked" responses. If applicable, explain the inability to answer any question in Part A.						

Deleted: ¶
¶
¶
¶
¶
¶
¶

Part B: Child Needs

	child's <u>immediate</u> phy acement request and				
	SECT	ION 4: PROTECT	TIVE CAPACITIE	S	
Part A: Caregivers' Pi	rotective Capacities				
Directions: For all child	dren being placed in th	ne household, identif	y protective capaciti	es that appear to be	present:
Yes □ No □	1. Caregiver rec	cognizes child's curre	ntly known vulnerat	oilities and needs?	
Yes □ No □	Caregiver has	s the necessary prot	ective capacities to o	care for the child?	
Yes □ No □				ies to address the chi viders accessing the	
Yes □ No □	4. Caregiver rec	ognizes age appropi	riate supervision req	uirements?	
Yes □ No □		eds of children curre eds of the child's pla		d, caregiver appears	capable of meeting
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
Caregiver's Protective Capacity #			i i		
Directions: If any of the supervisory exception a capacities are assured.					

Page 3 of 7Page 3 of 7

Pre-Placement Safety Check

Part B: Household Conditions

		fy indicators that the physical environment, and the co ild and support the child's safety:	ontents of t	the foster o	r relative/ki	nship home	e, meet	
Yes□	No□	1. Home is reported to be free of observable health/	sanitation r	risks to the	child?			
Yes□	Yes□ No□ 2. Home is reported to be free of observable safety hazards (uncovered electrical outlets, broken windows etc.)?							
Yes□ No□ 3. Weapons and ammunition are reported to be locked up and are inaccessible to children?								
Yes□	No□	4. Home has an accessible phone or other reliable m	neans of co	ntact?				
Yes□	No□	5. Home is reported as having appropriate sleeping a	arrangeme	nts?				
Yes□	No□	6. Household is reported to have sufficient resources shelter, healthcare)	s to provide	the basic r	necessities (food, cloth	ing,	
Yes□	No□	7. Household can meet the transportation needs of transportation) $ \\$	children (i.	e. car size,	car seats, f	amiliar with	public	
		SECTION 5: CONCLU	JSION					Deleted: ¶
Based on i	nforma	ation identified and described in Sections 2-4, p	lease che	ck all that	apply:			Deleted: ¶ ¶
			C 1	ck all that	apply:	C 4	C 5	1
1. This p	olaceme	nt <u>is</u> suitable, at this time.			1515 153	C 4	C 5	1 1 1
 This p This p addit 	olaceme olaceme tional su	nt <u>is</u> suitable, at this time. nt <u>is</u> suitable, with the recommendation of upports. (Notify Permanency Worker and Provider)*			1515 153	C 4	C 5	1 1
 This p This p addit 	olaceme olaceme tional su	nt <u>is</u> suitable, at this time. nt <u>is</u> suitable, with the recommendation of			1515 153	C 4	C 5	1 1
This p addit This p addit This p	placeme placeme tional su placeme	nt <u>is</u> suitable, at this time. nt <u>is</u> suitable, with the recommendation of upports. (Notify Permanency Worker and Provider)*	C 1	C 2	C 3			1 1
1. This paddit 2. This paddit 3. This paddit 4. Note: In Plan should Directions necessary a	placeme placeme tional st placeme the eve be deve Includ nd inclu that st ns.	Int is suitable, at this time. Int is suitable, with the recommendation of upports. (Notify Permanency Worker and Provider)* Int is not suitable. Int that the Permanency Worker and Provider agree welloped in a CFT. In the key considerations for the placement suitability conducted the most important placement prerequisites, proteupports your decision. If applicable, explain licensing of the placement prerequisites appoints to the placement prerequisites appoints your decision.	C 1 with the rec	C 2	C 3 supports, a hildren and r child need	a Placemen	t Support	1 1
1. This paddit 2. This paddit 3. This paddit 3. This paddit * Note: In Plan should Directions necessary a Sections 2-5 authorizatio	placeme placeme tional st placeme the eve be deve Includ nd inclu that st ns.	Int is suitable, at this time. Int is suitable, with the recommendation of upports. (Notify Permanency Worker and Provider)* Int is not suitable. Int that the Permanency Worker and Provider agree welloped in a CFT. In the key considerations for the placement suitability conducted the most important placement prerequisites, proteupports your decision. If applicable, explain licensing of the placement prerequisites appoints to the placement prerequisites appoints your decision.	C 1 with the rec	C 2	C 3 supports, a hildren and r child need	a Placemen caregivers s identified xception	t Support	1 1

SECTION 6: PLACEMENT SUPPORT PLAN

Placement Support Plan Developed? Yes□	No□ (attach if applicable)
rules, regulations and agreements. The foster pare	in this Pre-Placement Safety Check. I/We agree to adhere to al ent has been instructed to notify his/her Caseworker and/or old, or if anything changes with the children placed in this foste eing.
Placement Specialist	Date
Supervisor Signature: if applicable.	Date
Foster Parent	Date

Supervisory Recommendations:

PLACEMENT SUPPORT PLAN

IILD #	NAME	DOB/AGE/GENDE	R CASE MANAGER
C1			
C2			
C3			
C4			
C5			
ections: List a	I household members, (including ot	ther children placed in the hom	e) birthdates/relationship in the fami
	NAME	DOB/AGE	RELATIONSHIP
		DODINGE	TED (170)(S)(2)
		e	
Ve have discus reements.	sed the information summarized	d in this Plan. I/We agree to	adhere to all rules, regulations a
acement Specia	ilist	Date	_
pervisor Signat	rure: if applicable.	 Date	<u> </u>
ster Parent			



CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES

Institutional Investigation Safety Check

Purpose: To evaluate the safety of children placed in out-of-home care and determine if safety threats are present.

Case Name: XXXXXXXX	XXX	Agency Name:			
UNITY ID # XXXXXX	Report Date: XXXXXX	Re	eport #XXXXXXXXX Assessment Date:		
Worker Completing Assessment: XXXXXXXXX			Supervisor Name: XX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	

When to Complete the Tool: For child protection investigation and child welfare intake purposes, the initial safety assessment & decision must be conducted within 24 hours after the investigator first observes the alleged child victim(s) (ACV) upon receipt of a maltreatment report

SECTION 1: IDENTIFYNG INFORMATION

Directions: List each child in the family and his/her date of birth. Indicate which child(ren) is/are the ACV(s). List parent(s) or caretaker(s) and other involved adult(s) and their relationship to the child(ren). Identify the method(s) of contact(s) made with all members of the household. Add an additional page if needed.

CHILDREN PLACED IN CAREGIVER HOME					
ACV	CHILD#	NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	CONTACT METHOD	
	C1	xxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXX	Pick One	
	C2	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXX	Pick One	
	C3	XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	Pick One	
	C4	xxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXXX	Pick One	
	C5	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	Pick One	
	C6	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	Pick One	

	CHILDREN IN CAREGIVER FAMILY						
ACV	CHILD#	NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	CONTACT METHOD			
	C7			Pick One			
	C8			Pick One			
	C9			Pick One			
	C10			Pick One			
	C11			Pick One			
	C12			Pick One			

IN THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY	IVOLVED ADULTS	
NAME	RELATIONSHIP TO CHILD(REN)	CONTACT METHOD
		Pick One

Page 1 of 7 Institutional Safety Check

Pick One Appendix A

SECTION 2: SAFETY ASSESSMENT

PART A: Signs of Present Danger

Directions: The following list represents signs of present danger. These signs include behaviors or conditions that may be associated with a child(ren) being in present danger. Your response should be made based on information available at the time this Safety Assessment is completed. A "Yes" response would indicate there is some credible information to support the presence of the particular sign of present danger and a "No" response would indicate there is a lack of credible information at this time.

1) Yes	The child has suffered injuries appearing to be the result of an apparent act or failure to act by the relative caregiver or foster parent.
2) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The relative caregiver or foster parent is unable or unwilling to protect the child from harm or threat of harm and failing to do so may result in the child being in danger of harm.
3) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The behavior of any member of the household or other person having access to the child is violent and this suggests that the child may be is in danger of harm.
4) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The relative caregiver or foster parent is unable to control the child's behavior or condition and failing to do so may result in the child being in danger of harm.
5) Yes 🗌 No 🗍	The relative caregiver or foster parent is unwilling or unable to meet the immediate needs of the child for supervision, food, clothing, shelter and failing to do so may result in the child being in danger of harm.
6) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The child has a serious medical condition and the relative caregiver or foster parent is unable or unwilling to meet the child's health care needs, and failing to do so may result in the child being in danger of harm.
7) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The relative caregiver, foster parent or other person having access to the child has made a credible threat or expresses credible belief that caregiver actions may result in danger of harm to a child.
8) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Acts of domestic violence (e.g. batterer violence, intimidation, etc) suggest a child may be in danger of harm.
9) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child sexual abuse/sexual exploitation is suspected and circumstances suggest that child may be in danger of harm.
10) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child's behavior or verbal threats poses a threat of harm to self or others.
11) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child is exposed to dangerous criminal activities in the household. (e.g. drug manufacture and distribution, trafficking or sale of illegal drugs or weapons, prostitution) and the child may be in danger of harm due to the exposure to these activities.
12) Yes ☐ No ☐	Other (specify):
PART B: Signs of	Safety Threats
1) Yes 🗌 No 🗍	The relative caregiver or foster parent has a severe medical or mental health condition that poses a threat of harm to the child.
2) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The relative caregiver or foster parent has a substance abuse issue that poses a threat of harm to the child.
3) Yes 🗌 No 🗍	The relative caregiver or foster parent allows others to supervise or be in the presence of the child and this creates a threat of harm to the child.

Page 2 of 7

Appendix A

4) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	The relative caregiver's or foster parent's home is hazardous, unsanitary and residing in these conditions creates a threat of harm to the child.							
5) Yes	5) Yes No No Another person or child living in the relative or foster home poses a threat of harm to the child.							
	Signs of Present Danger							
Directions: If any signs	of present danger or			the applicable numb	er(s) from the previous			
lists and then briefly des	cribe the specific indivanger or safety threats	iduals, behaviors, co are checked "No":	onditions and circum Summarize the sou	stances associated varce and nature of the	vith that particular item.			
available that leads you t	o assess that no signs Pick One				Biok One			
Safety Threat #	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One			
oursey Timede ii								
PART C: Child V	ulnerability							
Directions: Identify by to avoid, negate or marrative summary follows:	odify the impact of	harm or which ma						
1) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) identifie	d as scapegoat?						
2) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) exhibits	provocative beha	viors or temperam	ent?				
3) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) has sumedical attention				requiring immediate			
4) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) fears se	evere retribution?						
5) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) not visil	ole in the commun	ity?					
6) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) less tha	in 5 years of age?						
7) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) has disa	abilities or special	needs?					
8) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child(ren) prior vio	timization?						
9) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Child's behavior o	r verbal threats po	ses a threat of ha	m to self or others?	?			
10) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Other (specify):							
		Child Vuln	erability					
Child Vulnerability Directions: If any child vulnerability indicators are checked "Yes", note the applicable numbers from the previous list and then briefly describe the specific individuals, behaviors, conditions and circumstances associated with that particular item. If all child vulnerability indicators are checked "No", summarize the source and nature of the information you have available that leads you to assess that the child is not vulnerable.								
-	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One	Pick One			
Safety Threat #								

PART D: Protective Capacity Concerns

Directions: Identify by checking "Yes" or "No" to any protective capacity concerns that may increase the seriousness or immediacy of harm to the child. Provide a narrative for any "Yes" responses.

Caregiver:

Page 3 of 7

1)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver's behavior seems out of control?	Appendix A
2)	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Household member or other person having access to the child describes or child in extremely negative terms and/or has extremely unrealistic expectation	
3)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver may have intended to inflict pain or injury on the child?	
4)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	A paramour or household relative is the alleged offender?	
5)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver's explanations may be inconsistent with the child's injuries or circum	stances?
6)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver overtly rejects any intervention or is evasive or uncooperative?	
7)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver appears unconcerned about the child's safety?	
8)	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Caregiver has previously had children in agency custody and out-of-home ca abuse or neglect and/or has parental rights terminated?	are as a result of
9)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Prior reports involving confirmed maltreatment for any caregiver in the househ	old?
10) Yes \square No \square	Positive criminal background check involving crimes against children and/or vi	olent acts?
11) Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Other (identify):	
pla	OTE: If you marked y cement. Bring results on the control of the c	es to #10, check to see if there has been a waiver or court order and how long the of your findings immediately to the attention of CPS Assistant Manager or Manager.)	child has been in
	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Allegations reported while child was in placement.	
2)	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Alleged death of a child and any other children in the household.	
3)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Prior reports involving confirmed maltreatment for any child in the household.	
4)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Other (identify):	
Pr	otective Capacity Na	arrative Summary:	
PA	ART E: Signs of	Sufficient Protective Capacities	
Dir Pro	ections: Identify by chovide a narrative summa	ecking "Yes" or "No" the protective capacities that may help protect any child from imme ary following your assessment responses.	ediate harm.
1)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Appropriate supports are immediately available (family, neighbors, community)?
2)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver is able to prevent alleged offender's access to child(ren), if necessar	ry?
3)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Non-offending caregiver appears motivated to protect?	
4)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Ability and willingness to meet children's needs for food, shelter and clothing?	
5)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Caregiver demonstrates adequate internal controls to provide child protection?	?
6)	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Other (specify):	
Pro	tective Capacities	Summary:	

PART F: Assessment Summary

Dir res	ections: ponse by	Based on your assessment of the three key decision support variables in Section 2, Parts B-E,, summarize your overall checking "Yes" or "No" alongside the respective heading.
1)	Yes [No Signs of Present Danger or Safety Threats (Yes answers in section B)
2)	Yes 🗌	No Insufficient Protective Capacities (Yes answers in sections A, D, and E)
3)	Yes [No ☐ Elevated Child Vulnerability (Yes answers in section C)
		SECTION 3: SAFETY DECISIONS
	ections cision.	: Identify your safety decisions by recording the child number of each assessed child alongside the relevant
1)	<u> </u>	No signs of present danger or safety threats identified; child is not likely to be in danger of harm.
2)	ŀ	One or more signs of present danger or safety threats identified; not expected to place the child in danger of narm because of sufficient protective capacities or lack of child vulnerability offset the threat of danger to the child.
3)	ķ	One or more signs of present danger or safety threats identified; child's vulnerability and/or insufficient protective capacities don't offset the danger of harm; controlling safety interventions have been initiated and passed on these safety interventions, the child will remain in the home at this time.
4)	F	One or more signs of present danger or safety threats identified; child's vulnerability and/or insufficient protective capacities don't offset the danger of harm; removal to foster care or an alternative placement (or continued placement) is the only controlling safety intervention possible.
5)		One or more signs of present danger or safety threats identified; caregiver(s) has refused access to the child or fled, or child's whereabouts are unknown; appropriate legal/investigative actions are being taken.
Sa	fety D	ecision Summary:
		RATIONALE FOR SAFETY DECISION
su	pervisor,	Explain the specific considerations supporting your safety decision for each household child. Include staffing with the medical professionals, law enforcement, court and Agency D.A. input, where applicable. If placement is necessary, ich children were placed. Also, identify all children remaining in the home and explain why they can safely remain in the is time:
250, 28	ck One Placed	
	ck One	
F	Placed	
1000	ck One Placed	
151.5	ck One Placed	
	ck One Placed	
		SECTION 4: SIGNATURES/DATES
		<u> </u>

Page 5 of 7 Institutional Safety Check

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Date	Appendix A
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Date	

200	10.54	
Supervisory	Recomm	endations:

IN-HOME PLACEMENT SAFETY CHECK

Purpose: To evaluate the safety of children in the home throughout the life of the case.

Case Na	ime:		Initial Safety Assessment Date:			
UNITY (Case#:		Current Assessment Date:			
Name o	f Worker	Completing Assessment:	Name of In Home S	Supervisor:		
When	to comp	lete the Assessment: The completion	of this assessment is as	sociated with the followin	g requirements:	
	Check t	he appropriate box:				
		Upon Completion and Review of NCFAS (approximately 3 weeks from case assignments as a Case Plan Review Other (ie. At Supervisor's Request)		ts		
		SECTION 1: IDE	NTIFYING INFORM	ATION		
Direct	ions: List	family members under agency involvement	ent			
ACV	CHILD #	NAME		DOB/AGE/GENDER	FAMILY ROLE	
	C1			ALCOHOL: 030 F-444-2005-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-		
	C2					
	C3					
	C4					
	C5					
	C6					
Direct	ions: List	all other household members. NAME	DOB/AGE/GENDER	RELATIONSHIP CHILI		
Safety	Threats/	Risk Factors that warranted Agency i	involvement:			

SECTION 2: SAFETY THREATS & HIGH RISK

Directions:	Refer to a	ana rev	new prior Safety Check
Yes \(\backsquare\) No \(\backsquare\) factors occu			cial safety assessment or last safety check, have any safety threats or high risk steed to the reason the family came under Agency supervision?
Directions:	: Describe th	ne safet	ry threats or high risk factors that have occurred:
Yes No the family ca			er safety threats or high risk factors occurred that are NOT related to the reason sy supervision?
Directions:	Describe th	ne safet	y threats or high risk factors that have occurred:
			SECTION 3: PROTECTIVE CAPACITIES
Part A: Prin	nary Care	giver'	s Protective Capacities
Directions: P	lease check	the ap	propriate box:
Yes □	No □	1.	Caregivers have not caused any suspicious injuries or injuries as a result of their failure to act?
Yes □	No □	2.	Caregivers are able and willing to protect the child from harm or threat of harm?
Yes □	No □	3.	Caregivers' health is adequate to meet the safety needs of the child?
Yes □	No □	4.	Caregivers are able to control the child's behavior or conditions to prevent harm to the child?
Yes □	No □	5.	Caregivers are willing and able to meet the basic needs of the child (food, clothing, shelter)?
Yes □	No □	6.	Caregivers are able and willing to meet the child's special health care needs, including any existing serious medical condition and is willing to do so?
Yes □	No □	7.	Caregivers do not have a current substance abuse issue that poses a threat of serious harm to child?

Yes	□ No		8.	Caregivers ensure that others who supervise or are in the presence of the child create no risk of harm to the child?
Yes	□ No		9.	Caregivers have positive perceptions and realistic expectations of the child?
Yes	□ No		10.	Caregivers do not allow persons who pose a threat of harm to the child to frequent the home?
Yes	□ No		11.	Caregivers are able and willing to prevent access to the child by a birth parent that poses a threat of serious harm to the child?
Yes 🗆			13.	Caregivers recognize child's vulnerabilities and needs? Caregivers have social supports and is not experiencing high levels of stress ociated with the care of the child?
Yes 🗆] No	П	14.	. Caregivers use developmentally appropriate methods of discipline?
Yes 🗆] No		eco	 Caregivers are able to manage stressful conditions, ie. Changes in employment, nomic status, relationship and/or parent-child conflict. Other (specify):
Yes 🗆] No			
under	Agency in	volveme	nt:	
Part B: In Direction assure the	s: Identify	whethe		physical environment and the contents of the home meet the needs of the child and helps
Yes □	No □	1. Hon	ne is	free of observable health/sanitation risks to the child?
Yes □	No □	2. Hon	ne is	free of observable safety hazards (uncovered electrical outlets, broken windows etc.?
Yes □	No □	3. Wea	apon	s and ammunition are locked up and are inaccessible to children (if applicable)?
Yes □	No □	4. Hon	ne ha	as an accessible phone or other reliable means of communication?
Yes □	No □	5. Hon	ne ha	as appropriate sleeping arrangements?
Yes □	No □		ne ha Ithca	as sufficient resources to provide the basic necessities (food, clothing, shelter, re)?
Directi	ons: Expl	ain all N	O res	sponses and describe how household conditions will be immediately addressed.

SECTION 4: CHILD VULNERABILITY/RESILIENCY INDICATORS

Directions: For each child in this section, identify the child vulnerability/resiliency indicators that are present at this time.

C 1	C 2	С 3	C 4	C 5
Yes 🗌	Yes 🔲	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
_				
C 1	C 2	C 3	C 4	C 5
Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
mation and/completed.	or changes	associated	with child	
	No	No	No	No

SECTION 5: VISITATION

(Visitation with offending parent who is out of the home and is part of the safety plan)

Directions: If the Caregiver is responsible for visitation of another Caregiver who is no longer residing in the home, identify if the following is present:

Yes No N/A Caregiver or o (If "No", expla	ther Agency-approved a in)	dult assures	s the child's	safety durir	ng visitation	1?
Directions : Briefly describe the visitation pla	n.					
	SECTION 6: CONC	LUSION				
Based on identified information described	in Sections 2-5:				·	
Children can remain in the home safely.		Yes \(\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	C 3 Yes No	Yes \(\bigcup \)	Yes \(\bigcup \)
☐ Voluntary In-Home service program v	vill continue.					
☐ Voluntary In-Home service program v	vill continue with the	addition of	a Safety P	Plan.		
☐ Court-involved In-Home service progr	ram will continue.					
☐ Court-involved In-Home service progr	am will continue with	the additi	on of a Saf	ety Plan.		
☐ In-Home service program will be disc ☐ Pre-removal CFT held ☐ Immediate removal rec		vithin 48 ho	ours			
Directions: Include key considerations for the most important placement prerequisites					essary and i	nclude
Directions: If a change in the Formal Case	Plan or a Safety Plan is	needed, sp	ecifically des	scribe the c	hange.	

Parents' Agreement: I/We have discussed the information summarized in this In-Home Placement Safety Check. I/We will notify if there are any changes in the household, or if there are any changes with our children that affect their safety. Caregiver Signature: Date Worker Signature: Date

Date

Supervisor Signature:

Supervisory Recommendations:	
*	

Page 7 of 7 In-Home Safety Check

OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT SAFETY CHECK

Purpose: To evaluate the safety of children in foster, relative or fictive kin placements and the caregivers' ongoing ability to meet the needs of the children placed in the home.

Case Name:			nent Date:	Agency Name:
(UNITY)#:		Current Assessment Date:		Caregiver:
Name of Wo	orker Completing Assessment:		Supervisor:	
When to co	omplete the Safety Check: The comp ts:	oletion o	f this safety check is asso	ociated with the following
Ch	eck the appropriate box:			
]]]	without limitation, a birth, marriag Other (ie. At Supervisor's Request there is an indication that the safe	ange occ ge, death) Any tir ety of the	curs that affects the out- n, or major illness ne, as determined by the e child(ren) may be in jec	ION
		•	Common and the common	
CHILD #	NAMI			DOB/AGE/GENDER
C2				
С3				
C4				
C5				
C6				
Directions	: List all household members, (including	other ch	oldren placed in the hom	e). RELATIONSHIP TO PLACED CHILD

SECTION 2: SAFETY THREATS

Directions : Refer to and review the prior Safety Check					
Yes \square No \square Have any changes in the child's and/or placement status occurred since the last Safety Check or the most recently completed Out of Home Safety Check?					
Directions:	Describe the	e child	or placement status changes that have occurred:		
			SECTION 3: PROTECTIVE CAPACITIES		
Part A: Care					
Directions: pl	ease check	the app	propriate box:		
Yes □	No □	1.	Child has not suffered suspicious injuries or injuries that are the result of an act or failure to act by the caregiver(s)?		
Yes □	No □	2.	Caregiver is able and willing to protect the child from harm or threat of harm?		
Yes □	No □	3.	Caregiver's' health is adequate to meet the safety needs of the child?		
Yes □	No □	4.	Caregiver is able to control the child's behavior or conditions to prevent harm to the \mbox{child} ?		
Yes □	No □	5.	Caregiver is willing and able to meet the basic needs of the child (food, clothing, shelter and healthcare needs.		
Yes □	No □	6.	Caregiver is able and willing to meet the child's special health care needs, including any existing serious medical condition?		
Yes □	No □	7.	Caregiver does not have a current substance abuse issue that poses a threat of harm to the child?		
Yes □	No □	8.	Caregiver ensures that others who supervise or are in the presence of the child create no risk of harm to the child?		
Yes □	No □	9.	No other child placed in the foster home poses a threat of harm to the child?		
Yes □	No □	10.	Caregiver has positive perceptions and realistic expectations of the child?		
Yes □	No □	11.	No persons who pose a threat of harm to the child frequent the home?		
Yes □	No □	12.	There are no reports of abuse or neglect against the caregiver? (Check 'P' Drive folder titled "DFS Licensing/Licensing Compliance" to obtain this information).		
Yes □	No □	13.	Caregiver is able and willing to prevent access to the child by a birth parent that poses a threat of harm to the child?		
Yes □	No □	14.	Caregiver does not have a current mental health issue that poses a threat of harm to the child?		
Yes □	No □	15.	There is no interpersonal violence in the home that poses a threat of harm to the child.		

Directions: Describe any changes that have occurred in the family's situation IN THIS SECTION since the last safety check If applicable, describe the changes in detail.
Part B: Household Conditions
Directions: Identify whether the physical environment and the contents of the caregiver home meet the needs of the child and assure the child's safety.
Yes \square No \square 1. Home is free of observable health/sanitation risks to the child?
Yes No 2. Home is free of observable safety hazards (uncovered electrical outlets, broken windows etc.?
Yes \square No \square 3. Weapons and ammunition are locked up and are inaccessible to children (if applicable)?
Yes \square No \square 4. Home has an accessible phone or other reliable means of communication?
Yes \square No \square 5. Home has appropriate sleeping arrangements?
Yes \square No \square 6. Home has sufficient resources to provide the basic necessities (food, clothing, shelter, healthcare)
Yes \square No \square 7. Family has same physical address.
Directions: If applicable, describe in detail any changes that have occurred in the family's home situation since the last safety check. For licensed foster homes, any "NO" response will require immediate notification to the licensing worker, licensing supervisor, and treatment agency, if applicable.
Directions: For unlicensed caregivers, explain all "NO" responses and describe how household conditions will be
immediately addressed.

SECTION 4: WELL-BEING INDICATORS

Directions: If any indicators are <u>not</u> present, this may signify risk to the child or the placement, and may require a Placement Preservation referral or alternative placement. **For Licensed foster homes, a**ny "NO" response will require a copy of this document to be sent to Placement Team and Treatment Agency, if applicable.

Part A: Caregiver Indicate	ors
----------------------------	-----

Yes No Yes No No	 Caregiver recognizes child's vulnerabilities and needs? Caregiver has social supports (extended family, friends, church, etc.)
Yes No No	3. Caregiver is not experiencing high levels of stress associated with the care of the child?4. Caregiver uses non-physical methods of discipline?
Yes No No	5. Caregiver uses non-physical methods of discipline? 5. Caregiver's family is stable and not experiencing high levels of conflict?
Yes No	6. Stable marital/relationship status? N/A
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	7. Caregiver is not under stress due to changes in employment conditions or economic status?
Yes No No	8. Caregiver is receiving/has received adequate training to prepare them for the child placed in the home
	(severe behaviors, medical needs, etc.)? N/A
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	9. Caregiver has received requested on-going support or training?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	10. Caregiver expresses satisfaction with the agency?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	11. Caregiver and child do not appear to be in conflict?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	12. Caregiver has not experienced any disrupted placements in the home?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	13. Caregiver has had opportunity for respite between placements.
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	14. Caregiver has cared for the appropriate number of children in accordance with their foster home license.
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	15. Other people are <u>not</u> moving in and out or visiting the foster home (extended family members, friends)
	and affecting the quality of the child's care?
Yes 🗌 No 🗌	16. Other (specify):

Part B: Child Vulnerability Indicators

Are there any signs or symptoms of the following?

	C 1	C 2	С3	C 4	C 5
1. Provocative or trigger type behaviors (physically aggressive, sexual	Yes 🗌				
acting out, bed wetting, disobedience, feeding issues)?	No 🗌				
2. Over-mature behaviors (parentified)?	Yes 🗌				
	No 🗌				
3. Suicidal thoughts or behaviors?	Yes 🗌				
	No 🗌				
4. Serious mental health issues?	Yes 🗌				
	No 🗌				
5. Substance use issues?	Yes 🗌				
	No 🗌				
6. Significant physical health issues?	Yes 🗌				
40-7	No 🗌				
7. Running-away, pre-delinquent or criminal behaviors?	Yes 🗌				
	No 🗌				
8. Repeated visits to the emergency room or a physician for reasons	Yes 🗌				
unrelated to medical conditions that existed prior to placement?	No 🗌				

Directions: If applicable, describe in detail any changes that h safety check. "Yes" responses should prompt a discussion and/or review of current treatment plan for the child.	

Part C: Child Resiliency Indicators Are any of the following child strengths prese

Are any or the following child strengths present?					
	C 1	C 2	С3	C 4	C 5
Satisfactory relationships with other children in home	Yes 🗌 No	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
2. Acceptable school behaviors	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌
3. Acceptable academic achievement	Yes 🗌	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes No	Yes No	Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4. Expressed comfort with caregivers or others in the home?	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌
5. Developmentally appropriate behaviors	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
Directions: If applicable, describe in detail any changes that have conclusions about references. "No" responses should prompt a discussion about references.	ccurred in th	e child's st	renaths since	ce the last s	rafety.
review of current treatment plan for the child.					
SECTION 5: Visita	ition				
Directions: If the Caregiver is responsible for visitation, identify if the	ollowing are	present:			
	C1	C 2	С3	C 4	C 5
Caregiver assures the child's safety during visitation?	Yes 🗌 No 🔲				
2. Caregiver follows the rules and regulations established for child visitation?	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes No	Yes 🗌 No 🔲	Yes 🗌 No 🔲
3. Caregiver is appropriately and safely transporting children to and rom visits? (car seats utilized as required, seatbelts utilized as equired, etc)	Yes 🗌 No 🔲				
Directions: If applicable provide a detailed evaluation of shares the					
Directions: If applicable, provide a detailed explanation of changes th	at nave occu	rred since t	the last safe	ty check.	

SECTION 6: CONCLUSION

Based on information identified and described in Sections 2-5, please check all that apply:

	C 1	C 2	C 3	C 4	C 5
1. Home Promotes Safety					
2. Placement Preservation Referral					
(CFT required to develop Placement Support Plan)					
3. Notification to Licensing					
(CFT required to develop Placement Support Plan)					
4. CPS Hotline Referral					
(CFT required to develop Placement Support Plan)					
5. Removal/Alternate Placement (CFT required)					
The state of the s					

Directions: Include key considerations for the placement suitability conclusion. Differentiate children and care when necessary and include the most important indicators identified in Sections 2-5 that support your decision Placement Support Plan if numbers 2, 3 or 4 above are checked for any child.	

Foster Parents' Agreement: I/We have discussed the information summarized in this Placement Safety Check. I/We agree to follow all rules and regulations. I/We will notify ________ if there are any changes in the household, or if anything changes with the children placed in out-of-home care that affect the child's safety and well-being. This information may be shared with licensing and placement staff to ensure ongoing safety and well-being of our children. Caregiver Signature: Date Caregiver Signature: Date

Date

Date

Date

Agency Worker Signature (if applicable):

Worker Signature:

Supervisor Signature:

Supervisory Recommendations:		
(40)		
1		

PLACEMENT SUPPORT PLAN

	ILACE	TIERT SOLL SIXL LEAR	
Directions: List childr	en to be placed		
CHILD #	© 10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10	DOD/ACE/CEND	ED CASE MANAGED
C1	NAME	DOB/AGE/GEND	ER CASE MANAGER
C2			
C3			
C4			
C5			
•	ousehold members, (including	other children placed in the hor	ne) birthdates/relationship in the famil
	IAME	DOB/AGE	RELATIONSHIP
eds for placement)			
I/We have discussed agreements.	the information summariz	ed in this Plan. I/We agree t	to adhere to all rules, regulations a
Placement Specialist	:	Date	
Supervisor Signature	e (if applicable):	Date	
oster Parent:		Date	
reatment Agency W	Vorker (if applicable):		

Page 9 of 9

PLACEMENT SUPPORT PLAN

Directions: L						
CHILD #	N/A	AME		DOB/AGE/GENDER	C	ASE MANAGER
C1						
C2						
C3						
C4						
C5						
Directions: L family.	ist all household memb	ers, (including ot	ther children	placed in the home	e) birthdates	/relationship in the
	NAME		DC	B/AGE	RELATION	ISHIP
k, timeframes by	Fically describe the Plan which tasks should lead will protect the child to the chi	be completed a	and criteria	by which the plar	n will be re	evaluated. The plan
	scussed the informati				1020 10	
	nd agreements.	ion summarize	d in this Pla	n. I/We agree to	adhere to	all rules,
Placement Sp	nd agreements.	ion summarized	d in this Pla	n. I/We agree to Date	adhere to	all rules,
	nd agreements.		d in this Pla		adhere to	all rules,

Page 1 of 1 Service Provision Plan

VISITATION SAFETY CHECK

Purpose: To assist caseworkers in developing appropriate visitation plans for parents. (This tool may be used for up to three monthly case staffing meetings, then a new form is required)

Case Name:	Unity Case	e #:	Initial Assessment Date:
Name of Worker Completing Assessment:		Supervisor:	

Please note:

Research has found that one of the greatest predictors of successful reunification is the quality and frequency of contacts between the child in care and the parents/family of origin. Planning for frequent interaction between the child, parents and siblings is important for the majority of children in out-of home care, regardless of their permanency goals. The number of visits increases the likelihood of timely permanency. The caseworker shall not limit visitation as a sanction for the parent's lack of compliance with court orders or as a method to encourage a child to improve his/her behaviors.

When to complete the Visitation Safety Check: The completion of this safety check is associated with the following milestones:

CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX	DATE
When a child is removed from his/her parents at the 48-hour CFT	
Prior to making changes to the visitation arrangement (Examples: Supervised to Unsupervised; Discontinue Visitation; Unsupervised to Supervised)	
Every month at case staffing meetings between supervisor and caseworker	
Other:	

SECTION 1: IDENTIFYNG INFORMATION

CHILDREN IN CARE					
CHILD #	NAME OF CHILDREN	AGE	PLACEMENT TYPE		
C1					
C2					
С3					
C4					
C5					

AND ACTIVE CAREGIVERS	
RELATIONSHIP TO CHILD(REN)	CONTACT INFORMATION
	DAMAGERIAN SANCES

SECTION 2: SIGNS OF SAFETY THREAT

Directions: The following list represents signs of possible safety threat during visitation; behaviors or conditions that may be associated with a child(ren) being in "immediate danger of harm." Your response should be made based on information available at the time this Visitation Safety Assessment is completed. A "Yes" response would indicate there is some credible information to support the presence of the particular sign of present danger. A "No" response would indicate there is a lack of credible information at this time.

	No 🗀	dependably providing adequate supervision and care during visits.
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	The visiting caregiver(s) mental illness or developmental disability renders the
163 🗀	I NO L	caregiver incapable of dependably providing adequate supervision and care during visits.
Yes 🗌	No 🗆	3. The visiting caregiver(s) may flee the jurisdiction with the child during visitation.
Yes 🗌	No 🗆	4. The visiting caregiver(s) or other household member has sexually abused the child
165 🗀	140 🗀	and this caregiver's sexual impulses towards children remain unresolved.
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	5. The visiting caregiver(s) has demonstrated violent responses to a child's behavior and
		these violent tendencies have not been resolved.
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	6. The visiting caregiver(s) has knowingly exposed a child to another who has seriously
		physically, emotionally or sexually abused the child and the caregiver continues to permit
		access to the child by the person(s) a relationship with this individual(s).
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	7. The visiting caregiver(s) appears unwilling or unable to provide the child with basic
	32-32	needs for supervision, food and/or shelter during visits.
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	8. A child has a serious or life threatening medical condition and a caregiver appears
		unresponsive towards or incapable of meeting the child's health related needs during
		visits.
Yes	No 🗌	9. The child has serious behavioral and/or emotional needs and a caregiver appears
		unresponsive towards or incapable of meeting the child's needs during visits.
Yes	No 🗌	10. The visiting caregiver(s) describes or acts toward the child in predominantly or
		extremely negative terms and/or has extremely unrealistic developmental or behavioral
		expectations of the child that place the child in immediate danger of harm.
Yes	No 🗌	11. Any household member is involved in dangerous criminal activity that places the
· -		child in immediate danger of harm.
Yes	No 🗌	12. The presence of domestic violence in the household limits the non-offending
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	caregiver's ability to ensure the safety of the child during visits.
res 🗀	I NO L	13. Previous visitations have revealed serious concerns for the child's safety and/or well-
Yes 🗌	No 🗌	being.
165		
	•	14. Other (specify):
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of threats that are present.)
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of
		Threats Description (Directions: If any boxes are check "Yes", provide a description of

Page 2 of 6 Visitation Safety Check

1

SECTION 3: PROTECTIVE CAPACITIES

_	scribe other caregivers' resources, identifying capacity, availability and willingness:
	SECTION 4: VISITATION RECOMMENDATION
	<u>Unsupervised Visitation</u> —The parent is able to provide care to the child in a safe and age-appropriate manner for the duration of the visit without oversight. No signs of safety threats are identified.
	<u>Intermittent Supervision</u> -Visits in which the supervisor may be present for a portion of the visit. This may include overnight visits with oversight. No signs of safety threats are identified.
	<u>Supervised Visitation</u> -Visits that include an agency-approved third party, which may be another caregiver or family resource as identified in Section 4, to protect the emotional and physical safety of a child.
	<u>Structured Family Visitation</u> -Visits in the presence of an agency-approved third party (Agency and/or Community Resource Partner) for the purpose of evaluation and assessment of child-family interaction, and teaching and practicing of parenting skills.
	<u>Highly Structured/Strict Supervision</u> - Visits in which the child may not be removed from the presence of the supervisor. Signs of safety threats are identified. Supervision must be provided by the agency or communi resource partner.
	No Visitation -Child safety is jeopardized by any visitation at this time. Worker must immediately schedule a Status Check Court Hearing to make this recommendation to the Family Court and must obtain approval fro the Family Court to withhold visitation. Signs of safety threats are identified, caregiver protective capacities are not sufficiently present and the child remains significantly vulnerable. Documentation, such as clinical a police reports, must be sought to support no visitation for an extended amount of time.
h	ationale for Visitation Recommendation (Consider signs of safety threats, protective capacities and the nild's vulnerability):
	·

*Note: When Visitation Plan is recommended or revised, complete Visitation Plan and attach original to Visitation Safety Check.

Page 3 of 6

VISITATION PLAN

Directions: T	ne visitation plan may be	updated a few	times (in	itial, and up to three	monthly	case staffir	ng meetings, th	nen a new	form is required).	
Check current At Initial Re	case status: emoval 🗌 48 Hour CF	Γ 🗌 Changes	to the Vi	sitation Plan 🗌 Mo	nthly Cas	se Staffing	∫			
Explain Other:										
Date/Time	Frequency & Duration	Locatio	n	Participants			ditions & onsibilities		Person Supervising (if applicable)	
										٠,
	7									
				SIGNATURES	/DATES	3				
Changes Made to Visitation Plan	Worker Signature	e Date	2 .	Supervisor Signature	Dat	е	Parent	Date	Agency-Approved Party	Date
Yes No No										
Yes No No										
Yes No No										
	=									

PRACTICE GUIDELINES

Parent Incarceration

A determination must be made as to the best interest of the child in creating visitation plans, taking into account the parameters of the facility, the age of the child and distance to the facility. In many cases, phone calls and letters should be highly recommended to supplement face-to-face contact. Caseworkers are required to address challenges presented by parent's incarceration and arrangement of contact/visitation with children, such as:

- *Distance from child
- *Child's age
- *Existence of prior relationship and the nature of the relationship
- *Issues related to crimes committed that resulted in incarceration
- *Court order prohibiting visits

When a parent's incarceration is related to having harmed the child, the impact of contact with the parent on the child should be assessed. When a child is placed due to the parent's incarceration and family reunification is the goal, every effort should be made to assure regular visiting.

Sexual Abuse

In sexual abuse cases, the influence of the parent perpetrator on the child's emotions and physical health is unique. Subtle behaviors by the perpetrator, undetected by a visitation supervisor, may trigger further emotional damage. Input should be received from the child's therapist or expert evaluator before visitation plans between the parent perpetrator and the child are established. When visitation is established, it should be within the context of therapy and guided by a therapist or caseworker with knowledge in the field of child sexual abuse. There should always be a plan that allows the child to signal a need to have a break and/or stop the visit. The non-offending parent must be allowed to have visits with the child, which may require a separate visitation plan.

Domestic Violence

When domestic violence circumstances exist in a family, visitation plans should provide, when necessary, for separate visitation schedules for the non-offending and offending parents. The location of the visit should be safe and secure and a plan should be in place to address unexpected circumstances. Caseworkers should seek guidance from domestic violence experts and Child and Family Team members to determine cases where separate visitation plans are necessary.

Inconsistent Case Plan Participation

In cases where parents are inconsistent with child visitation and/or case planning, it may be necessary for the caseworker and/or Child and Family Team to determine what additional service interventions may be needed to engage the parent in visitation, therefore improving opportunities to maintain child relationship and reunification.

•