



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
DIVISION OF CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES  
4126 TECHNOLOGY WAY, SUITE 300  
CARSON CITY, NV 89706  
Telephone (775) 684-4400 • Fax (775) 684-4455  
dcfs.nv.gov

Nevada State Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission  
Data Sub Committee Meeting  
August 20, 2019

Meeting Minutes

**Call to Order:** Commissioner Brigid Duffy called the meeting to order.

**Roll Call:**

In person: Commissioner Ross Armstrong, Kayla Landers, Katie Brubaker, Krystal Castro, Leslie Bittleston, Jennifer Simeo.

Via Phone: Commissioner Brigid Duffy, Commissioner Rebekah Graham, Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes.

Public: Katie Brubaker - DCFS,

**Public Comment:** None

**Meeting Minutes:**

Commissioner Bridget Duffy: Hearing no public comment I'm going to move onto agenda item number 4. So, under agenda item number 4 we have a few bullet points. One is to review and discuss the data requirements data points in the strategic plan. And those approved in the last committee meeting is the final next step. Review those data points of PIRO Technology and decide on next steps and review Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission Scorecards. Which is educating DACA which was right after the last meeting. And the performance summary and decide on next steps. So, I was stating before everybody was on the phone, all of the documents are on the DCFS website. So, you can go there and follow along. The data requirements are all on this meeting so it's easy to look at and follow along. So, if you want to pull those up. If there's any comment on those. This is a really long list, but I reviewed it earlier today and it's very thorough. I think it covers everything we discussed before. And my apologies. I was not at the last full Commission Meeting. I was out of town. So, I don't know if there was anything added during the full Commission Meeting around our committee.

Leslie Bittleston: I don't believe so Brigid.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok. So, I think the best thing to do then because really everything that I reviewed and you all have in front of you, are really all of the data points that are required by 472. As well as everything else that we added are all in there. That we get right to Tyler Technologies and let them talk to us about how we're going to be able to pull that data out of their system. And what next steps we have from them.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Can I just ask a question on the handout. There's a number of these that have doubles and is there a reason for that or is that just like an error in putting the document together. Like percent increase decrease and re-commitment is twice. Number of cases by disposition is twice. Number of victims, victim's families, president disposition is twice. Is that.

Leslie Bittleston: That was my error. My apologies. I got interrupted several times while I was doing this so it's probably just duplication by accident.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Ok. Thank you for that clarification.

Leslie Bittleston: Take it away Tim.

Tim Allen - Tyler Technologies: Thanks for having us. We might be asking a few questions to get a little bit of background. We were provided about a week ago the JJOC approved performance measures. And I think that's what you all are talking about. It was also posted on-line. And so, a lot of it seems like statistics here. Is this, I guess this is a question. What different reports that we would need to satisfy these statistics. Is that where were going with this?

Leslie Bittleston: So, Tim, I think what is being requested of this group is to see how we can gather some of this data using the system. It may not, you may not have reports for this yet. So, these are really the things that the JJOC, the commission has asked for. So, like rate of recidivism I know we have a recidivism report. That type of thing. So maybe kind of show them where reports are found, what they look like. That type of thing.

Tim Allen – Tyler Technologies: Ok.

Justin - Tyler Technologies: I'm going to go ahead and jump in here at this point. And I'll concur with you Leslie. Yes, we have a recidivism report. But that does not necessarily mean it's going to be what you guys are looking for. To go onto that further I added a few questions of my own to this sheet here to ask. What I need is a definition of the logistics in which you guys consider recidivism because every state, almost every other county that we deal with has some sort of different definition of what they would consider as recidivism. So, we would need to know what your guy's logistics are, wrapped around recidivism before we could get into that for item number 1 there.

Leslie Bittleston: I think recidivism is captured in multiple ways for example if you go down this list, you'll see percent increase or decrease and re-arrest. That is one of our recidivism measures. Percent increase and decrease and recommitment which would be recommitment to one of our state DCFS facilities is another measure of recidivism. So, don't get bogged down on recidivism. So those are kind of what we're looking for.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: We can get you the JJOC approved definition of recidivism. So, we can get that to you. I think the part that is going to be important in some of this is to be able to then create a report that says okay what is the recidivism rate by age, gender, race, YLS score for those types of things. So, to have that second level data report to dig into.

Justin – Tyler Technologies: Ok.

Leslie Bittleston: It may be easier Tim and Justin to go to page 2. Where it's more laid out like total referrals, referral source, total arrests. Those types of things may be easier to look at in the system.

Justin – Tyler Technologies: Sure, sure. So, we do have when you get down into page 2 that's basically your DNC report right?

Leslie Bittleston: That's correct.

Justin – Tyler Technologies: That's what I'm seeing. I don't know how feasible it is for me to show that to you in our system. I don't know if it's appropriate that I just load up another accounting system and show it to you in here, in this meeting. So, I'm a little bit uncomfortable there. And as far as the DCFS system itself for state parole, which report is not configured yet so, it won't run in that environment at this time.

Tim – Tyler Technologies: I think one of the areas that we can start and I'm not sure who's on the phone. I think we can have a variety of people on the phone, is my anticipation but I think to start we can show kind of our reporting menu that we have in Tyler supervision from a demo site. So that way we won't expose anybody's data. But we can show the capability that we have in the system to be able to run reports. And from a user perspective what type of features we have available there. And then kind of keeping an understanding that some of the reports that we create our custom for specific clients that we have. But many of the reports that we create, most of the reports that we create are generic enough to where they can be run with any of our clients to be able to produce statistics like this. And if we don't have reports, we do have the ability to work with specific client and create those and then if they make sense to share with our other client base, we plan on doing that as well. So that might be a good starting point for people that aren't familiar with the report menu that we have in Tyler supervision. And kind of understanding how we would produce statistics like you'll have listed here. And then kind of going from there, drilling down into which report does what will take a little bit of time. Making sure that we have all of the reports that satisfy the requirement that you have. And then finding any gaps. That would obviously be room for improvement. But we can create new reports working with individual clients to be able to do that. If that's okay with everybody I can kind of show my screen from this point and show you a demo site. Show what that would look like from the reporting menu.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: I'm the co-chair of the sub-committee Tim, and I love the DA's office, Juvenile Division in Clark County. So, I think it is important. I mean, even though the history by is that we will use Caseload Pro, but whatever the decision was we'd like to see what data you can pull but ultimately as somebody in charge of the sub-committee. I need to know that all of the performance measures that we agreed on and that we took and voted on are able to be pulled. So, whatever you are not showing, we are going to have to figure out if your able to provide it. So why don't you go ahead and show us your demo and we'll see what we can catch and what might be missing on our voted-on performance measures.

Tim – Tyler Technologies: Ok. So, a little bit of background just to kind of clarify kind of the term for Caseload Pro and Tyler and perhaps maybe it seems like there is a transition from Caseload Pro to Tyler, but this is the same software that was used to be called Caseload Pro. That a lot of people started their projects with, with Caseload Pro. Joining Tyler now, we actually call it, Tyler Supervision. It's been rebranded as Tyler Supervision. So, you won't necessarily notice that it's Caseload Pro, but it is the same system. It's not like we converted from one system to another from Caseload Pro to Tyler Supervision. So, the reports that were available in Caseload Pro are also available in Tyler Supervision because it's the same software. So, in Tyler Supervision as a user and I am in a demo site I'm not in a specific site that would be a client related to anybody in the state that you're in. But we can do the demo site in order to keep practice data. So that way we don't expose any of our customers specific records. But for all of our sites we're going to have reports menu. Kind of across the top in this ribbon. Which is available just to user based on permission. Within the reports menu you'll see kind of on the left-hand side, in the application, we group the report in these different categories. So, we have accounting reports, assessment reports, audit reports, case reports. Kind of going down, statistics report, institution reports and so on. And each one of these categories, for example, institution report could be expanded. And we have multiple reports in each one of these categories. So, you can see it kind of grows based on the categories here. Each category might have one or multiple reports in it. And these are also based on permission levels from a user. So then, within a report, like if I look at the statistics report and if I look at the case statistics report by clicking on it, a user would be presented with a group of parameter and options. And this really allows some flexibility. So, one report can be run multiple ways. So, on this particular one you can see there's probably about 30 different filters or parameters that a user can do to run this report. And this one report might satisfy a number of different statistics. It can show you the active cases that were filed as of a specific day. Or it can show you all of the cases where an individual was on suicide watch. Or it could show you all of the active cases for a specific race or gender depending on what filter you select. And then if you look at a different report perhaps like active case counts. Based on the active case counts report, a user would be presented with a different group of parameters to be able to generate different reports and statistics. So, each report has it's own parameter statistics all within the reporting menu. As much as possible we try to make these reports available to all of our clients. There are some instances where it's specific enough to where it wouldn't make sense to share it with another client. But for the most part we try and make these available to everybody. We are working on a generic list and description of all the reports so that way we can publish that

to our customers. So that way they know by running the case statistics report what would I, what type of data would I be expecting to see on that. And we're going to publish that out to our customers very soon. Sometimes the name of the report doesn't make sense but once you see a description that you can produce the number of cases that are active during a time period by race or by suicide watch, it kind of makes more sense to users. So, to go through the list of JJOC approved performance measures it would take us a while to do the analysis to make sure we have every single performance measure captured in some of these reports. A lot of these reports were driven based on customer needs. So, if this is a new need that you haven't ever experienced before or maybe your need happened before, but you haven't had a report before. We may not have a report for that. In that case we're always welcome to build more reports. If somebody wants to go through that project with us.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Tim, have you not seen that list before? Of the performance measures.

Tim – Tyler Technologies: We got the list last week but, I mean the list is rather long. It would take much more than a week to go through and decide which report we would need for each one of these.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: So, Ross is there somebody assigned within the state that's working closely with Tim. So that we know which ones were lacking. Or if we're able to capture them somehow, some way. That was part of the minutes, part of the conversation and in the last meeting in May. Was about talking with Tyler Supervision about all of our different performance measures that we've developed over the last year. And ensure that they're able to capture it. Specifically, conveniently and clearly. In a report.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes, we've been working most closely with Tyler on the implementation roll out. We're still waiting for Clark and Washoe County to get their stuff together so that we can roll that out. And so, I mean it makes sense for our JJ programs office to go through the list and go through the reports in the report thing and compare and then work with Tyler. So, Leslie as the chief, will be the point person. But I think you know we should work with I think if we go through our list Leslie and the reports that Tyler Supervision has and then if you notice anything missing that we can work with Tyler and maybe it doesn't maybe it isn't missing and we just didn't know where to look to go to the right spot for it.

Leslie Bittleston: Brigid I work pretty closely with Tim and Justin already. We're still in implementation process so this is on my to-do list for going forward once we get everybody up and running. But I also do want to say that these reports are only as good as the data that input into the system. So, we really have to stress to our county's and to the state that data input is extremely important. So, we could have all the reports we want but if the data is not being inputted we're not going to get anything.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: That's a training issue and I don't know, I mean it's like that everywhere right. The community, everywhere. We're only as good and what we get.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Brigid I get your sense as the Co-Chair, your question and I think I'm in alignment with that. We don't need to wait for Clark and Washoe if we have this list of reports in Tyler Supervision. We can be going through that now. Since those are part of the package. I know that Clark and Washoe are asking for lots of customizations. I know that's part of the issue. But if these are going to be the statewide required performance measures let's make sure that our analysis of that report is completed so that Brigid could report on that at the September JJOC meeting.

Leslie Bittleston: We are still pending that list from Tyler Supervision. They, I believe we're more than 50% done. Is that correct Tim?

Tim – Tyler Supervision: That's correct.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. So, once that list is available, I can start looking at some of these and doing some crosswalks. But I don't have that list yet.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok. Alright perfect. Anything else for Tyler Technologies? Ok so the score card that we have. Thank you, Tim, and team.

Tim – Tyler Supervision: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: So, the Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission score cards. Can anybody, because I did not get to read the minutes from the full commission meeting yet. Does anybody recall if this was discussed at the full commission meeting?

Commissioner Rebekah Graham: I do not recall this.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I feel like it was maybe two meetings ago.

Leslie Bittleston: It was a couple, maybe two or three even.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I think that they had requested that we add some stuff and I think we've done that so.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: My understanding, I remember they discussed it two or three meetings ago because then they kind of assigned it over to us to follow up with. To make sure, I think the counties each have to create their own scorecard and then decide what their goal is each, whatever, quarter or year. However, they're going to measure it. And then this was just the, am I following that right? Am I recalling that correctly? On the scorecard.

Leslie Bittleston: I believe a baseline is due for federal year nineteen. But what I'm concerned about and this is just as a data perspective, being that I'm working with caseload pro is I am not sure if this benchmark will be met. Especially with those counties that are not up and running in caseload pro yet.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Which at this point is every county in the state right?

Leslie Bittleston: Jo Lee I don't believe that is correct. There are some county's that are up and running. I'm not saying every county couldn't provide data. I'm just saying some could, but I don't think we would get it for the whole state. Is what I'm trying to say.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: And your probably not going to get it for Washoe and Clark true?

Leslie Bittleston: True.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes, I mean that's something that. I mean, we're working on those regulations. But they're required to submit the data. Whether they get it out of whatever system is up to them. But if there not supplying the data that is required then the law requires some ramifications for that particular county. So, I guess my question would be to Leslie. Your team hasn't reached out for county's to start to fill in their scorecards right?

Leslie Bittleston: No. I have not. Only because when I was provided this scoresheet, I wasn't provided any other information like when to request information from county's or even if I was supposed to so.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Right. The fiscal year just ended, or I guess ended June 30<sup>th</sup> fiscal year 19. So, I mean, it would be good to have the Benchmark data for I think the December JJOC meeting. The September one is really focused on facility so if you're able to have it for that December meeting does that sound doable.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: I would think it would help. I believe that each of the county's would also need to have, and I remember talking about this at the last meeting. Each of the county's also has to have, like, what they want to see in draft or right, their FY19 is eleven thousand referrals for juvenile court. What they want to see in FY20 for a percentage of decrease of referrals. There each supposed to have their own measurements of what they say. Or goals I should say.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes. I think it was, as I'm looking at it. It looks like fiscal year 19 is our benchmark so that would remain. And in there like I think the first two steps, let me rephrase. I think what we would want each county to

submit for the December JJOC meeting is the fiscal year 19 benchmark and their fiscal year 2023 target. And then when we get the fiscal year 20 actuals we can compare where they are towards hitting their target.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Yes, I see that on the scorecard. Yeah, benchmark actual target. Ok, so how about I, I don't know who, I mean there are so many counties. But DCFS are you going to be in charge of all the rural counties? So, if I as the chair or co-chair of this committee send out an email to the directors of Washoe and Clark and DCFS saying that we want this data by our next meeting which will be probably sometime in November. So that then we can present it in December. Would you all be ok with that?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I think it would be better as the chair Bridget, if you sent it to all the directors and included DCFS as an agency that's accountable to you. So that the accountability remains to the JJOC versus DCFS.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok. So, I will, at the end of our what do we do, agenda item number six. I'll make sure I assign that. But I am going to ask for some help from Katie and Leslie on getting the correct emails for everybody. I was hoping.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes, we have that roster. The other the other way we've gone about it sometimes too Brigid, when we need information from the different JJ agencies is to send the request to like the president of NAUSHA and then NAUSHA will send it out to their list serve.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Okay. Perfect. I really, I know that this is one of our big projects that we have to oversee for the full commission so. And I appreciate the comment that December would be the better time. So I will, anything else on the score cards? Alright Leslie, the moment we've all been waiting for, an update on the NAC.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. We held a public workshop or DCFS held a public workshop on August 14th for revisions for NAC 62b and NAC 62h. We had three counties present for that and we did get a lot of a lot of good feedback. Some of the feedback referred to tying the regulations in NAC back to the appropriate interests. And then to provide some additional clarification on some certain areas. And also, there were some conversations about some of the things that the County's cannot obtain. For example, the number of convictions in the adult system so once a youth passes the age of Juvenile Justice adulthood whether that's 18 or 21, they move into the adult system and the county no longer has access to measure recidivism for 1 year 3 years or whatever. So, there was a lot of discussion around the things that counties cannot do. And they don't want to be required to do things like that. So, I think that they're, so based on that feedback there will be some updates to the NAC. Both NAC's and we will hold a second public workshop fairly quickly. Probably within the next month or so and I think, am I forgetting anything? I think that's about it. Are there any questions?

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: So, when do you think that public workshop is going to be?

Leslie Bittleston: Well, we have to follow the same open meeting laws. But we have to schedule in person sites and three locations. So, I would say no later than the end of September. I will do the same thing that I did with the last public workshop. I will send a meeting invite to all JJOC members. Of course, it's optional. But I also would like to take a moment to thank Jo Lee, who did review both NAC's prior to them going to public workshop. So, she did look at them and provided really good feedback. So, thank you again Jo Lee. So, I think by the end of September we will have to check our schedule. I am traveling in September so that's where a little bit of the hang-up is coming up. Is just due to other commitments.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok. Great.

Leslie Bittleston: Any other questions?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I can understand some of the concerns about saying they can't get particular data. But the entity that goes forward on juvenile cases is typically run by the same district attorney that runs adult cases and so I would just question how hard it would be to actually be able to say. To do a look inside whatever case management system the DA's offices or the court, I guess, we just need to figure out the best source of the data. Rather than say like there's just no possible way for us to know that there was ever an adult conviction. Because that's kind of the whole point of our system, in my mind.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: I think there's some practical logistics around that. So, years ago Washoe County Department of Juvenile Services wanted to do a recidivism study on our juvenile sex offenders. And they had to get special permission from NCIC to run criminal histories on those kids. So, within Washoe County for instance, let's say that we, that Mike King was prosecuting a kid and he becomes 18 and he stays on probation or parole for a while in our system. But then he leaves our system, or he gets to the adult system before he leaves our system. So, depending on which entity arrests him there's multiple different courts that we would have to check. As a DA's office it's not like, it's not like Frank Cervantes could call me and say run these kids for recidivism and I could jump on Just Ware, which is our software system and run them. A, I don't have time to do it and B, if they get arrested for a misdemeanor in Sparks, Reno I don't have access to those records other than going to the courthouse. And there's limits around how often we can run criminal histories on people just because we're curious. And so, I think there's some real systemic practices around the use of CJIS or NCIC to run histories on those kids. And so at least, and I'm not an expert on criminal history so I don't have to pay attention to him frankly in my world for the most part. When we've attempted to do recidivism studies with smaller populations there's really significant logistics around that. So, if you go to, for instance, Washoe County and ask Frank as the director of juvenile services, give us the recidivism data for juvenile convictions, the truth of the matter is he may not have the ability to do that. He would have to get special permission from NCIC. If they were even willing to do it. And it wasn't easy to get their buy in and permission to do, I mean they had to jump through quite a bit of hoops to do a certain study on a smaller population. I don't know what the CJIS rules are, around those regulations. There's a lot of regulations around the actual ability to measure recidivism going forward. Now certainly you know, if they had they could jump on, they could see who's in the Nevada State Prison. Because that, you can access that on-line. But there's, I have real concerns about getting any data that's meaningful around that issue. And maybe I just need further education. But I think that there are some pretty significant hurdles to get there. Other counties might have an easier time right, because if Las Vegas Metro is consolidated. Of course, I don't know what happens in Henderson. And I don't know what happens in the Rurals of course. Do you know if they have those different courts that are operating if you're inside the city limits or is it just in the county?

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: I only recall conversations that Jack Martin was having with the director of NVOC. It felt like they were promising at least getting some system communication so that we would know who from our juvenile justice system entered into the adult prison system within 3 years. But I don't know where those conversations are now.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: I mean that would at least give us the measure of who went to prison. It's not going to give us the measure of who gets convicted and stays on probation.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Or less than a year in jail.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Right.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: In my mind that's important data point that the JJOC has agreed we want.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Right, I want it too.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: So, I think it would be this sub committee's responsibility to kind of problem solve around that. So, I may be going out of line in terms of agenda items but if we just have that, I think if we, are there any other data points Leslie that they identified as problem areas? I think it's kind of a problem data brainstorming or idea session each meeting for the sub-committee that might help us overcome some of the hurdles.

Leslie Bittleston: When these performance measures were first approved about a year ago, I received a call from a couple of the rural judges, and it seems to me that any data that normally would live in a court system is going to be very difficult to get. For example, those data points that are around whether a parent is present. Whether a disposition was done within 60 days. Whether those types of court measures of because both of the judges that I spoke to literally were not happy with having to collect that data. And both of them told me that they don't have the staff to input that data or to make a report or something of that nature. So, I would suggest to this committee that anything that lives in the court or this adult conviction would be something for this committee to address.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: So, going back in history the rurals are the reason that we pushed having this staff data collection performance measure points. Because we're concerned about or there was brought up concern, I wouldn't say

the whole committee was concerned. But we did vote on them. But the conversation was around concern that youth did not have legal representation and the conversation we had at our last data meeting was this was really going to be more of a responsibility of the probation department. Again, Leslie of course considering your statement about garbage in garbage out basically.

But we talked about how some jurisdictions have laptops on the desks and this is a web-based program so the court officers that are there can log in and input the data right in Tyler Systems or whatever it's called. And then the courts wouldn't be inputting it at all.

Leslie Bittleston: And you are correct. We did have that conversation. And also, to let this committee know that I did put all of those court performance measures on the newest template of the DMC report that's due annually. That report is due to the program's office on January 31<sup>st</sup>. So, I won't even know until then what that looks like. I wouldn't know how to verify it. And I can tell you from somebody that's very familiar as a user in caseload pro that this has gone out to our DCFS staff and the input is minimal at best in the court screen.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Well let's start firing officers who don't collect it.

Leslie Bittleston: I'm just saying what I know now today. So, because what I did is, I made some adjustment, I didn't make them, I requested some adjust adjustments be made to the hearing. There's a hearing screen within Tyler Supervision that can capture this data. So, I made some adjustments, requested adjustments be made and they have been made. But again, just based on what our own DCFS staff have done the inputs minimal. So, I'm not saying that the data we will get in January will be terrible. But I don't know, I'm not going to be able to stake my life on that it's accurate.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Will you give me a list of parole officers failing at that please.

Leslie Bittleston: I will have to dig for that.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I mean I think we know the accurate and you know data quality is something the Fed's said we need a specific plan on just in our child welfare system and so I would anticipate it be rocky. But as long as we collect it and then the experienced eyes can go "I don't think that's right at all". Then we can figure out what the issue is in terms of collection and that. I mean we're not going to have a perfect product every time. And we shouldn't let that stop us from attempting.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: That's what I was thinking is we really just have to make sure that we're holding employees accountable for doing the work that their challenged with doing.

Leslie Bittleston: I think a lesson learned with implementation of Tyler Supervision is somebody that really knows the system of a Superuser whatever should probably be part of that implementation roll out. To go out and demonstrate in the system where to put some of the things because I think that could be part of the problem with you know our. Our DCFS user should know because they've been told and we've sent out screen prints and I've done cheat sheets and all kinds of things since the Inception of Tyler Supervision. So, I think that each county will need somebody like that to teach them and do cheat sheets and say these screens need this information.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: So, Ross the purse strings that are attached to all of this are purse strings towards each probation department not juvenile court correct?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: That is correct. We fund county probation departments through the Community Corrections Block Grant. And that is our biggest opportunity. That's where are most effective purse string is. You know this, as the State Advisory Group, there are a couple of sub awards of the Formula Grant from the Juvenile Justice Delinquency Prevention Act. And we can certainly disqualify any county who isn't in compliance with data requirements from receiving an award of that grant.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Right. And we talked about that last time too but if they don't do it then what, then what, became a financial hit.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Right.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Which is a requirement of the statute.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Yes. So, you just tell those judges out in rural Nevada they don't have to worry themselves. Because they don't have to do it at all. It will be the DCFS.

Leslie Bittleston: And Probation Department.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: And probation, sorry. I always think Child Welfare, I always think DCFS Rurals.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: And you know, although it isn't required, like, the requirements Jo Lee are not on the court itself in all counties except for Clark.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: They all work for the court.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: The Probation department is a line item in courts budget.

Leslie Bittleston: And sometime the district judges are the ones that are hiring and firing the probation staff. They are the hiring authority.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Interesting dynamics.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes. I think the biggest problematic area right now today would be assessing how we're going to track the convictions in the adult system. Because the county's are right they don't have a mechanism. We at DCFS don't even have a mechanism to do that with our kids on parole.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: But we have ability to, we can do a data match and give a percentage of how many kids have entered the adult system.

Leslie Bittleston: Right, but we don't have.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: We can do a data match with the prison right? But you can't do a data match if they've been convicted for attempted residential burglary and they're on probation. Can you?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I think our data match expanded beyond just NDOC and is the whole criminal history.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: So, you have access through adult P & P?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes, I would have to double check and see what that actual thing is. But I know previously it was just NDOC and then I got a report back to had very different numbers and I asked why, and they said because we had access to more data.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: It would be interesting to know right if, how that is coming to pass. Because of course, like in Washoe County, if we have a parole kid and he get's convicted at Reno Muni. If you're getting that information that's wonderful. I'm just wondering how the hell you are getting that information. I shouldn't have said hell on the record. Let's strike that from the record. I'm just wondering how you are getting that information out of Reno Municipal Court. I mean it's great if you have figured out a way to get it, wonderful. I'm just wondering how.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes, I will figure that out on our end. And we will have the minutes reflect a line of expletives under Jo Lee's comments.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Thank you. Bold, highlight, mark it out in red. Make sure it's attributed to me for being inappropriate. I can try to do some asking around about you know, because obviously, there's probation for instance has someone who is their NCIC. I forgotten the term that they use for the person who, oh their TAC. Their Tactically Assistant Coordinator I think is what that means. So, I can find out who their TAC is and say, "tell me about the regulations around

this". And do some asking around about CJ. So perhaps I can provide some information to Leslie or Katie that can be shared with everyone else. We don't violate any of the rules to see if we can start to get a better understanding of what those logistical hurdles look like. Because I don't want to tag the probation department to do something that, you know if they can't do it based on federal regulation or other hurdles. We need to what those are to see if we can figure out what do we do.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Right. So that's what agenda item number 6 is kind of, it's kind of two-fold it's on the agenda for next meeting and assign tasks. So, I think every, well Jo Lee, myself as well as Ross. Rebecca, I don't know that you'd be able to assist in this area but each of us should be looking in whatever jurisdictions that we're in or over, if we can get, figure out a way to break down some of those hurdles. So, I'll talk to my, my criminal system just got a new criminal case management system called Just Ware. I don't know if that can talk to Tyler Technologies at all. And then I also have North Las Vegas and Henderson and Mesquite. And other little areas within Clark County. But Ross if you, you know adult P&P is able to cross, you know, reference our kiddos that could help. If you have access to that because it's all under the state, right?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Yes. I'll figure out what the data connection is for the adult stuff for us.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: And then Jo Lee if there's anything you can do with regards to Washoe county and what hurdles we can get through. Or hurdles we're never going to get through. We can bring that back to the next agenda. And then Leslie we're going to put you on the next agenda to talk about any works with Tyler to ensure they can capture the performance measure that we have listed out and the data points. And then I will follow up on the scorecard by reaching out to the counties. Or an email to the NAUSHA president about what information we need to be reported by the December meeting.

Leslie Bittleston: As a recommendation when you send information to the NAJJA president, you may want to be specific like just take these right out of the scorecard because as somebody that gets data from the county's, it's all over the place.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: I don't think we can take anything out of the scorecard without the JJOC approval.

Leslie Bittleston: What I'm saying is what is your rate of recidivism. I'm on page five and like nature and number of juvenile court referrals. Total type and number of charges. I'm just, that's what I'm recommending.

Katie Brubaker: Are you talking about copying and pasting.

Leslie Bittleston: Or writing it out or something. Because just like I said, somebody that asks for data a lot if you're not specific you're going to get all over the place.

Brigid Duffy: Alright. Well what I'll do is, I didn't want to put so much on you all. You guys do so much for this committee. I wanted to make sure once it was signed off on by me and the co-chair saying "hey we need this". But I'll work with you to let you have that experience in how to ask for the data you need or want. So, I'll run through my drafts with you ok?

Leslie Bittleston: Ok, that would be great.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Because that, I know what you are talking about. Sometimes I ask for data from Clark County JJ and if I don't word it the right way, I'm like, I don't understand what this is. I don't mean like this, I need this. So. Anything else that anybody wants to put on the next agenda? I don't think, I think we can keep up our, keep the agenda item about reviewing our performance measures that we have. That way we can at least, if anybody has ones they want to add or ones they believe we don't need we have the ability to vote on that. So even though we might not have much discussion. Just to keep that on there. Data points will be a Leslie follow up. The scorecard performance summary will be a me and Leslie follow up. And then Leslie, any follow up on regulations. We will keep you on the agenda. And then we're going to add follow up on tracking convictions on the adult system. Anything else? And I think we need to, I'm thinking November. Wait, we have a meeting in September, right?

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: Correct. A full JJOC.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok, so then we'll do our next committee in November for the December meeting and I'll give that letter to the county's JJ or NAJJA at the November deadline. So, November comes with holidays.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: I can tell you if you are hoping that Gianna Verness can join us. Tuesday's is the very worst day for her team because assuming that one of them is not in the trial in a criminal case they still have to cover three different things and there's only three of them. So, if one of them is in trial there's no way they can be in four places.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: How about Wednesday the 20<sup>th</sup>? Thanksgiving's the following week.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: That works for me.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: Should be good.

Leslie Bittleston: I'm trying to think I'm trying to figure out if that's the week of the Georgetown DMC certification. Because it is in November. If we get it. But we submitted applications and we're pending acceptance and we will be sending a team of six of us to go. Brigid I'm going to have to get back to you and what I'm talking about is Kathryn Roose submitted an application on the behalf of DCFS. About eight of us to go back to Georgetown University where they have a certification program in reducing racial and ethnic disparities. We have not yet been accepted and I believe that's the week of that training.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok what about the 13<sup>th</sup>. If we can just clear. Look at the 6<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup>. And then Leslie you verify one. Because I have all 3 open. Except 12 to 1 on the 13<sup>th</sup>. I have JDAI meeting.

Commissioner Rebekah Graham: As far as my availability so you have quorum. On Wednesdays I'm pretty locked in from 9 to 11:30 but the rest I could do.

Commissioner Ross Armstrong: The 6<sup>th</sup> is better than the 13<sup>th</sup> for me. 13<sup>th</sup> I'm in Hawthorne for the domestic violence committee.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Ok. How about you Jo Lee.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: The 6<sup>th</sup> works.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: So, Leslie if you could verify and then we can either do the 6<sup>th</sup> from 2:00 to 4:00 or the 20<sup>th</sup> from 2:00 to 4:00.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, 6<sup>th</sup> or 20<sup>th</sup> got it.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: As soon as you verify. I'll just kind of hold these times off.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, I don't want to be on the agenda if I'm not going to be here.

Commissioner Jo Lee Wickes: I'm sorry are we looking at either the 6<sup>th</sup> or the 20<sup>th</sup>?

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Correct because if the 20<sup>th</sup> ends up being the Georgetown thing we'll make it the 6<sup>th</sup>.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay we've got the 6<sup>th</sup> through the 20<sup>th</sup>.

Commissioner Brigid Duffy: Anything else from any of the committee members? Any public comments? Thank you all very much. I will make sure I have the report for the full committee done this time around. I kind of had a crazy June through September. Well, August, I guess. I'm sorry I missed the last full commission meeting. And we'll be ready to go on the September one. I won't let you down.

Leslie Bittleston: Great thank you.