

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES





Ross Armstrong
Administrator

Nevada State Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission State Advisory Group Planning Committee Meeting July 16th, 2020 at 1:00 pm

Meeting Minutes - DRAFT

Chair Pauline Salla-Smith called meeting to order at 1:09 pm.

Roll Call- Leslie Bittleston took roll call and confirmed that quorum was made.

(VOTING MEMBERS)

Present by Phone: Paulina Salla-Smith (Chair), Joey Orduna-Hastings, Rebekah Graham, Jennifer Fraser

Absent: Jack Martin, Mayra Rodriguez

(NON VOTING MEMBERS)

Present by Phone: Heather Plager **Absent:** Christine Eckles, Eric Smith

(STAFF)

Present by Phone: Leslie Bittleston, Jennifer Simeo, Kayla Dunn, Kayla Landes, Kathryn Roose

(PUBLIC)

Present by Phone: Lexie Beck, Melissa Sickmund

Meeting Minutes:

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Thank you. Let's move to public comments. Is there any public comment? This action may not be taken on any matter brought up under the agenda item until scheduled on the agenda for a later meeting. But we'll open it up for public comment right now. All right. Seen none. Let's review and approve the minutes from June 25th, 2020, and you should be able to see them on your screen, in case you haven't had time to review it, but if you have, I'll entertain a motion.

<u>**Joey Orduna-Hastings:**</u> This is Joey Orduna-Hastings, I'll make a motion to approve the minutes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. Is there a second?

Rebekah Graham: This is Rebekah Graham, I second that motion.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Thank you. It's been moved and seconded. Is there any discussion? All those in favor say aye. (ayes) Any opposed? Okay, the minutes are approved as submitted. Let's move to formula grant slate. I'm going to bring it up –

Leslie Bittleston: And update.

Pauline Salla-Smith: - so everybody can see it.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yes, and I do have an update before we get to the formula grant slate. So if that is permissible, Madam Chair.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Is it regarding the formula grant slate?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>: Not the slate, it's regarding just the formula grant in general.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. That's, I think the agenda item is update on formula grant first, so go ahead.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes. I just wanted to let the Committee know that OJJDP has released some of our funds from our FY '18 grant. They are allowing roughly \$370,000 to be released from that grant. That was based on work that was done by myself and, um, DCFS Fiscal to actually reimburse or, I don't know what the right word is, but to cover expenses that the State has been incurring for subgrantees' salary, all kinds of things. So that was approved about three weeks ago. We are still unable to draw any grant funds, but I just wanted to let the Committee know that some grant funds have been released and that's from the FY '18 grant. The FY '19 grant is still completely frozen, and we have not heard on the FY '20 grant. So that's just the general update.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> So, Leslie, the money that was released, the \$370,000, the subgrantees can't access it but the State can, or what do you mean it's not available for like drawdowns?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> What that means is the grant adjustment notice has not yet been approved by OJJDP. That's some type of a technical process that allows the state to draw the funds from the federal government. Once we draw the funds, then we, pay out the subgrantees. It has nothing to do with the subgrantees, it has to do with the process of the state being able to access those funds. It's like a technical process.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Right. Okay. Any questions from the Committee members on the formula grant update? I see none. Let's move to the formula grant slate and this is the same one we had last time with the (inaudible) subgrantee removed, correct?

Leslie Bittleston: Correct.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, it is the same grantees as last year, at the same exact amount. The only change to this is number--is Clark County Department of Juvenile Services. They received last year an allocation of 130,000, that was split up between two programs. They received 80,000 for SARP and 50,000 for MET. They wanted to maintain that same amount of 130,000 but split that amount evenly at 65,000 for each program. So it's the same overall allotment just split a little differently. It is the same exact amount as last year. And this year, I did not hold a request for application on the formula grant because it is unknown at this time when or if the FY '20 formula grant will be frozen. So rather than waste time and if we don't know it was more beneficial to just carry forward which we are allowed to do, carry forward grantees from the previous year, which is what you're seeing here on this grant slate.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Committee members, questions? Comments. I am gonna--I'll ask for a motion to approve in a second and then I'm going to have to recuse myself from a vote because I am Sixth Judicial District and we received funds. I don't benefit from it personally anyway, but I'm gonna hang out from voting to approve.

<u>Joey Orduna-Hastings:</u> Madam Chair, this Joey Orduna-Hastings. I, too, um, have a conflict on item number five, as that is the Organization in which I serve, so I will not be able to vote, either.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you.

<u>Joey Orduna-Hastings:</u> Thank you.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So Jennifer and Rebekah, someone can give me a motion right now.

<u>Iennifer Fraser:</u> I'll move to approve, this is Jennifer.

Rebekah Graham: I'll second. This is Rebekah Graham.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Thank you, Rebekah. It's been moved and seconded. Commissioner Salla will abstain from vote. All those in favor say aye. (ayes) Opposed? And two abstentions. Motion carries. Thank you. Okay, let's move to agenda item number six, Nevada Center for Juvenile Justice Innovation, next steps, I'm thinking that maybe Melissa's doing this one or is Andrew.

Melissa Sickmund: Yes, no, I'm doing it. Andrew ended up having a conflict so.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

Melissa Sickmund: First of all, yay, that we get to do this stuff again. We have been putting together some more newsletter blasts and some maybe we'll be putting together some training videos. The topics, you know, for the blasts I think so far, they have put together information on developing smart goals for probation, smart being an acronym for how goals can be measured and keep track of them. And I think, you know, the general tone of them, I think you guys will like it. Let me see what the other topics were. Hold on. I had it all in my little notes. So, you know, when the other money is unfrozen, you know, we want to get back to the plan that we had of having kind of regional discussions which could certainly be virtual. You know, the newsletter blasts right now, you know, it's just kind of not enough. But, you know, if we have the smart goals on probation. The other topics were trauma informed practices and some gathering of information that we've been doing around getting access to services during Covid. And then we also with Casey funding, Annie E. Casey funding, revised our desktop guide to good juvenile probation practice and that's now an online desktop guide and so we would do a newsletter and perhaps a training video to kind of show people around that website. There's a ton of resources there and if I do say so, it's very pretty. A good thing to look at. So do you have any like specific questions?

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> I don't. I like the training videos. I think the couple ones we did were really effective, and I think it's just helpful that we all receive the same information, so -

Melissa Sickmund: Yeah, and I realize that, you know, we may reach out to you and to Leslie to see are there, you know, are there other people that should be getting the newsletter and getting, you know, links to the training videos beyond the group of probation folks that we had so far. And plus, if there's been any turnover of those, you know, we need to sort of crosscheck the list that we have. You know, newsletters are kind of, you know, easy to do. The videos take a little bit more time, but I think we're getting better at it, so it's not such a heavy lift. And so I would say too, if there are specific other topics that you guys were interested in and I'm very interested to have us be sort of paying more attention again to coming to these meetings and the overall JJOC meetings, so that we can be aware of, you know, the topics of focus. I saw in the minutes that there was a lot of discussion about the new data elements that are going to be required

by OJJDP and you have some State items as well. You know, we can maybe sort of help you push that information out to everybody too.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Yeah, and I think one of the things with the data elements is that we just need to get it streamlined because it's overwhelming and it's not only overwhelming to our large jurisdictions, it's overwhelming to our rural jurisdictions, but I think that a lot of it we're capturing, we just have to make sure that we're capturing it in Tyler Supervision so we can pull reports easy and we're capturing it the same way.

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> Yeah, I mean a lot of other states have sort of the agency that is sort of the data collector, the burden on pulling together those reports and doing that analysis falls to them rather than making all the counties do that.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Mm-hmm.

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> So that's, I mean, obviously that would be really different for DCFS, I think, to have you collect more raw data and be pulling it together, but that certainly would reduce the burden on all theespecially the smaller counties, I think.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Mm-hmm. Yeah. Yeah and I do think that any--that statewide--the ability for at least all of us statewide to access evidence-informed or evidence-based, trauma-informed and trauma-responsive curriculums would be so beneficial to everybody because that is one of those topics where I think sometimes I don't think we struggle with finding that training. I think that finding different training to increase our knowledge base on that, because we can all access, you know, online trainings through different organizations, but to really be able to just expand our knowledge base to make sure that it's passing on to our kids and families -

Melissa Sickmund: Mm-hmm.

Pauline Salla-Smith: - is I think where there's really a gap in the ability for jurisdictions to get training.

Melissa Sickmund: Yeah, there's some information on that in the desktop guide, the new desktop guide, and we could, perhaps, connect you to some folks that helped us when we were working on that, probation folks in other parts of the country that can talk about how they've taken concepts of being trauma responsive and really put that into action. You know, it's one thing to just to say it and what really difference are--you know, what are you doing differently. You know, I think, for that kind of thing, it's really helpful to talk to someone else who's been walking the walk for a while. Yeah, there's certainly any number of things that we can do. We want to be helpful and, you know, as long as there's money there to, you know, to do it. We obviously can't work for free, but we can do a lot, do a little, do it for probation. Do it, you know, more broadly, you know, whatever, I think, you know, we need to have conversations with you guys to figure out what is going to be the most useful so the newsletter topics that were selected were, you know, those that are general and that we thought you would already be interested in, but if there certainly are any others, you know, let us know.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Great.

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> We can put it together. Our hope is that maybe we could put together, sort of do enough of the newsletters ahead of time, so that if there is an interruption in funding again, we could still be just shooting out the newsletters, because once they're developed, it doesn't take much to send them out.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Right. I like it. Any other questions, Committee members? Thanks, Melissa.

Melissa Sickmund: You're welcome.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> All right. Let's move to agenda item number seven. So SB-107 room confinement.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> This is Leslie. Kayla was supposed to provide this item, but her microphone is not working, so I'm going to fumble through it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Is it this one?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> I believe so.

Pauline Salla-Smith: There we go.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>Yeah, so what you see on slide number one is a snapshot of January through May of 2020, broken down by detention, county detention facility and I can't see, I believe the different lines represent the different months.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yes, they do.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay, so those would be the number of uses of room confinement and please don't confuse this number with the number of kids, it is the number of uses. So every time room confinement is used, it is counted. And in some cases, it may be one kid that had 15 uses, but this doesn't break down the kids, it just breaks down the uses. So that's the county detention facilities. And then going on to page number two is total room confinement. Oh, this is breakdown of each one, each county facility. So Murphy Bernadini, Jan Evans, Northeastern in Elko, Leighton Hall in Winnemucca, Clark County, Douglas County which is in state line and then Teurman Hall which is in Fallon. So that's just a breakdown of each facility over those five months. And, again, the number of uses. Is there another document -

Pauline Salla-Smith: For the most part we've all declined in -

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yeah, for the most part, we've all declined.

Pauline Salla-Smith: That might've been a positive out of Covid -

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>I hope so.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> - our detention populations all dropped drastically and hence, less kids, less issues, less room confinements. So this is all a positive note.

<u>Leslie Bittleston</u>: Right and one other thing that was noted, especially at Teurman Hall, Kayla Landes has had some conversations with Brandon Bird, who is the detention manager at Teurman Hall, about really trying to understand, the facility trying to understand when to count room confinement and when not to room confinement. For example, is a youth that wants to stay in the dayroom and not participate in programming, is that room confinement? So one of the things that we are going to do, Kayla and myself, especially with these facilities that seem to be struggling with how to count room confinement, once the travel restriction is lifted, we are going to make a courtesy visit out there, go over their policies and talk about when to count room confinement and when not to count room confinement because we want to

make sure we're getting accurate numbers of the times we are actually using it. So there's things like that. So that's just kind of a statement that Kayla mentioned to me over the last couple months regarding one facility.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And I think we discussed it during our last meeting too, there is an exception for isolating due to medical conditions -

Leslie Bittleston: Right.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> - um, that's not room confinement. It's not being used as a punishment. Because if it really affects the safety of the facility which, you know, we understand with Covid transmission, that could really be absolutely detrimental in a facility, but we have to make sure that there was--even with SB-107, there were certain things that didn't count as room confinement. When it's shift change and the kids may have to go into the cells in the smaller facilities, so that they can be briefed and do shift change, that wasn't room confinement, that was for the security of the facility and it only was like for a five-minute or ten-minute block, so there are certain things that were excluded within the Bill to be considered room confinement or corrective room restriction or isolation or whatever people want to call it.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Right and is there another document for the State?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Let me look.

Leslie Bittleston: Let's see.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I'm thinking this is it.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Hi Heather. Thanks for joining us.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>: Hi Heather.

<u>Heather Plager:</u> Hi. Sorry I was late.

Pauline Salla-Smith: No worries.

Leslie Bittleston: Heather is here. Okay. So this is the same data but broken down for the three state facilities for the same time period, January through May. The first - The first chart. Could we mute please?

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Can everybody mute if they're not talking. Riley can you mute?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Riley Harris?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah, Riley Harris. Thank you.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay so the first chart, again, is the three state facilities, same time period, January through May. Again, this is the number of uses of room confinement, it is not use specific. And then we go on to page number two, and that is each facility broken down. The first one, of course, is NYTC. The second one is Caliente. And some of you, it looks like NYTC has gone down significantly. Caliente has kind of leveled off and Summit View House really increased. With Kayla not being able to talk, I don't have an

explanation for the massive increase in room confinement, but one thing I do want to talk about or relay to the group is DCFS has been revising a lot of their policies, use of force, room confinement and all kinds of things, you know, all of our policies. It seems that we've got some confusion in the state, on the state side, and a belief that room confinement and isolation are two different things. I don't know how that came about but we are addressing that. It just came to our attention within the last couple weeks, so we are going to be addressing that and, again, making sure that we are presenting the right data. The right data, the right numbers.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Leslie, were they counting room confinement separate from isolation, is that what you mean?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>Yes. It seems what they were doing was not counting isolation at all. In fact, isolation was room confinement.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And I think that comes from the PBS definitions, because in PBS, they do separate the two of those out, that's why I was thinking that, because I was part of that whole discussion, so isolation, there's different definitions in their PBS, so that could be where the confusion is coming from.

Leslie Bittleston:Okay. Yeah so, like I said, this just came to our attention within the last couple weeks, so we just have to make sure that staff know when to count room confinement, so even those instances of isolation under PBS are also room confinement. So I think that's just something we need to clarify on our side.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> I sent Kayla a private chat, just saying like do you want me to say anything for you through me and she says that she believes the increase was due to a fight that occurred at Summit View and 31 youth were placed on room confinement for two hours and 30 minutes.

Leslie Bittleston: Wow.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So if it was a big fight then -

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: - that could be part of the explanation too.

Leslie Bittleston: Right, especially if you're putting 31 kids in room confinement at the same time, so -

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yeah. Okay. Oh, I'm glad you did that because I, again, didn't have an explanation for that, as Kayla was supposed to give this, so this is -

Pauline Salla-Smith: She just did, she just gave it through me.

Leslie Bittleston: So any questions on room confinement for county or state? Okay. That's it, Madam Chair.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> All right. Thank you. Let's move to agenda item number eight, which is to prioritize additional data requirements. This is the discussion that we started during our last meeting and had just requested that we organize all of our data elements to help people not be overwhelmed.

Leslie Bittleston: I created a working document for this and if it's not, it is the one in the middle called -

Pauline Salla-Smith: No?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> No. Is that it?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Data collection activities?

Leslie Bittleston: Maybe that's what it is. Maybe we're on the right one. No, yes, that's it. So it's kind of, I

think-

Pauline Salla-Smith: So this document is the OJJDP reauthorization?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> And I thought I put another document in there called, title working document.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I don't see that, but you can see my screen -

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay, I'm looking. Formula grant slate.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Data collection activities, DMC. I don't see one that says (inaudible). The data collection activities is a working document, is that what you're talking about? That's what I opened up.

Leslie Bittleston: That must be it. That must be it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Right there, yup.

Leslie Bittleston:Oh, working document, thank you.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Let me see if I can zoom this out.

Leslie Bittleston: Let me, and I can change this format. Basically, what I did here is I put a lot of new requirements, whether it be state of federal, and as you can see, I put OJJDP requirements, state requirement, how are we capturing it so it's really kind of laying out all those new things. Like I said, if this isn't a good document, I'm willing to try a different format, but this is kind of laying out all of those new things, whether it be state or federal.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> So let me ask this before we move on, so our rate of recidivism, that looks like it's a different definition than the definition we all agreed on during our data book, our Nevada statewide data definition book, with different timeframes.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>So let me explain. So when I filled out, and I believe this is an attachment that I provided to you, when I filled out the FY performance and scorecard summary, as I was filling that out earlier this year, I found a lot of questions that I couldn't answer. And I took those questions to the Data Subcommittee off the JJOC and it was the Data Subcommittee that said this is how we need to measure this. So this that you have under rate of recidivism, okay, oh, calcification, whoops, that should be clarification. Anyway,

sorry, apparently, I can't spell, so this came from the Data Subcommittee for recommendations with how to measure the data, how to collect it in the counties and measure it. So it's not that it's the definition, it's how we're going to collect that data.

Pauline Salla-Smith: And I think that's where some of the frustration is with the jurisdictions, is because things are being changed but not being changed in a way that brings everyone--because if we all were told to use the data definition book for years through--there was the Supreme Court reform committee that we all had to do the data definition book and then all of the jurisdictions agreed to collect data and report on that data. I think what happens, like, with things like this, if this is going to be a new requirement, then the date to collect that has to be in the future, right? Not to have the jurisdictions go back three years, to try to get this definition because we're not collecting it in the way that meets that definition. So I really want us to make sure that we present this to our jurisdictions in a way that doesn't increase frustration and being overwhelmed and I think this is what happens, is these new data elements are coming out, and not that they're not important, I understand it. And, you know, the formula, that's federal, I get it, but we all have to be on the same page, that as of January 1st, yeah, we'll collect this data, but then the State and all the jurisdictions will work in conjunction with Tyler Supervision, so that we're all collecting the same data in the same manner to get clear, effective data because we're not going to get that with new definitions that don't match what we're already collecting. It'll be skewed.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay. So I think on this document, I think I need to add another column that says date started or I don't know what you want to call it -

Pauline Salla-Smith: Well, I would put like date of collection or date to begin collection.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Heather Plager: And I would also ask that, I mean, we kind of touched on it, but we do need to make sure that we are consistent on where that's supposed to be put in the Tyler Supervision or if it's not able to be collected in Tyler Supervision right now, what are we doing to make sure that it can be in the future, because I think that's also frustrating, the jurisdictions who have, you know, have already spent the money to get Tyler Supervision, if it's not in Tyler Supervision, I would at least like to see us have a direction for we're moving to that point or it's going to be added or something along -

Leslie Bittleston: Okay. So maybe it's best to leave number, the rate of recidivism to where we can talk, maybe have a joint meeting with the Data Committee or I don't know what we want to do there.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Well, I mean, I think that--is this being presented at our full commission meeting tomorrow?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yes, Brigid should be presenting all of this tomorrow.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. Well, I mean, I'm open to just bringing it up, as we can have discussion there because I think this is really--sometimes how we present the message is just as important as what the message is and so I, you know, the jurisdictions are wonderful about doing what they need to do, but they're also overwhelmed too and there's a lot of new data requirements and so I think that we need to really be cognizant of that. And we'll rally everybody around, but we can't say this is what you're collecting and, yeah, go back three years, we just or go back a year. We can't do that, because even for Humboldt County, I'm going to be like, that's not how I'm reporting it and I'm not going to go file by file to pull that data, and I'm a small jurisdiction, so I can't imagine what larger jurisdictions would think about that. So let's what we--I'm more than willing to do that tomorrow.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So, all right, so let's just, yeah, I mean, there's a lot of these that -

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> The first few are, other than the recidivism one, the first one, two, three, four, five, I think are those new requirements that came from OJJDP specifically. And some of them do overlap with what the JJOC wants, like the restraints, but OJJDP wants that as well. And what was interesting about what OJJDP requested on the restraints, was they only wanted one month of data and that was really weird, just pick a month and provide the data, that was just very strange.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah, that's the stuff that scares me. A snapshot in time -

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yeah.

Pauline Salla-Smith: - scares me.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Exactly.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And any data, I mean, I don't know, maybe Melissa doesn't agree with me on this, but snapshot in time scares me. It doesn't present a clear, comprehensive picture with our kids.

Leslie Bittleston: Mm-hmm.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> It just doesn't. I mean, clearly, a fight at Summit View just skyrocketed their--one fight, skyrocketed their room confinement. That's a snapshot in time, it's not an overall picture of it, so -

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> Yeah, it's odd if they let you pick the month, because you could strategically pick a month that had very low use, right? And you'd look great. I don't know, maybe they, you know, if they're not telling you, oh, it's going to be May of every year or something, that, yeah, I was surprised at that also.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Yeah. And I think that when we're saying, only because, you know, I present this stuff to MAJA too, and they're all my peeps and Heather can probably support this, that I try to be a cheerleader about what we need to do, but sometimes they want to tell me to shut up too. But when we say state requirement, are we talking like JJOC requirement or like state law requirement, what are we talking about?

Leslie Bittleston: Oh, it's either, it's either NNRS or the JJOC wants it. And if I need to break that down more, I will.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Mm-hmm. I just think that we have, I know I keep saying this, but if we say it's a state requirement, we're going to get some push back because you have a lot of people who know what those statutes are and everything that we have to do. And if it's a JJOC requirement, I'm not saying it's any less important, but I'm saying that should, we can have discussions in the JJOC about yes, we want to get this data too, but we need some time to get the infrastructure in place, to get the right to capture the clear data. So I think that there's definitely a difference, because I'm already hearing some push back, like that's not in statute -

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> - that's not what we're supposed to be reporting on and so we just want to be really transparent and honest about things and say, you're right, but this is a AB-472 and the Oversight Commission is just as important, but, again, we have to present this factually and with a plan.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Right. Okay and I will separate those out so that's more clear.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And on the breakdown of status offender data, three should be being collected because if we hold a status offender over 24 hours, we have to provide justification for it on our monthly reports.

<u>Leslie Bittleston</u>: Right and that was not clear and maybe Melissa can help me with this because this is one of the things she and I were going to meet on, is some of these new federal requirements. My understanding is that they wanted justification for even holding a status offender in general, it doesn't mean over 24 hours.

Melissa Sickmund: I'm not certain of that, but I can check.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yeah.

Melissa Sickmund: I can look at that document that Naomi sent me.

Leslie Bittleston: Right.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Unless they got rid of the 24-hour pre-court, 24-hour post-court, I'm not sure why we would have to provide justification. I mean, there's a lot of reasons, some of the kids in six hours because we're trying to find their parents or, I mean, there's different reasons, so unless they changed the actual requirements of DSO, I can't imagine that that would be mandatory. We have to provide it if it's over 24 hours pre-court and 24 hours post-court.

Leslie Bittleston: Right, just not if it's under and that's where I'm a little confused and maybe Melissa and I can talk about, because we're going to meet offline and talk about some of these data requirements. Maybe that, we can put that on our list, Melissa, to make sure that we're clear, because I thought it meant why are we holding them at all, you know, do we have no other methods of taking care of status offenders.

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> Yeah, I will be meeting with folks from Iowa also, so we, you know, it's always good to see well, what's everybody else doing?

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah.

<u>Melissa Sickmund:</u> And, you know, we can talk with Naomi about it too. She's, you know, a good friend, we chat all the time.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u>Yeah, and that's, and it's just, it's a clarification so we are collecting it, if it's over 24 hours. My question is, is it up to 24 hours, and maybe that's the clarification.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And I'm just scrolling by, you guys, like, if you see something that, I mean, I don't know if you want to go through -

Leslie Bittleston: And maybe you might want to wait until maybe the next meeting, because some of this will be a little more clear after the data report tomorrow, because some of these, like I said, as I was filling out the scorecard, I just was--I had no idea what they wanted and, for example, type of placement,

they wanted RTC in-state and RTC out of state, which says it right there in the middle. So some of these things were clarified. It doesn't mean I have the data, but it just, it was just clarified. The types of disciplinary actions, numbers of uses of force and non-uses of force, so these were all things that were clarified by the Data Committee, so maybe we want to wait until the Data Committee presents its update tomorrow.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Okay. Yeah and the other thing, I mean, this is--this looks like it's OJJDP requirements and some state and then some JJOC but the jurisdictions are always, are also getting requests from AB-449 and plus (inaudible), I mean, we, so there's, like I just created a spreadsheet for NAJJA for AB-449 of what they're asking from the jurisdictions, so that's what I'm saying, there's just a lot of data requests coming out and we have to be cognizant that it's not just from the Feds or the State, like we're getting other requests too.

Leslie Bittleston: And I have not seen any data requests from AB-449 and I may have some, I don't know what they want so it probably just went to you guys because I haven't seen anything.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Mm-hmm. Well, I'm going to send the spreadsheet out to NAJA because we are going to try to rally around it next week during our meeting.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> So we'll see how that goes.

Leslie Bittleston: Of course, I'm willing to provide the things that I already have, you know, because I get a lot of stuff from the counties so if I can answer any of those questions, I'm happy to do it.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah, you'll see on the spreadsheet where I said we already record to OJJDP also.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay. Perfect.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> That way, it shouldn't have to come from the jurisdictions again.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Yeah. So, yeah, I'm just saying, I didn't get a request, so I guess it came out directly to you guys or to you, maybe, as the NAJA head, so -

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah, I think Ross send them my way for NAJA, I think that's what happened.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> All right. So let's--you guys, anyone else have any questions? Sorry to overtake that conversation.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> That's okay. And for the next meeting, I will revise this document to break up the State requirement, whether it be an NRS or a JJOC, and also add another column for time to begin collection. So I will clean up that document a little bit, to help us guide our conversations in the future.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay. And I'll speak up tomorrow during the meeting.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: All right. Let's move to agenda item number nine, YLSCMY case plan, Tyler Supervision update. Is there an update?

Leslie Bittleston: Yes, I do have a couple of updates. So I recently spoke to, actually it was just a few days ago, spoke to Tyler Supervision, Tim from Tyler Supervision, they have been having a hard time getting MHS to respond to them. There's still some problems with the reports. Sometimes the report doesn't generate. Sometimes it does. It's just really hit and miss and for those that are not computer or behind the scenes savvy, what happens between Tyler Supervision and MHS is there are two bridges. The first bridge is the bridge that provides the score. The second bridge is the bridge that provides the report after the score is done. So if there's ever any problems between either one of those bridges that will affect the scores coming back or affect the report coming back. There's nothing we can do about the bridge for the scores because they have to score the assessment. But Tyler Supervision is going to create the report themselves, the PDF report, so we no longer have to rely on the bridge to get the report back. That's going to take about four weeks to get that completed. In the meantime, the bridge with MHS is going to remain open, so continue to use the YLS and when you have onesies and twosie problems, Tim said to just do a report, excuse me, a support ticket when you have processing problems or a report doesn't come back, so just do a ticket through your own support and they will get those fixed. But that's the plan for fixing these problem PDF reports, is they're, Tyler is going to create the report themselves, so we don't have to rely on that bridge to get those reports back. So that's the YLS update.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> But doesn't that mean that our rate with MHS should go down, because the report was part of what we were to get with the fee that we paid?

Leslie Bittleston: You know, that's a question that I think I need to raise with MHS, because even I'm having a hard time getting somebody from MHS to respond to these problems. I can talk to the sales guy, he's really good. I could talk to him, but I can't get any -

Pauline Salla-Smith: Who Dom? Is it Dom?

Leslie Bittleston: Dominic, yep.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Yeah, but I think if you have ask him the question, like, we're concerned because it was part of the agreement, with the fees we paid for the YLS to be integrated into Tyler Supervision, so maybe those need to be renegotiated, maybe that'll help them get someone on the phone, because the reports are part of our agreements.

Leslie Bittleston: Right, and you're right, it's just--it's been very frustrating and we're just trying to find ways to make this all work.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Our reports have been working, so it must be hit and miss, we haven't had one lately that we haven't got a report that's accurate, so -

<u>Heather Plager:</u> Yeah, ours are working too for right now, nobody's giving me feedback that they're not.

Leslie Bittleston: Interesting.

Pauline Salla-Smith: But we don't want to jinx ourselves.

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah. So that is the update on the YLS. The case plan is completed in Tyler Supervision and was released, I believe, this week. I will be meeting next Tuesday, I believe, with DCFS master trainers

and as with the YLS, the case plan does take some getting used to. I input a case plan last week and it took me quite a lot of time because I really didn't know what I was doing. So it's just one of those things once you get used to it, just like the YLS, you're going to be able to whiz right through it. But what we plan on doing is we are going to do a how to enter case plan document and hope to have it completed by the end of next week, just like we did with the YLS. And once that's completed, I will forward that out, of course, to all of the jurisdictions. But it is ready. It has been released as of, I believe, Monday of this week, so it's ready if you guys want to try to use it or if you want to just wait until we get that how to guide out to you next week. So that's the update on the case plan.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Leslie, can you send out a email to the jurisdictions letting them know that, because I'm pretty--we've been doing the case plans, just not within Tyler Supervision -So maybe we can figure it out.

Leslie Bittleston:Okay so I'll send out an email and I also will still send out that document when it is ready for you guys to use if you want to. So but I will go ahead and send that out to the jurisdictions.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> And that's it for case plan and YLS.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Okay. I think that we can skip number ten, because we voted last meeting to take a motion to the full commission about changing the MAYSII. I'm ready for that tomorrow too. We're going to have some robust discussion about that, I feel it. I feel it. So I can hardly wait. All right. So let's move to any new business?

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> The only, I have a couple of things and this is just a question to Madam Chair, I am just now getting the final end of the year block grant information. Do you want to see the block grant data at the side or IJOC? What are your recommendations on that?

Pauline Salla-Smith: Yeah, The CCPL used to go through the SAG first -

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So I'd better get my data in.

Leslie Bittleston: Yeah, get your data in -

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Yeah, but let's have it go through here and then we can submit it to the full commission.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay, perfect. And then my second thing is we are now caught up with our juvenile sex offender data, so we can start creating some reports. Do you want that to go through here as well? Or do you want me to just go through NAJJA or what are your thoughts?

Pauline Salla-Smith: JSO data is state required, so that would go through the SAG first, too.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

Pauline Salla-Smith: And then to the full commission.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay, so I plan on having--I do know what to provide for the block grant, but I've never provided JSO data before, so I will get something together for your review and you can let me know what you think and then I can revise it and clean it up as we go.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> And I think when I did that last time with the state, is that I took the statute, and then the NACs about that and created a report based off that, just to meet the requirements for the legislator, because that's--you know, it's supposed to be presented during the normal legislative session in one of the committees, so I would just follow what's required in statute, to make sure you hit those points.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay. So I hope to have something for the next meeting on both of those.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Sounds good. Any other new business? Seeing none. I think we can for the next agenda, we can, well, let's see how tomorrow goes and then we'll see if we need something with the MAYSII put on there. I can't think of--if there's things that come out of your conversation with Melissa, just on the data elements, let's keep that on the agenda. I would like to keep that agenda item, because I think that this is going to be an ongoing discussion too. And then I'll let you know about the MAYSII if we need to keep that on the agenda or not.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Let's see. Confirm our next meeting. What if we do--what if we keep it on Thursdays in August, hold on, I'm just trying to--what about, oh goodness, can't do it on the 13th. What about Thursday, August 6th? I can't do it on the 13th, I have a Behavioral Health Task force meeting all day.

Leslie Bittleston: I think that's fine.

<u>Joey Orduna-Hastings:</u> Madam Chair, this is Joey, I can't do the 6th or the 13th. The 6th, I'll be out of town and the 13th is our Nevada Juvenile Justice Institute meeting.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Ha! I can't do it then either. It's the one that I'm presenting at?

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Yes, but I don't think that's your day to present -

Pauline Salla-Smith: Okay.

<u>Joey Orduna-Hastings:</u> - but the week of the 10th is no good for me and then the day of, well, the 6th and the 7th of August are not good for me.

Pauline Salla-Smith: I want to join in on some of those other ones, just to learn, so -

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Yeah, good.

Pauline Salla-Smith: - I don't want to be booked out that week.

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Yeah, and Professor Graham is also, I believe, might be involved in that too.

Pauline Salla-Smith: So what about Thursday the 20th?

Leslie Bittleston: Let's see, we have a Data Performance committee meeting already scheduled on the 20th, in the morning, but we can do the afternoon.

Pauline Salla-Smith: How about 1, yeah, we'll keep our time at 1:00.

<u>Leslie Bittleston:</u> Okay, we can do that.

<u>Joey Orduna-Hastings:</u> Thank you.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Does that work?

<u>Ioey Orduna-Hastings:</u> That's perfect.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Kayla, will you send out those beautiful invites that I can transfer right over to my computer?

Kavla Dunn: You got it.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> My calendar. Thank you.

Leslie Bittleston: Okay, Thursday the 20th at 1:00 A, 1:00 AM, 1:00 PM.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thanks you guys for hanging this long.

Heather Plager: Thank you.

Pauline Salla-Smith: Thank you. All right.

Joey Orduna-Hastings: Madam Chair, I think you have to do public comments now.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Oh, I'm sorry. Good call. Good call. Okay, let's--is there any public comment?

Heather Plager: Just thank you.

<u>Pauline Salla-Smith:</u> Thank you. Any other public comment? All right. I just want to say I appreciate all of you. I'm going to adjourn this meeting at closing. Be safe everyone. [end of meeting]