

# **Nevada Juvenile Justice Oversight Commission**

## **Committee Report**

December 11, 2017

**Committee:** Data Subcommittee

**Committee Co-chairs:** Judge Thomas Stockard, Gianna Verness & Brigid Duffy

**Date of meetings held since last Commission Meeting:** 11, December 2017

**Date of next meeting:** 16<sup>th</sup>, January 2017 @ 130 p.m.

**Action Items Completed:**

- None at this time

**Action Items In-progress/Pending:**

Statutory Deadline is July 1, 2018

- Define Recidivism and establish measures to track in the state
- Establish performance measures from referral through case closure to determine the effectiveness of the Juvenile Justice System. These measures will include court referrals, dispositions, supervision and services that were provided

. Revise NRS/NAC 62H

**Announcements:**

- None at this time

**Recommendations for the Commission:**

- None at this time

**Questions for the Commission:**

- None at this time

**See Page 2**

**Other Notes:**

The subcommittee had a good conversation around recidivism and performance measures.

We reviewed the Data Dictionary definition which in summary measures recidivism when an individual is re-adjudicated delinquent or convicted as an adult, and it is measured for 3 years from the initial finding of delinquency.

Concerns included: Citations youth receive while on probation would not be included as they are often handled informally by the probation officer.

Subcommittee discussed the following performance measures:

- (1) Educational progress
  - a. Graduation or alternative degree
  - b. Attendance and credits
- (2) Probation violation vs. Substantive charges
  - a. What is the nature of the probation violation
- (3) Service Providers: who are they and what outcome are we getting in relation to the other performance measures. Including Group home and independent living placements.

When do we want measure them:

- (1) While on field probation
- (2) Upon release from commitment or placement
- (3) Upon release from an RTC, in state or out of state

As to defining recidivism: we also discussed ensuring that we account for the assessed risk level of the population being measured. I.e; those coming out of commitment are presumably higher risk level and there is an expectation of higher recidivism rates.

The subcommittee determined it would be helpful to reach out to external partners, such as Dr. Leone from UNR, to consult with regarding what performance measures are realistically able to be tracked and how to track those measures in a valid and reliable way.